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#### Abstract

A proper element $q$ of a lattice $L$ is said to be a quasi $n$-absorbing element if whenever $a^{n} b \leqslant q$ implies that either $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$. We investigate properties of this new type of elements and obtain some relations among prime, 2 -absorbing, $n$-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we define and study quasi $n$-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices. A multiplicative lattice is a complete lattice $L$ with the least element 0 and compact greatest element 1 , on which there is defined a commutative, associative, completely join distributive product for which 1 is a multiplicative identity. Notice that $L(R)$ the set of all ideals of a commutative ring $R$ is a special example for multiplicative lattices which is principally generated, compactly generated and modular. However, there are several examples of non-modular multiplicative lattices (see [1]). Weakly prime ideals [3] were generalized to multiplicative lattices by introducing weakly prime elements [7]. While 2-absorbing, weakly 2 -absorbing and $n$-absorbing ideals in commutative rings were introduced in [5], [6], and [4], 2absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing elements in multiplicative lattices were studied in [10].

We begin by recalling some background material which will be needed. An element $a$ of $L$ is said to be compact if whenever $a \leqslant \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_{\alpha}$ implies $a \leqslant \bigvee_{\alpha \in I_{0}} a_{\alpha}$ for some finite subset $I_{0}$ of $I$. By a $C$-lattice we mean a (not necessarily modular) multiplicative lattice which is generated under joins by a multiplicatively closed subset $C$ of compact elements of $L$. We note that in a $C$-lattice, a finite product of compact elements is again compact. Throughout this paper $L$ and $L_{*}$ denotes a multiplicative lattice and the set of compact elements of the lattice $L$, respectively. An element $a$ of $L$ is said to be proper if $a<1$. A proper element $p$ of $L$ is said to be prime (resp. weakly prime) if $a b \leqslant p$ (resp. $0 \neq a b \leqslant p$ ) implies either $a \leqslant p$ or $b \leqslant p$. If 0 is prime, then $L$ is said to be a domain. A proper element $m$ of $L$ is said to be maximal if $m<x \leqslant 1$ implies $x=1$. The Jacobson radical of a lattice $L$ is

[^0]defined as $J(L)=\bigwedge\{m \mid m$ is a maximal element of $L\} . L$ is said to be quasi-local if it contains a unique maximal element. If $L=\{0,1\}$, then $L$ is called a field. For $a \in L$, we define a radical of $a$ as $\sqrt{a}=\bigwedge\{p \in L \mid p$ is prime and $a \leqslant p\}$. Note that in a $C$-lattice $L$,
$$
\sqrt{a}=\bigwedge\{p \in L \mid p \text { is prime and } a \leqslant p\}=\bigvee\left\{x \in L_{*} \mid x^{n} \leqslant a \text { for some } n \in Z^{+}\right\}
$$
by (Theorem 3.6 of [12]). Elements of the set $\operatorname{Nil}(L)=\sqrt{0}$ are called nilpotent. For any prime element $p \in L$ by $L_{p}$ we denote the localization $F=\{x \in C \mid x \nless p\}$. For details on $C$-lattices and their localizations see [9] and [11]. An element $e \in L$ is said to be principal [8], if it satisfies the identities $(i) a \wedge b e=((a: e) \wedge b) e$ and (ii) $(a e \vee b): e=(b: e) \vee a$. Elements satisfying the identity $(i)$ are called meet principal, elements satisfying (ii) are called join principal. Note that any finite product of meet (join) principal elements of $L$ is again meet (join) principal [8, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4]. If every element of $L$ is principal, then $L$ is called a principal element lattice [2].

