On bi-bases of a semigroup

Pisit Kummoon and Thawhat Changphas

Abstract. Based on the results of bi-ideals generated by a non-empty subset of a semigroup S, we introduce the concept which is called bi-bases of the semigroup S. Using the quasi-order defined by the principal bi-ideals of S, we give a characterization when a non-empty subset of S is a bi-base of S.

1. Preliminaries

Let S be a semigroup. A subset A of the semigroup S is called a *two-sided base* (or simply *base*) of S if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $S = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS$;
- (ii) if B is a subset of A such that $S = B \cup SB \cup BS \cup SBS$, then B = A.

This notion was first introduced and studied by I. Fabrici [3]. In fact, using the quasi-order defined by principal two-sided ideals of S, the author gave a characterization when a non-empty subset of S is a base of S. Moreover, the structure of semigroups containing two-sided bases was described. Indeed, using the concepts of left ideals and right ideals generated by a non-empty set, the concepts of left bases and right bases of a semigroup were introduced by T. Tamura before the concept of two-sided bases (see [7]). In [2], I. Fabrici studied the structure of a semigroup containing one-sided bases. In [4], I. Fabrici and T. Kepka showed that there is a relation between bases and maximal ideals of a semigroup. The results obtaind by I. Fabrici [3] have been extended to ordered semigroups by T. Changphas and P. Summaprab (see [1]). As in the line of I. Fabrici ([3], [2]) mentioned before, the main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept which is called bi-bases of a semigroup. We also define the quasi-order using principal bi-ideals of S, and give a characterization when a non-empty subset of S is a bi-base of S.

Let S be a semigroup, and A, B non-empty subsets of S. The set product AB of A and B is defined to be the set of all elements ab with a in A and b in B. That is

$$AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

For $a \in S$, we write Ba for $B\{a\}$, and similarly for aB.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20

Keywords: semigroup, two-sided ideal, bi-ideal, two-sided base, bi-base, quasi-order

A subsemigroup B of a semigroup S is called a *bi-ideal* ([5], [6]) of S if

 $BSB \subseteq B$.

This notion generalizes the notion of one-sided ideals and two-sided ideals of a semigroup.

Let S be a semigroup, and B_i a bi-ideal of S for each *i* in an indexed set I. It is known that if $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$ is a bi-ideal of S. Moreover, for a non-empty subset A of S, the intersection of all bi-ideals of S containing A, denoted by $(A)_b$, is the smallest bi-ideal of S containing A. And it is of the form

$$(A)_b = A \cup AA \cup ASA.$$

In particular, for $A = \{a\}$, we write $(\{a\})_b$ by $(a)_b$ (see [6]).

2. Main Results

We begin this section with the following definition of bi-bases of a semigroup.

Definition 2.1. Let S be a semigroup. A subset B of S is called a *bi-base* of S if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $S = (B)_b$ (i.e. $S = B \cup BB \cup BSB$);
- (ii) if A is a subset of B such that $S = (A)_b$, then A = B.

Example 2.2. Let $S = \{r, s, t, u\}$ be a semigroup with the binary operation defined by:

·	r	s	t	u
r	r	s	r	r
s	s	r	s	s
t	r	s	t	u
u	r	s	u	t

We have that the bi-bases of S are: $B_1 = \{t\}$ and $B_2 = \{u\}$.

Example 2.3. Let $S = \{p, q, r, s\}$ be a semigroup with the binary operation defined by:

It is a routine matter to check that S has only one bi-base: $B = \{r, s\}$.

Example 2.4. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d, x, y\}$ be a semigroup with the binary operation defined by:

•	a	b	c	d	x	y
a	a	b	c	d	x	y
b	b	c	a	y	d	x
c	c	a	d	x	y	d
d	d	x	y	a	b	c
x	x	y	d	c	a	b
y	$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$	d	x	b	c	a

We have that the singleton sets consisting of an element of S are bi-bases of S.

First, we have the following useful lemma:

Lemma 2.5. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S, and $a, b \in B$. If $a \in bb \cup bSb$, then a = b.

Proof. Assume that $a \in bb \cup bSb$, and suppose that $a \neq b$. We consider

 $A = B \setminus \{a\}.$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b, b \in A$. We will show that $(A)_b = S$. Clearly, $(A)_b \subseteq S$. Let $x \in S$. Then, by $(B)_b = S$, we have $x \in B \cup BB \cup BSB$. There are three cases to consider:

CASE 1: $x \in B$. SUBCASE 1.1: $x \neq a$. Then $x \in B \setminus \{a\} = A \subseteq (A)_b$.

