Fuzzy action of fuzzy groups on a set

Gezahagne Mulat Addis, Derso Abeje Engidaw, Teferi Getachew Alemayehu

Abstract. We describe the notion of fuzzy action of fuzzy groups on a set using method proposed by X. Yuan and E.S. Lee.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by L. A. Zadeh [8] and applied by A. Rosenfeld [3] to groups. Rosenfeld assumed that subsets of a group G are fuzzy sets and the binary operation on G is nonfuzzy in the classical sense. Another concept of fuzzy subgroups was proposed by X. Yuan and E.S. Lee [7]. They assumed that the binary operation is the fuzzy operation. This approach was developed by some other researchers (see [1, 5, 6]).

On the other hand, Haddadi [2] and Roventa and Spircu [4] studied fuzzy actions of fuzzy submonoids and fuzzy subgroups from an algebraic point of view.

In this paper we investigate a fuzzy action of fuzzy groups on a set using the idea of fuzzy groups proposed by X. Yuan and E. S. Lee [7].

2. Preliminaries

Remember that, a fuzzy subset of a set X is a function from X to the real interval [0,1]. By a fuzzy relation from X to Y we mean a fuzzy subset of $X \times Y$. Throughout this paper $\theta \in [0, 1)$.

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be nonempty sets. A fuzzy relation f from X to Y is said to be a *fuzzy function* from X to Y if the following are satisfied:

1. for each $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Y$ such that $f(x, y) > \theta$,

2. for each $x \in X$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, $f(x, y_1) > \theta$ and $f(x, y_2) > \theta$ imply $y_1 = y_2$.

A fuzzy function f is one-to-one (or injective) if for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y \in Y$ $f(x_1, y) > \theta$ and $f(x_2, y) > \theta$ imply $x_1 = x_2$. A fuzzy function f is onto (or surjective) if for each $y \in Y$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x, y) > \theta$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 08A72, 20N25

 $^{{\}sf Keywords}: \ {\tt Fuzzy \ binary \ operation, \ fuzzy \ group \ based \ on \ fuzzy \ binary \ operation, \ fuzzy \ action}$

Definition 2.2. (cf. [7]) Let G be a nonempty set. By a *fuzzy binary operation* on G, we mean a fuzzy function R from $G \times G$ into G.

If R is a fuzzy binary operation on G, then we have a mapping:

$$\circ: F(G) \times F(G) \to F(G), \quad (A,B) \mapsto \circ(A,B),$$

where $F(G) = \{A \mid A \colon G \to [0, 1] \text{ is a mapping} \}$ and

$$\circ(A,B)(c) = \bigvee_{x,y\in G} (A(x) \wedge B(y) \wedge R(x,y,c)).$$
(1)

For $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b\}$, let us denote $\circ(A, B)$ by $a \circ b$. Then

$$(a \circ b)(c) = R(a, b, c) \quad \forall c \in G,$$
(2)

$$((a \circ b) \circ c)(z) = \bigvee_{x \in G} (R(a, b, x) \land R(x, c, z)),$$
(3)

$$(a \circ (b \circ c))(z) = \bigvee_{x \in G} (R(a, x, z) \land R(b, c, x)).$$

$$(4)$$

Using the notations in (2) - (4), we have the following definition:

Definition 2.3. (cf. [7]) Let G be a nonempty set and R be a fuzzy binary operation on G. The pair (G, R) is called a *fuzzy group* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (G1) $\forall a, b, c, z_1, z_2 \in G$, $((a \circ b) \circ c)(z_1) > \theta$ and $(a \circ (b \circ c))(z_2) > \theta$ implies that $z_1 = z_2$,
- (G2) $\exists e_{\circ} \in G$ such that $(e_{\circ} \circ a)(a) > \theta$ and $(a \circ e_{\circ})(a) > \theta$,
- (G3) $\forall a \in G, \exists b \in G \text{ such that } (a \circ b)(e_{\circ}) > \theta \text{ and } (b \circ a)(e_{\circ}) > \theta$. In this case b is called an *inverse* of a and is denoted by a^{-1} .

3. Fuzzy action of fuzzy groups on a set

Throughout this section G stands for a fuzzy group.