Recall from [10] that a proper element $q$ of $L$ is called 2-absorbing (resp. weakly 2 -absorbing) if whenever $a, b, c \in L$ with $a b c \leqslant q$ (resp. $0 \neq a b c \leqslant q$ ), then either $a b \leqslant q$ or $a c \leqslant q$ or $b c \leqslant q$. We say that $(a, b, c)$ is a triple zero element of $q$ if $a b c=$ $0, a b \nless q, a c \nless q$ and $b c \nless q$. Observe that if $q$ is a weakly 2-absorbing element which is not a 2 -absorbing, then there exist a triple zero of $q$. A proper element $q \in L$ is $n$-absorbing (resp. weakly $n$-absorbing) if $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1} \leqslant q$ (resp. $0 \neq$ $\left.a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1} \leqslant q\right)$ for some $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1} \in L_{*}$ then $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \cdots a_{n+1} \leqslant q$ for some $k=1, \ldots, n+1$.

## 2. Quasi n-absorbing elements

Let $L$ be a multiplicative lattice and $n$ be a positive integer.
Definition 2.1. A proper element $q$ of $L$ is called:

- quasi $n$-absorbing if $a^{n} b \leqslant q$ for some $a, b \in L_{*}$ implies $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$,
- weakly quasi $n$-absorbing if $0 \neq a^{n} b \leqslant q$ for some $a, b \in L_{*}$ implies $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Then:
(1) $q$ is a prime element if and only if it is quasi 1-absorbing,
(2) $q$ is a weakly prime element if and only if it is weakly quasi 1-absorbing,
(3) if $q$ is n-absorbing, then it is quasi $n$-absorbing,
(4) if $q$ is quasi $n$-absorbing, then it is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing,
(5) if $q$ is quasi $n$-absorbing, then it is quasi $m$-absorbing for all $m \geqslant n$,
(6) if $q$ is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing, then it is weakly quasi m-absorbing for all $m \geqslant n$.

Proof. (1), (2), (3) and (4) are obvious. To prove (5) suppose that $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, and $a, b \in L_{*}$ with $a^{m} b \leqslant q$ for some $m \geqslant n$. Hence
$a^{n}\left(a^{m-n} b\right) \leqslant q$. Since $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element, we have either $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1}\left(a^{m-n} b\right) \leqslant q$. So, either $a^{m} \leqslant q$ or $a^{m-1} b \leqslant q$. This shows that $q$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$.
(6) can be proved analogously.

Corollary 2.3. Let $q$ be a proper element of $L$.
(1) If $q$ is prime, then it is quasi $n$-absorbing for all $n \geqslant 1$.
(2) If $q$ is weakly prime, then it is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing all $n \geqslant 1$.
(3) If $q$ is 2 -absorbing, then it is a quasi $n$-absorbing for all $n \geqslant 2$.
(4) If $q$ is weakly 2 -absorbing, then it is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing for all $n \geqslant 2$.

The converses of these relations are not true in general.
Example 2.4. Consider the lattice of ideals of the ring of integers $L=L(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that the element $30 \mathbb{Z}$ of $L$ is a quasi 2-absorbing element, and so quasi $n$-absorbing element for all $n \geqslant 2$ by Corollary 2.3, but it is not a 2 -absorbing element of $L$ by Theorem 2.6 in [7].

Proposition 2.5. For a proper element $q$ of $L$ the following statements are equivalent.
(1) $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) $\left(q: a^{n}\right)=\left(q: a^{n-1}\right)$ where $a \in L_{*}, a^{n} \nless q$.

In paticular, 0 is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if and only if for each $a \in L_{*}$ we have $a^{n}=0$ or $\operatorname{ann}\left(a^{n}\right)=\operatorname{ann}\left(a^{n-1}\right)$.

Proof. It follows directly from Definition 2.1.

Notice that if $q$ is a weakly quasi $n$-absorbing element which is not quasi $n$ absorbing, then there are some elements $a, b \in L_{*}$ such that $a^{n} b=0, a^{n} \notin q$ and $a^{n-1} b \nless q$. We call the pair of elements $(a, b)$ with this property - a quasi $n$-zero element of $q$. Notice that a zero divisor element of $L$ is a quasi 1-zero element of $0_{L}$, and $(a, a, b)$ is a triple zero element of $q$ if and only if $(a, b)$ is a quasi 2-zero element of $q$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $q$ be a weakly quasi n-absorbing element of L. If $(a, b)$ is a quasi $n$-zero element of $q$ for some $a, b \in L_{*}$, then $a^{n} \in \operatorname{ann}(q)$ and $b^{n} \in \operatorname{ann}(q)$.