SUBCASE 1.2: x = a. By assumption,

$$x = a \in bb \cup bSb \subseteq AA \cup ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

CASE 2: $x \in BB$. Then $x = b_1b_2$ for some $b_1, b_2 \in B$. SUBCASE 2.1: $b_1 = a$ and $b_2 = a$. By assumption,

$$x = b_1 b_2 \in (bb \cup bSb)(bb \cup bSb) = bbbb \cup bbbSb \cup bSbbb \cup bSbbSb$$
$$\subseteq AAAA \cup AAASA \cup ASAAA \cup ASAASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

SUBCASE 2.2: $b_1 \neq a$ and $b_2 = a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$x = b_1 b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\})(bb \cup bSb) = (B \setminus \{a\})bb \cup (B \setminus \{a\})bSb$$
$$\subseteq AAA \cup AASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

SUBCASE 2.3: $b_1 = a$ and $b_2 \neq a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 b_2 \in (bb \cup bSb)(B \setminus \{a\}) = bb(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup bSb(B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &\subseteq AAA \cup ASAA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 2.4: $b_1 \neq a$ and $b_2 \neq a$. From $A = B \setminus \{a\}$,

$$x = b_1 b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\})(B \setminus \{a\}) = AA \subseteq (A)_b$$

CASE 3: $x \in BSB$. Then $x = b_3sb_4$ for some $b_3, b_4 \in B$ and $s \in S$. SUBCASE 3.1: $b_3 = a$ and $b_4 = a$. By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 s b_4 \in (bb \cup bSb) S (bb \cup bSb) = bbSbb \cup bbSbSb \cup bSbSbb \cup bSbSbb \cup bSbSbSb \\ &\subseteq AASAA \cup AASASA \cup ASASAA \cup ASASASA \\ &\subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 3.2: $b_3 \neq a$ and $b_4 = a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 s b_3 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) S(bb \cup bSb) = (B \setminus \{a\}) Sbb \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) SbSb \\ &\subseteq ASAA \cup ASASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 3.3: $b_3 = a$ and $b_4 \neq a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$x = b_3 s b_4 \in (bb \cup bSb) S(B \setminus \{a\}) = bbS(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup bSbS(B \setminus \{a\})$$
$$\subseteq AASA \cup ASASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

SUBCASE 3.4: $b_3 \neq a$ and $b_4 \neq a$. From $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, hence

$$x = b_3 s b_4 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) S(B \setminus \{a\}) = ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

Hence, $(A)_b = S$. And this is a contradiction. Thus a = b.

Lemma 2.6. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S. Let $a, b, c \in B$. If $a \in cb \cup cSb$, then a = b or a = c.

Proof. Assume that $a \in cb \cup cSb$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. We set

$$A = B \setminus \{a\}.$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(A)_b = S$. Clearly, $(A)_b \subseteq S$. Let $x \in S$. By $(B)_b = S$, $x \in B \cup BB \cup BSB$. We consider three cases:

Case 1: $x \in B$.

SUBCASE 1.1: $x \neq a$. Then $x \in B \setminus \{a\} = A \subseteq (A)_b$. SUBCASE 1.2: x = a. By assumption, $x = a \in cb \cup cSb \subseteq AA \cup ASA \subseteq (A)_b$.

CASE 2: $x \in BB$. Then $x = b_1b_2$ for some $b_1, b_2 \in B$. SUBCASE 2.1: $b_1 = a$ and $b_2 = a$. By assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 b_2 \in (cb \cup cSb)(cb \cup cSb) = cbcb \cup cbcSb \cup cSbcb \cup cSbcSb \\ &\subseteq AAAA \cup AAASA \cup ASAAA \cup ASAASA \\ &\subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 2.2: $b_1 \neq a$ and $b_2 = a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

 $x = b_1 b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\})(cb \cup cSb) = (B \setminus \{a\})cb \cup (B \setminus \{a\})cSb$ $\subseteq AAA \cup AASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$

SUBCASE 2.3: $b_1 = a$ and $b_2 \neq a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$x = b_1 b_2 \in (cb \cup cSb)(B \setminus \{a\}) = cb(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup cSb(B \setminus \{a\})$$
$$\subseteq AAA \cup ASAA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

SUBCASE 2.4: $b_1 \neq a$ and $b_2 \neq a$. From $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, hence

$$x = b_1 b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\})(B \setminus \{a\}) = AA \subseteq (A)_b$$