Let X be a nonempty set and R^* be a fuzzy function from $G \times X$ to X. Then we have a function:

$$*: F(G) \times F(X) \to F(X), \qquad (A, Y) \mapsto *(A, Y),$$

here $F(G)=\{A\,|\,A\colon G\to [0,1] \text{ is a mapping }\}, \ F(X)=\{Y\,|\,Y\colon X\to [0,1] \text{ is a mapping }\}$ and

$$*(A,Y)(z) = \bigvee_{s \in G, t \in X} (A(s) \wedge Y(t) \wedge R^*(s,t,z)) \quad \forall z \in X.$$
(5)

Let $A = \{a\}$ and $Y = \{y\}$, and let *(A, Y) be denoted by a * y. Then

$$(a*y)(z) = R^*(a, y, z) \forall a \in G, \forall y, z \in X$$
(6)

$$((a \circ b) * y)(z) = \bigvee_{s \in G} (R^{\circ}(a, b, s) \wedge R^{\star}(s, y, z)) \forall a, b \in G, \forall y, z \in X$$
(7)

$$(a*(b*y))(z) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a,t,z) \wedge R^*(b,y,t), \forall a \in G, \forall y, z \in X$$
(8)

Using the notations in (6) - (8), we have the following definition:

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy function R^* of $G \times X$ into X is called a *fuzzy action* of G on X if the following are satisfied:

- 1. $(e * x)(x) > \theta$ for all $x \in X$,
- 2. $((a \circ b) * x)(z_1) > \theta$ and $(a * (b * x))(z_2) > \theta$ implies $z_1 = z_2$.

In this case we say that G acts on X by a fuzzy action R^* .

Example 3.2. Let (G, R°) be a fuzzy group. Put X to be the set G. Define a fuzzy subset R^* of $G \times X \times X$ by:

$$R^*(a, x, z) = R^\circ(e, x, z)$$
 for all $a \in G, x, z \in X$.

Then R^* is a fuzzy action of G on itself, and it is called the trivial fuzzy action of G onto itself.

Example 3.3. Let (G, R°) be a fuzzy group. Put X to be the set G. Define a fuzzy subset R^* of $G \times X \times X$ by:

$$R^*(a, x, z) = R^{\circ}(a, x, z)$$
 for all $a \in G, x, z \in X$.

Then R^* is a fuzzy action of G on itself and it is called a fuzzy action of G on itself by left translation.

Example 3.4. Let (G, R°) be a fuzzy group. Put X to be the set G. Define a fuzzy subset R^* of $G \times X \times X$ by:

$$R^*(a, x, z) = ((a \circ x) \circ a^{-1})(z) \text{ for all } a \in G, x, z \in X.$$

Then R^* is a fuzzy action of G on itself and it is known as a fuzzy action of G on itself by conjugation.

Example 3.5. Let (G, R) be a fuzzy group and H be a normal fuzzy subgroup of G. Put X to be the set $\frac{G}{H}$. Define a fuzzy subset R^* of $G \times \frac{G}{H} \times \frac{G}{H}$ by:

$$R^*(a, [bH], [cH]) = R(a, b, c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in G.$$

Then R^* is a fuzzy action of G onto its quotient $\frac{G}{H}$.

Let us define a relation \sim on X as follows:

For each $x, y \in X$, $x \sim y$ if and only if $R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$ for some $a \in G$.

Theorem 3.6. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on X.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$.

- 1. Since $R^*(e, x, x) > \theta$ we have $x \sim x$.
- 2. Let $x \sim y$. Then there exists $a \in G$ such that $R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$. As $a^{-1} \in G$, $y \in X$ and R^* is a fuzzy mapping from $G \times X$ to X, there exists $z \in X$ such that $R^*(a^{-1}, y, z) > \theta$. Now consider the following:

$$(a^{-1}*(a*x))(z) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a^{-1}, t, z) \land R^*(a, x, t) \ge R^*(a^{-1}, y, z) \land R^*(a, x, y) > \theta.$$

Also

$$((a^{-1} \circ a) * x)(x) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, a, s) \wedge R^{*}(s, x, x) \ge R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, a, e) \wedge R^{*}(e, x, x) > \theta.$$

This implies that x = z, so $R^*(a^{-1}, y, x) > \theta$ and hence $y \sim x$.