Proof. Suppose that $a^{n} \notin \operatorname{ann}(q)$. Hence $a^{n} q_{1} \neq 0$ for some $q_{1} \in L_{*}$ where $q_{1} \leqslant q$. It follows $0 \neq a^{n}\left(b \vee q_{1}\right) \leqslant q$. Since $a^{n} \nless q$, and $q$ is weakly quasi $n$-absorbing, we conclude that $a^{n-1}\left(b \vee q_{1}\right) \leqslant q$. So $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$, a contradiction. Thus $a^{n} q=0$, and so $a^{n} \in \operatorname{ann}(q)$. Similarly we conclude that $b^{n} \in \operatorname{ann}(q)$.

Theorem 2.7. If $\left\{p_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of (weakly) prime elements of $L$, then $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda}$ is a (weakly) quasi $m$-absorbing element for all $m \geqslant 2$.

Proof. Let $\left\{p_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of prime elements of $L$. By Corollary 2.3 (3) it is sufficient to prove that $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda}$ is a quasi 2-absorbing element of $L$.

Let $a, b \in L_{*}$ with $a^{2} b \leqslant \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda}$. Since $a^{2} b \leqslant p_{i}$ for all prime elements $p_{i}$, we have $a \leqslant p_{i}$ or $b \leqslant p_{i}$. Thus $a b \leqslant p_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and so $a b \leqslant \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda}$, which completes the proof for prime elements.

For weakly prime elements the proof is similar.
Corollary 2.8. Let $q$ be a proper element of L. Then $\sqrt{q}, \operatorname{Nil}(L)$ and $J(L)$ are quasi $n$-absorbing elements of $L$ for all $n \geqslant 2$.

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 2.7.
Theorem 2.9. If $\left\{q_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of (weakly) quasi m-absorbing elements of a totally ordered lattice $L$, then for each positive integer $m \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} q_{\lambda}$ is a (weakly) quasi m-absorbing element of $L$.

Proof. Assume that $\left\{q_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an ascending chain of quasi $m$-absorbing elements and $a^{m} \nless \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} q_{\lambda}$ and $a^{m-1} b \nless \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} q_{\lambda}$. We show that $a^{m} b \nless \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} q_{\lambda}$. Hence $a^{m} \nless q_{j}$ and $a^{m-1} b \nless q_{k}$ for some $j, k=1, \ldots, n$.

Put $t=\min \{j, k\}$. Then $a^{m} \not \leq q_{t}$ and $a^{m-1} b \not \leq q_{t}$. Since $q_{t}$ is a quasi $m$ absorbing element, it follows $a^{m} b \not \leq q_{t}$. Thus $a^{m} b \nless \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} q_{\lambda}$, we are done.

For weakly prime elements the proof is similar.
Theorem 2.10. Let for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, elements $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} \in L$ are (weakly) quasi $m_{i}$-absorbing, respectively. Then $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$ is a (weakly) quasi m-absorbing element of $L$ for $m=\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}+1$.

Proof. Suppose that $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ are quasi $m_{i}$-absorbing, respectively. Let $a, b \in L_{*}$ be such that $a^{m} b \leqslant \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$. Hence $a^{m_{i}} \leqslant q_{i}$ or $a^{m_{i}-1} b \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $i=1, . ., n$. Now assume that $a^{m} \nless \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$. Without loss generality we can suppose that $a^{m_{i}} \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j$, and $a^{m_{i}} \nless q_{i}$ for all $j+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Hence we have $a^{m_{i}-1} b \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $j+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then we get clearly $a^{m-1} b \leqslant q_{i}$ for $m=\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}+1$ and for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Thus $a^{m-1} b \leqslant \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$, so we are done.