CASE 3: $x \in BSB$. Then $x = b_3sb_4$ for some $b_3, b_4 \in B$ and $s \in S$. SUBCASE 3.1: $b_3 = a$ and $b_4 = a$. By assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 s b_4 \in (cb \cup cSb) S(cb \cup cSb) = cbScb \cup cbScSb \cup cSbScb \cup cSbScSb \\ &\subseteq AASAA \cup AASASA \cup ASASAA \cup ASASASA \\ &\subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 3.2: $b_3 \neq a$ and $b_4 = a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 s b_3 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) S(cb \cup cSb) = (B \setminus \{a\}) Scb \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) ScSb \\ &\subseteq ASAA \cup ASASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

SUBCASE 3.3: $b_3 = a$ and $b_4 \neq a$. By assumption and $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, we have

$$x = b_3 s b_4 \in (cb \cup cSb)S(B \setminus \{a\}) = cbS(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup cSbS(B \setminus \{a\})$$
$$\subseteq AASA \cup ASASA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

SUBCASE 3.4: $b_3 \neq a$ and $b_4 \neq a$. From $A = B \setminus \{a\}$, hence

$$x = b_3 s b_4 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) S(B \setminus \{a\}) = ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$$

Hence $(A)_b = S$. This is a contradiction, and thus a = b.

To give a characterization when a non-empty subset of a semigroup is a bi-base of the semigroup we need the quasi-order defined as follows:

Definition 2.7. Let S be a semigroup. Define a quasi-order on S by, for any $a, b \in S$,

$$a \leq_b b :\Leftrightarrow (a)_b \subseteq (b)_b.$$

The following example shows that the relation \leq_b defined above is not, in general, a partial order.

Example 2.8. From Example 2.4, we have that $(a)_b \subseteq (b)_b$ (i.e., $a \leq_b b$) and $(b)_b \subseteq (a)_b$ (i.e., $b \leq_b a$), but $a \neq b$. Thus, \leq_b is not a partial order on S.

Lemma 2.9. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S. If $a, b \in B$ such that $a \neq b$, then neither $a \leq_b b$, nor $b \leq_b a$.

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in B$ such that $a \neq b$. Suppose that $a \leq_b b$; then

$$a \in (a)_b \subseteq (b)_b.$$

By assumption we have $a \neq b$, so $a \in bb \cup bSb$. By Lamma 2.5, a = b. This is a contradiction. The case $b \leq b a$ can be proved similarly.

Lemma 2.10. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S. Let $a, b, c \in B$ and $s \in S$:

(1) If $a \in bc \cup bcbc \cup bcSbc$, then a = b or a = c.

(2) If $a \in bsc \cup bscbsc \cup bscSbsc$, then a = b or a = c.

Proof. (1). Assume that $a \in bc \cup bcbc \cup bcSbc$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Let

$$A = B \setminus \{a\}.$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$, if suffices to show that $B \subseteq (A)_b$. Let $x \in B$. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in A$, and so $x \in (A)_b$. If x = a, then by assumption we have

 $x = a \in bc \cup bcbc \cup bcSbc \subseteq AA \cup AAAA \cup AASAA \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b.$

Thus, $B \subseteq (A)_b$. This implies $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$. Since B is a bi-base of S,

$$S = (B)_b \subseteq (A)_b \subseteq S.$$

Therefore $S = (A)_b$. This is a contradiction.

(2). Assume that $a \in bsc \cup bscbsc \cup bscSbsc$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Let

$$A = B \setminus \{a\}.$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$, if suffices to show that $B \subseteq (A)_b$. Let $x \in B$. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in A$, and so $x \in (A)_b$. If x = a, then by assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = a \in bsc \cup bscbsc \cup bscSbsc \subseteq ASA \cup ASAASA \cup ASASASA \\ \subseteq ASA \subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $B \subseteq (A)_b$. This implies $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$. Since B is a bi-base of S,

$$S = (B)_b \subseteq (A)_b \subseteq S.$$

Therefore, $S = (A)_b$. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.11. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S.

- (1) For any $a, b, c \in B$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \leq b bc$.
- (2) For any $a, b, c \in B$ and $s \in S$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \leq b$ bsc.

Proof. (1). For any $a, b, c \in B$, let $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Suppose that $a \leq_b bc$, we have

$$a \in (a)_b \subseteq (bc)_b = bc \cup bcbc \cup bcSbc$$

By Lamma 2.10 (1), it follows that a = b or a = c. This contradicts to assumption. (2). For any $a, b, c \in B$ and $s \in S$, let $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Suppose that $a \leq_b bsc$, we have $a \in (a)_b \subseteq (bsc)_b = bsc \cup bscbsc \cup bscSbsc$. By Lamma 2.10 (2), it follows

that a = b or a = c. This contradicts to assumption.