3. Let $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$. There exists $a, b \in G$ such that $R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$ and $R^*(b, y, z) > \theta$. As $a, b \in G$, there exists $c \in G$ such that $R^\circ(b, a, c) > \theta$. Also there exists $w \in X$ such that $R^*(c, x, w) > \theta$. We show that w = z. For this, consider:

$$((b \circ a) * x)(w) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(b, a, s) \wedge R^{*}(s, x, w) \geqslant R^{\circ}(b, a, c) \wedge R^{*}(c, x, w) > \theta.$$

Also

$$(b*(a*x))(z) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(b,t,z) \wedge R^*(a,x,t) \ge R^\circ(b,y,z) \wedge R^*(a,x,y) > \theta,$$

which implies w = z. So, $x \sim z$.

Therefore \sim is an equivalence relation on X.

The equivalence class $\overline{x} = \{y \in X \mid x \sim y\}$ is called the *orbit* of x and is denoted by Or(x). The set $St(x) = \{a \in G \mid R^*(a, x, x) > \theta\}$ is called the *stabilizer* of x.

Theorem 3.7. St(x) is a fuzzy subgroup of G.

Proof. Since $R^*(e, x, x) > \theta$, then $e \in St(x)$. Now let $a, b \in St(x)$ such that $R^{\circ}(a, b, c) > \theta$. We show that $c \in St(x)$. Let $z \in X$ such that $R^*(c, x, z) > \theta$. Then

$$((a \circ b) * x)(z) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a, b, s) \land R^{*}(s, x, z) \ge R^{\circ}(a, b, c) \land R^{*}(c, x, z) > \theta$$

 and

$$(a * (b * x)(x) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a, t, x) \land R^*(b, x, t) \ge R^\circ(a, x, x) \land R^*(b, x, x) > \theta,$$

which implies z = x. So, $R^*(c, x, x) > \theta$ and hence $c \in St(x)$. Let $a \in St(x)$. Then $R^*(a, x, x) > \theta$. Let $z \in X$ such that $R^*(a^{-1}, x, z) > \theta$. Now it can be verified that

$$((a^{-1} \circ a) * x)(x) > \theta$$
 and $(a^{-1} * (a * x))(z) > \theta$.

So, z = x. That is, $R^*(a^{-1}, x, x) > \theta$ and hence $a^{-1} \in St(x)$. Therefore St(x) is a fuzzy subgroup of G.

Let G be a fuzzy group acting on a set X by a fuzzy action R^* . For each $a \in G$, let us define $R_a^* : X \times X \to [0, 1]$ by:

$$R_a^*(x,y) = R^*(a,x,y) \quad \forall x,y \in X.$$
(9)

Then we have the following:

Theorem 3.8. For each $a \in G$, the fuzzy map R_a^* is a fuzzy bijection of X onto itself.

Proof. Clearly R_a^* is a fuzzy function.

(1) We show that R_a^* is one-to-one. Let $x_1, x_2, y \in X$ be such that $R_a^*(x_1, y) > \theta$ and $R_a^*(x_2, y) > \theta$. Then $R^*(a, x_1, y) > \theta$ and $R^*(a, x_2, y) > \theta$. Also let $z \in X$ be such that $R^*(a^{-1}, y, z) > \theta$. Now we have:

$$(a^{-1} * (a * x_1))(z) > \theta$$
 and $(a^{-1} \circ a) * x_1)(x_1) > \theta$,

which gives $z = x_1$. In a similar way we get $z = x_2$. Thus R_a^* is one-to-one.

(2) To show that it is onto, let $y \in X$. As $a^{-1} \in G$ and R^* is a fuzzy action, there exists $x \in X$ such that $R^*(a^{-1}, y, x) > \theta$. Also as $a \in G$ and $x \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $R^*(a, x, z) > \theta$. Then:

$$(a*(a^{-1}*y)(z) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a,t,z) \wedge R^*(a^{-1},y,t) \ge R^*(a,x,z) \wedge R^*(a^{-1},y,x) > \theta$$

and

$$((a \circ a^{-1}) * y)(y) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a, a^{-1}, s) \wedge R^{*}(s, y, y) \geqslant R^{\circ}(a, a^{-1}, e) \wedge R^{*}(e, y, y) > \theta,$$

which implies z = y. That $R_a^*(x, y) = R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$. So, R_a^* is onto. Therefore it is a bijection.

Put $\Im^*(X) = \{R_a^* \mid a \in G\}$ and define a fuzzy subset H of $\Im^*(X) \times \Im^*(X) \times \Im^*(X)$ by:

$$H(R_a^*, R_b^*, R_c^*) = R(a, b, c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in G.$$

Then we have the following results:

Theorem 3.9. $(\Im^*(X), H)$ is a fuzzy group.