For weakly prime elements the proof is similar.
If $x \in L$, the interval $[x, 1]$ is denoted be $L / x$. The elemets of $\bar{a}$ and $L / x$ is again a multiplicative lattice with $\bar{a} \circ \bar{b}=a b \vee x$ for all $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in L / x$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $x$ and $q$ be proper elements of $L$ with $x \leqslant q$. If $q$ is a (weakly) quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$, then $\bar{q}$ is a (weakly) quasi n-absorbing element of $L / x$.

Proof. Suppose that $\bar{a}=a \vee x, \bar{b}=b \vee x \in L$ with $\bar{a}^{n} \bar{b} \leqslant \bar{q}$, where $q$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. Then $a^{n} b \vee x \leqslant q$, and so $a^{n} b \leqslant q$. Since $q$ is quasi 2-absorbing, we get either $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$. Thus $\bar{a}^{n}=(a \vee x)^{n} \leqslant \bar{q}$ or $\bar{a}^{n-1} \bar{b}=(a \vee x)^{n-1}(b \vee x) \leqslant \bar{q}$, as needed.

For weakly prime elements the proof is similar.
Recall that any $C$-lattice can be localized at a multiplicatively closed set. Let $L$ be a $C$-lattice and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $L_{*}$. Then for $a \in L$, $a_{S}=\bigvee\left\{x \in L_{*} \mid x s \leqslant a\right.$ for some $\left.s \in S\right\}$ and $L_{S}=\left\{a_{S} \mid a \in L\right\} . L_{S}$ is again a multiplicative lattice under the same order as $L$ with the product $a_{S} \circ b_{s}=\left(a_{S} b_{S}\right)_{S}$ where the right hand side is evaluated in $L$.

If $p \in L$ is prime and $S=\left\{x \in L_{*} \mid x \notin p\right\}$, then $L_{S}$ is denoted by $L_{p}$. [9]
Theorem 2.12. Let $m$ be a maximal element of $L$ and $q$ be a proper element of L. If $q$ is a (weakly) quasi n-absorbing element of $L$, then $q_{m}$ is a (weakly) quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_{m}$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in L_{*}$ such that $a_{m}^{n} b_{m} \leqslant q_{m}$. Hence $u a^{n} b \leqslant q$ for some $u \nless m$. It implies that $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1}(u b) \leqslant q$. Since $u_{m}=1_{m}$, we get $a_{m}^{n} \leqslant q_{m}$ or $a_{m}^{n-1} b_{m} \leqslant q_{m}$, we are done.

Theorem 2.13. Let $L$ be a principal element lattice. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) Every proper element of $L$ is a quasi n-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) For every $a, b \in L_{*}, a^{n}=c a^{n} b$ or $a^{n-1} b=d a^{n} b$ for some $c, d \in L$.
(3) For all $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in L_{*},\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n}\right)^{n} \leqslant c a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1}$ or $\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n}\right)^{n-1} a_{n+1} \leqslant d a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1}$ for some $c, d \in L$.
Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow(2)$. Suppose that every proper element of $L$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. Hence $a^{n} b \leqslant\left(a^{n} b\right)$ implies that $a^{n} \leqslant\left(a^{n} b\right)$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant\left(a^{n} b\right)$. Since $L$ is a principal element lattice, there is some element $c \in L$ with $a^{n}=c a^{n} b$ or there is some element $d \in L$ with $a^{n-1} b=d a^{n} b$. The converse is clear.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Put $a=a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n}$ and $b=a_{n+1}$. Hence the result follows from (2).
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$. For all $a, b \in L_{*}$, we can write $a^{n}=(\underbrace{a \wedge a \wedge \ldots \wedge a}) \leqslant c a^{n} b$ or $a^{n-1} b=(\underbrace{a \wedge a \wedge \ldots \wedge a}_{n-1 \text { times }}) b \leqslant d a^{n} b$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $L=L_{1} \times L_{2}$ where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are C-lattices. Then:
(1) $q_{1}$ is a quasi n-absorbing element of $L_{1}$ if and only if $\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$,
(2) $q_{2}$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_{2}$ if and only if $\left(1_{L_{1}}, q_{2}\right)$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.