We now prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.12. A non-empty subset B of a semigroup S is a bi-base of S if and only if B satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For any $x \in S$,
 - (1.a) there exists $b \in B$ such that $x \leq_b b$; or
 - (1.b) there exist $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $x \leq_b b_1 b_2$; or
 - (1.c) there exist $b_3, b_4 \in B, s \in S$ such that $x \leq b b_3 s b_4$.
- (2) For any $a, b, c \in B$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \leq b bc$.
- (3) For any $a, b, c \in B$ and $s \in S$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \leq b$ bsc.

Proof. Assume first that B is a bi-base of S; then $S = (B)_b$. To show that (1) holds, let $x \in S$. Then $x \in B \cup BB \cup BSB$.

We consider three cases:

CASE 1: $x \in B$. Then x = b for some $b \in B$. This implies $(x)_b \subseteq (b)_b$. Hence $x \leq_b b$.

CASE 2: $x \in BB$. Then $x = b_1b_2$ for some $b_1, b_2 \in B$. This implies $(x)_b \subseteq (b_1b_2)_b$. Hence $x \leq b_1b_2$.

CASE 3: $x \in BSB$. Then $x = b_3sb_4$ for some $b_3, b_4 \in B, s \in S$. This implies $(x)_b \subseteq (b_3sb_4)_b$. Hence $x \leq b b_3sb_4$.

The validity of (2) and (3) follow, respectively, from Lemma 2.11 (1), and Lemma 2.11 (2).

Conversely, assume that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. We will show that B is a bi-base of S. Clearly, $(B)_b \subseteq S$. By (1), $S \subseteq (B)_b$, and $S = (B)_b$. It remains to show that B is a minimal subset of S with the property $S = (B)_b$.

Suppose that $S = (A)_b$ for some $A \subset B$. Since $A \subset B$, there exists $b \in B \setminus A$. Since $b \in B \subseteq S = (A)_b$ and $b \notin A$, it follows that $b \in AA \cup ASA$.

There are two cases to consider:

CASE 1: $b \in AA$. Then $b = a_1a_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in A$. We have $a_1, a_2 \in B$. Since $b \notin A$, so $b \neq a_1$ and $b \neq a_2$. Since $b = a_1a_2$, so $(b)_b \subseteq (a_1a_2)_b$. Hence $b \leq_b a_1a_2$. This contradicts to (2).

CASE 2: $b \in ASA$. Then $b = a_3sa_4$ for some $a_3, a_4 \in A$ and $s \in S$. Since $b \notin A$, we have $b \neq a_3$ and $b \neq a_4$. Since $A \subset B$, $a_3, a_4 \in B$. Since $b = a_3sa_4$, so $(b)_b \subseteq (a_3sa_4)_b$. Hence, $b \leq_b a_3sa_4$. This contradicts to (3).

Therefore, B is a bi-base of S as required, and the proof is completed. \Box

In Example 2.2, we have that $\{u\}$ is a bi-base of S where as it is not a subsemigroup of S. So, we find a condition in order that a bi-base is a subsemigroup.

Theorem 2.13. Let B be a bi-base of a semigroup S. Then B is a subsemigroup of S if and only if B satisfies the following conditions: For any $b, c \in B$, bc = b or bc = c.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, and B is a subsemigroup of S implies for any $b, c \in B$, bc = b or bc = c. The opposit direction is clear.

Question. It was proved in [3] (Theorem 3) that for any two two-sided bases of a semigroup have the same cardinality. This is hold true for an ordered semigroup (see [1], Theorem 2.10). Here, we ask for bi-bases of a semigroup. Indeed, is it true that for any two bi-bases of a semigroup have the same cardinality?

References

- T. Changphas and P. Summaprab, On two-sided bases of an ordered semigroup, Quasigroups and Related Systems 22 (2014), 59-66.
- [2] I. Fabrici, One-sided bases of semigroups, Matematický casopis, 22 (1972), no. 4, 286 - -290.
- [3] I. Fabrici, Two-sided bases of semigroups, Matematický časopis, 3 (2009), 181 -188.
- [4] I. Fabrici and T. Kepka, On bases and maximal ideals in semigroups, Math. Slovaca, 31 (1981), 115 – 120.
- [5] R.A. Good and D.R. Hughes, Associated groups for a semigroup, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 58 (1952), 624 - 625.
- [6] O. Steinfeld, Quasi-ideals in rings and semigroups, With a foreword by L. Rédei. Disquisitiones Mathematicae Hungaricae [Hungarian Mathematics Investigations], 10. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1978.
- [7] **T. Tamura**, One-sided bases and translations of a semigroup, Math. Japan. **3** (1955), 137 141.

Received April 19, 2017

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayuttaya Rd., Bangkok 10400, Thailand E-mail: thacha@kku.ac.th