Corollary 3.10. The function $f : G \to \mathfrak{I}^*(X)$ defined by $f(a) = R_a^*$ for all $a \in G$ is an epimorphism.

The epimorphism f defined above is called the homomorphism associated with R^* . If f is one-to-one then R^* is called an effective fuzzy action of G on X. By the kernel of R^* , we mean the kernel of the homomorphism f.

Lemma 3.11. The fuzzy action R^* of a fuzzy group G on a set X is effective if and only if $kerR^* = \{e\}$.

Corollary 3.12. If R^* is the fuzzy action of G on itself by left translation, then R^* is effective.

Corollary 3.13. Let R^* be the fuzzy action of G on itself by conjugation. Then, R^* is effective if and only if the center Z(G) of G is $\{e\}$.

Proof. This can be verified by showing that kerf = Z(G).

Theorem 3.14. There is a fuzzy one-to-one correspondence between Or(x) and the collection of all left cosets of St(x).

Proof. Let H = St(x) and $\Sigma = \{[aH] | a \in G\}$. Define a fuzzy subset α of $Or(x) \times \Sigma$ by:

$$\alpha(y, [aH]) = R^*(a, x, y)$$

for all $a \in G, y \in Or(x)$.

First we show that α is a fuzzy function.

- 1. Let $y \in Or(x)$. There exists $a \in G$ such that $R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$. That is, $\alpha(y, [aH]) > \theta$.
- 2. Let $y \in Or(x)$ and $a, b \in G$ be such that $\alpha(y, [aH]) > \theta$ and $\alpha(y, [bH]) > \theta$. Let $z \in G$ be such that $R^*(a^{-1}, y, z) > \theta$. Then

$$(a^{-1} * (a * x))(z) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a^{-1}, t, z) \wedge R^*(a, x, t) \ge R^*(a^{-1}, y, z) \wedge R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$$

 and

$$((a^{-1} \circ a) * x)(x) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, a, s) \wedge R^{*}(s, x, x) \ge R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, a, e) \wedge R^{*}(e, x, x) > \theta,$$

which implies z = x. So, $R^*(a^{-1}, y, x) > \theta$. Similarly $R^*(b^{-1}, y, x) > \theta$.

Let $c \in G$ be such that $R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, b, c) > \theta$. We need to show that $c \in H$. Let $z \in X$ be such that $R^*(c, x, z) > \theta$. Then

$$((a^{-1} \circ b) * x)(z) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, b, s) \wedge R^{*}(s, x, z) \geqslant R^{\circ}(a^{-1}, b, c) \wedge R^{*}(c, x, z) > \theta$$
 and

and

$$(a^{-1}*(b*x))(x) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a^{-1}, t, x) \wedge R^*(b, x, t) \ge R^*(a^{-1}, y, x) \wedge R^*(b, x, y) > \theta,$$

which gives z = x. So, $R^*(c, x, x) > \theta$. Hence $c \in H$. Thus [aH] = [bH].

Therefore α is a fuzzy function. Since R^* is a fuzzy action of G on X, it follows that α is one-to-one and onto. Hence α is a fuzzy one-to-one correspondence. \Box

Definition 3.15. A fuzzy action of a fuzzy group G on a set X is said to be *transitive* if there is only one orbit in X, i.e., Or(x) = X for all $x \in X$. In this case, we say that G acts transitively on X.

Lemma 3.16. A fuzzy action R^* is transitive if and only if, for any pairs of elements $x, y \in X$, there is an element $a \in G$ such that $R^*(a, x, y) > \theta$.

Corollary 3.17. Let R^* be a fuzzy action of a fuzzy group (G, R°) on itself by left translation. Then R^* is transitive.

Definition 3.18. Let G be acting on two sets X_1 and X_2 by fuzzy actions R^* and R^{\triangle} respectively. These two fuzzy actions are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a bijection $\alpha : X_1 \to X_2$ such that

$$R^*(a, x, y) > \theta \Rightarrow R^{\triangle}(a, \alpha(x), \alpha(y)) > \theta$$

for all $a \in G, x, y \in X_1$.

Lemma 3.19. Let R^* be the fuzzy action of G on itself by left translation and let R^{Δ} be the fuzzy action of G on itself defined by:

$$R^{\triangle}(a, x, y) = R^{\circ}(x, a^{-1}, y)$$

for all $a, x, y \in G$. Then the fuzzy actions R^* and R^{\triangle} are equivalent.