Proof. (1). Suppose that $q_{1}$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L_{1}$.
Let $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{n}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ for some $a_{1}, b_{1} \in L_{1_{*}}$ and $a_{2}, b_{2} \in L_{2_{*}}$. Then $a_{1}^{n} b_{1} \leqslant q_{1}$ implies that either $a_{1}^{n} \leqslant q_{1}$ or $a_{1}^{n-1} b_{1} \leqslant q_{1}$. It follows either $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{n} \leqslant$ $\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ or $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$. Thus $\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$. Conversely suppose that $\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ is a quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ and $a^{n} b \leqslant q_{1}$ for some $a, b \in L_{1_{*}}$. Hence $\left(a, 1_{L_{2}}\right)^{n}\left(b, 1_{L_{2}}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ which implies that either $\left(a, 1_{L_{2}}\right)^{n} \leqslant\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$ or $\left(a, 1_{L_{2}}\right)^{n-1}\left(b, 1_{L_{2}}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, 1_{L_{2}}\right)$. So $a_{1}^{n} \leqslant q_{1}$ or $a_{1}^{n-1} b_{1} \leqslant q_{1}$, as needed.
(2). It can be verified similar to (1).

Theorem 2.15. Let $L=L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{k}$ where all $L_{i}$ are $C$-lattices. If $q_{i}$ is a quasi $n_{i}$-absorbing element of $L_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, then $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$ is a quasi $m$-absorbing element of $L$ where $m=\max \left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}+1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)^{m}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$ for some $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \in L_{*}$ and $m=\max \left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}+1$. Hence $a_{i}^{m} b_{i}=a_{i}^{n_{i}}\left(a_{i}^{m-n_{i}} b_{i}\right) \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since each $q_{i}$ is a quasi $n_{i}$-absorbing element, we have either $a_{i}^{n_{i}} \leqslant q_{i}$ or $a_{i}^{m-1} b_{i}=a_{i}^{n_{i}-1}\left(a_{i}^{m-n_{i}} b_{i}\right) \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $i=1, . ., k$. If $a_{i}^{n_{i}} \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)^{m} \leqslant\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$. Without loss generality, suppose that $a_{i}^{n_{i}} \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j$ and $a_{i}^{m-1} b_{i} \leqslant q_{i}$ for all $j+1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, for some $j=1, \ldots, k$. Thus $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)^{m-1}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$, so we are done.

Definition 2.16. A proper element $q$ of $L$ is said to be a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ if whenever $a, b \in L$ (not necessarily compact) with $a^{n} b \leqslant q$ implies that either $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$.

It is clearly seen that every strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$ is quasi $n$-absorbing.

Theorem 2.17. Let $L$ be a principal element lattice. The following statements are equivalent.
(1) Every proper element of $L$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) For all $a, b \in L, a^{n}=a^{n} b$ or $a^{n-1} b=a^{n} b$.
(3) $\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n}\right)^{n} \leqslant a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1} \quad$ or $\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n}\right)^{n-1} a_{n+1} \leqslant$ $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1}$ for all $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in L$.

Proof. This can be easily shown using the similar argument in Theorem 2.13.
Theorem 2.18. Let $q$ be a proper element of L. Then:
(1) If $a^{n} b \leqslant q \leqslant a \wedge b$, where $a, b \in L$, implies that $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$, then $q$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.
(2) If $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n+1} \leqslant q \leqslant a_{1} \wedge a_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge a_{n+1}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in L$, implies that $a_{1} \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n+1} \leqslant q$, for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, then $q$ is a strongly quasi $n$-absorbing element of $L$.

Proof. (1). Let $x, y \in L$ with $x^{n} y \leqslant q$. We show that $x^{n} \leqslant q$ or $x^{n-1} y \leqslant q$. Now put $a=x \vee q$ and $b=y \vee q$. Hence we conclude $a^{n} b \leqslant q \leqslant a \wedge b$, and so $a^{n} \leqslant q$ or $a^{n-1} b \leqslant q$ by (1). It follows $x^{n} \leqslant q$ or $x^{n-1} y \leqslant q$.
(2). It can be easily verified similar to (1).
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