Proof. This can be verified by taking $\alpha : G \to G$ defined by $\alpha(x) = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$.

Below we obtain an internal characterization of transitive fuzzy actions

Theorem 3.20. Let R^* be a transitive fuzzy action of G on a set X, $x \in X$ and H = St(x). Then, R^* is equivalent to the fuzzy action of G on the set of left cosets of H in G by left translation.

Proof. Put H = St(x), $X_1 = X$ and $X_2 = \{[aH] | a \in G\}$. Since R^* is transitive we have $Or(x) = X_1$. So for each $y \in X_1$ there exists $b \in G$ such that $R^*(b, x, y) > \theta$. Now define $f : X_1 \to X_2$ by:

$$f(y) = [aH]$$

for all $y \in X_1$. This f is a bijection. Remember that a fuzzy subset R^{\diamond} of $G \times X_2 \times X_2$ defined by

$$R^{\diamond}(a, [bH], [cH]) = R^{\diamond}(a, b, c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in G$$

is a fuzzy action of G on X_2 by left translation. Let $a \in G$ and $y_1, y_2 \in X_1$ such that $R^*(a, y_1, y_2) > \theta$. Now we show that

$$R^{\diamond}(a, f(y_1), f(y_2)) > \theta.$$

As $y_1 \in X_1 = Or(x)$, there exists $b \in G$ such that $R^*(b, x, y_1) > \theta$. As $a, b \in G$, $\exists c \in G$ such that $R^{\circ}(a, b, c) > \theta$. Let $z \in X_1$ be such that $R^*(c, x, z) > \theta$. Then:

$$((a \circ b) * x)(z) = \bigvee_{s \in G} R^{\circ}(a, b, s) \land R^{*}(s, x, z) \ge R^{\circ}(a, b, c) \land R^{*}(c, x, z) > \theta$$

 and

$$(a * (b * x))(y_2) = \bigvee_{t \in X} R^*(a, t, y_2) \land R^*(b, x, t) \ge R^*(a, y_1, y_2) \land R^*(b, x, y_1) > \theta,$$

which implies $y_2 = z$. So $R^*(c, x, y_2) > \theta$. That is $f(y_2) = [cH]$. Also we have $f(y_1) = [bH]$. Thus

$$R^{\diamond}(a, f(y_1), f(y_2)) = R^{\diamond}(a, [bH], [cH]) = R^{\diamond}(a, b, c) > \theta.$$

Hence R^* and R^\diamond are equivalent.

Among the transitive fuzzy actions, there is a special class, namely primitive fuzzy actions, which deserves emphasis.

Definition 3.21. Let R^* be a fuzzy action of a fuzzy group G on a set X. An equivalence relation ψ on X is said to be *compatible* with the fuzzy action R^* if, for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$, and $a \in G$ with $R^*(a, x_1, y_1) > \theta$ and $R^*(a, x_2, y_2) > \theta$

$$(x_1, x_2) \in \psi \Rightarrow (y_1, y_2) \in \psi.$$

Clearly the whole of $X \times X$ and the diagonal Δ_X are equivalence relation X which are compatible with every fuzzy action of G on X.

Definition 3.22. A fuzzy action of a fuzzy group G on a set X is called *primitive* if $X \times X$ and the diagonal Δ_X are the only equivalence relations on X which are compatible with it. A fuzzy action which is not primitive is called *imprimitive*.

Theorem 3.23. Let R^* and R^{\triangle} be equivalent fuzzy actions of G on X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Then, R^* is primitive if and only if R^{\triangle} too is so.

Proof. Let R^* and R^{\triangle} be equivalent fuzzy actions. Then there exists a bijection $f: X_1 \to X_2$ such that for any $a \in G$ and $x, y \in X_1$:

$$R^*(a, x, y) > \theta \Rightarrow R^{\triangle}(a, f(x), f(y)) > \theta.$$

Suppose that R^* is primitive. We show that R^{Δ} is also primitive. Let ψ be an equivalence relation on X_2 which is compatible with R^{Δ} . Then it can be seen that $f^{-1}(\psi) = \{(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2 \mid (f(x), f(y)) \in \psi\}$ is an equivalence relation on X_1 which is compatible with R^* . That is $f^{-1}(\psi) = \Delta_{X_1}$ or $f^{-1}(\psi) = X_1 \times X_1$ which is implies that $\psi = \Delta_{X_2}$ or $\psi = X_2 \times X_2$.

Theorem 3.24. Let R^* be a fuzzy action of G on a set X. Then, R^* is imprimitive if and only if there exists a proper subset Y of X with |Y| > 1 such that, for any $a \in G$, either $R^*(a, Y) = Y$ or $R^*(a, Y) \cap Y = \emptyset$, where $R^*(a, Y) = \{z \in X | R^*(a, y, z) > \theta \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$.

Proof. Suppose that R^* is imprimitive. Then, there exists an equivalence relation ψ on X which is compatible with R^* such that $\psi \neq X \times X$ and $\psi \neq \Delta_X$. Choose $x \neq y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in \psi$. Put Y = the equivalence class of ψ containing x. That is $Y = \psi(x) = \{z \in X \mid (x, z) \in \psi\}$. Since $x \neq y \in Y$, |Y| > 1. Moreover, since $\psi \neq X \times X$, Y is a proper subset of X. Now let $a \in G$ such that $R^*(a, Y) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Then choose an element $z_1 \in Y$ such that $R^*(a, z_1, z_2) > \theta$ for some $z_2 \in Y$ so, $(x, z_2) \in \psi$. Let $R^*(a, x, u) > \theta$ for some $u \in X$. Since $(x, z_1) \in \psi$ and ψ is compatible with R^* , we get $(u, z_2) \in \psi$ which implies that $(x, u) \in \psi$. Now it can be easily verified that $R^*(a, Y) = Y$.

Conversely suppose that there is a proper subset Y of X with |Y| > 1 such that either $R^*(a, Y) \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $R^*(a, Y) = Y$. Then for any a and $b \in G$,

either
$$R^*(a, Y) = R^*(b, Y)$$
 or $R^*(a, Y) \cap R^*(b, Y) = \emptyset$.

Put $Z = X - (\bigcup_{a \in G} R^*(a, Y))$. Then $P = \{R^*(a, Y) \mid a \in G\} \cup \{Z\}$ is a partition of X and the corresponding equivalence relation ψ on X is compatible with the fuzzy action R^* . Since $Y = R^*(e, Y)$ is an equivalence class and $Y \neq X$, it follows that $\psi \neq X \times X$. Also since |Y| > 1, $\psi \neq \Delta_X$. Thus R^* is imprimitive. \Box

Lemma 3.25. Let R^* be a fuzzy action of G on a set X. Define

$$\psi^* = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid R^*(a, x, y) > \theta \text{ for some } a \in G\}.$$

Then, ψ^* is an equivalence relation on X, which is compatible with the fuzzy action action R^* .

Corollary 3.26. If a fuzzy action R^* of G on X is primitive, then either R^* is transitive or

$$R^*(a, x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $a \in G$ and $x, y \in X$.

Proof. If R^* is fuzzy primitive, then $\psi^* = \Delta_X$ or $X \times X$ and hence all orbits are singleton sets or there is only one orbit.

In particular, a nontrivial primitive fuzzy action must be necessarily transitive and hence the class of nontrivial primitive fuzzy actions of a fuzzy group G on a set X is a subclass of the transitive fuzzy actions of G on X. But in general a transitive fuzzy action need not be primitive.

References

- [1] H. Aktas, N. Cagman, A type of fuzzy ring, Arch. Math. Logic, 46 (2007), 165-177.
- [2] M. Haddadi, Some algebraic properties of fuzzy S-acts, Ratio Math., 24 (2013), 53-62.
- [3] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [4] E. Roventa, T. Spircu, Groups operating on fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 120 (2001), 543-548.
- M. Učkun, Homomorphism theorems in the new view of fuzzy rings, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 7 (2014), 879-890.
- [6] E. Yetkin, A note on direct product of fuzzy modules over fuzzy rings, Int. J. Algebra, 8 (2014), 129-134.
- [7] X. Yuan, E.S. Lee, Fuzzy group based on fuzzy binary operation, Comput. Math. Appl., 47 (2004), 631-641.
- [8] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.

Received April 21, 2018

G.M. Addis and D.A. Engidaw Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

E-mails: buttu412@yahoo.com (G.M. Addis), deab02@yahoo.com (D.A. Engidaw)

T.G. Alemayehu Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Debre Berhan University, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia E-mail: teferigetachew3@yahoo.com