Classification of loops of generalized Bol-Moufang type

B. Coté, B. Harvill, M. Huhn and A. Kirchman

Abstract. A loop identity $\alpha = \beta$ is of *Bol-Moufang type* if the same 3 variables appear on both sides of the equal sign in the same order, one of the variables appears twice on both sides and the remaining two variables appear once on both sides. One can generalize this definition by allowing different variable orders on either side of the identity, e.g. ((xx)y)z = x(y(xz)). There are 1215 nontrivial identities of this type. Loop varieties axiomatized by a single identity of this type are said to be of *generalized Bol-Moufang type*. We show that there are 48 such varieties: the 14 varieties of Bol-Moufang type [13], the 6 varieties of commutative Bol-Moufang type, and 28 new varieties.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to find and classify all loops that are a generalization of loops of Bol-Moufang type [3], [4], [8], [13], and [17].

A quasigroup is a set Q with a binary operation * such that the equation a * b = c has a unique solution in Q whenever two of a, b, and c are fixed elements of Q.

A *loop* is a quasigroup with a two-sided neutral element, which we will denote as 1. Standard references for loop theory are [1] and [15].

An identity $\alpha = \beta$ is of Bol - Mouthang type if it satisfies the following:

- 1. the only operation in α and β is *,
- 2. the same 3 variables appear in α and β ,
- 3. one of the variables appears twice in α and β ,
- 4. the remaining two variables appear once in α and β ,

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N20, 20M17

Keywords: quasigroup, loop, Bol-Moufang type.

This research was supported by a National Science Foundation grant DMS-0755260 and the Wabash Summer Institute in Mathematics as part of a Research Experience for Undergraduates.

5. the variables appear in the same order in α and β .

We generalize this by dropping the fifth condition, above. An identity $\alpha = \beta$ is of generalized Bol – Moufang type if it satisfies the following:

- 1. the only operation in α and β is *,
- 2. the same 3 variables appear in α and β ,
- 3. one of the variables appears twice in α and β ,
- 4. the remaining two variables appear once in α and β .

This paper presents the classification of all varieties of loops of generalized Bol-Moufang type. Given the classification of loops of Bol-Moufang type [13], we examine those identities in which the variables do not appear in the same order in α and β . We show that these identities are one of 48 varieties: the 14 varieties of Bol-Moufang type [13], the 6 varieties of commutative Bol-Moufang type, and 28 new varieties. As 28 is a perfect number, we name the new varieties Perfect.

For our convenience, we let x be the double variable and y and z be the remaining two variables in this classification. Also, we omit * when multiplying two elements together (e.g. x * y = xy).

Throughout the course of this research, Prover9, an automated theorem prover, and Mace4, a finite model builder, were used [11]. For ease of reading, only several distinguishing proofs and several important counterexamples are found in this paper. Untranslated proofs from Prover9 are not included.

2. Notation and definitions

The following scheme is used to label each identity. This is an extension of the labeling scheme used by Phillips and Vojtěchovský [14].

	Variabl	e Or	der	Multiplication Orde
А	xxyz	G	xxzy	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h(ad)}{2} \right)$
В	xyxz	Η	xzxy	1 a(b(ca))
С	yxxz	Ι	zxxy	$2 \begin{vmatrix} a((bc)c) \\ (-1)(-b) \end{vmatrix}$
D	xyzx	J	xzyx	3 (ab)(ca)
Е	yxzx	K	zxyx	$\begin{array}{c c} 4 & (a(bc))a \\ 5 & ((b))a \end{array}$
\mathbf{F}	yzxx	L	zyxx	$5 \mid ((ab)c)d$

For example, the identity (x(yx))z = z((xy)x) is called B4K2.

The following terminology is used to describe the generalized Bol-Moufang identities. The variable order of α is *normal* if y appears before z, i.e., α of

variable order A - F. The remaining variable orders are created by flipping y and z and are thus called *flip* where G is the flip of A, and so on. An identity $\alpha = \beta$ is normal – normal if α and β are normal. We define normal – *flip*, *flip* – *flip*, and *flip* – normal similarly. The dual of an identity is the identity created when reading an identity from right to left. For example, the dual of A1B2, x(x(yz)) = x((yx)z), is (z(xy))x = ((zy)x)x, or E4F5.

3. Identities of generalized Bol-Moufang type

In order to classify all varieties of loops of generalized Bol-Moufang type, we first count all possible identities of generalized Bol-Moufang type. We then find equivalencies among these identities, systematically examining and eliminating first equivalent commutative identities and then equivalent non-commutative identities. The remainder is the list of unique varieties, the loops of Perfect type.

Theorem 3.1. There are 1215 non-trivial identities of generalized Bol-Moufang type.

Proof. Note that each normal-flip identity has an equivalent flip-normal identity. For example, A1H2 is equivalent to H2A1. Likewise, each normal-normal identity has an equivalent flip-flip identity when the substitution y = z is made. It is thus sufficient to count the normal-flip identities and normal-normal identities, i.e. identities where α has the variable order A, B, C, D, E, or F.

Note that α and β can be 1 of 5 possible multiplication orders; each identity of variable order $\alpha = \beta$ thus has 25 possible multiplication orders.

Consider first the normal-flip identities in which β is the flip of α ; for example, A1G3. There are 25 such identities for A, B, C, D, E, and F. However, for each variable order, ten of the normal-flip identities are equivalent to one of the remaining 15 when the substitution y = z is made. For example, A1G2 is equivalent to A2G1. Thus, there are 15 identities for the normal-flip identities in which β is the flip of α .

Consider the remaining normal-flip identities and the normal-normal identities. Let A be the variable order for α . Note that AA is Bol-Moufang and has thus been classified [13], and that AG has already been accounted for. There are 10 remaining possible variable pairings with A: AB, AC, AD, AE, AF, AH, AI, AJ, AK, and AL. Likewise, the following are possible variable pairings with B: BA, BC, BD, BE, BF, BG, BI, BJ,

BK, and BL. Note that BA is equivalent to AB and has thus already been counted. Therefore, B has 9 possible variable pairings. Similarly, C has 8 possible variable pairings, D has 7, E has 6, and F has 5.

Multiplying the normal-flip and normal-normal variable orders with the possible 25 multiplication orders and adding the specific case of the normal-flip identities in which β is the flip of α gives the total number of identities: (10 + 9 + 8 + 7 + 6 + 5) * 25 + (6) * 15 = 1215

4. Commutative identities

First we examine the identities which imply commutativity. Any identity in which letting x, y, or z = 1 yields zy = yz, xy = yx, or xz = zx will be commutative.

Theorem 4.1. There are 1092 commutative identities of the generalized Bol-Moufang type.

Proof. The 840 normal - flip identities are commutative because when x = 1, they become yz = zy.

In addition, 90 normal-normal identities were found to be commutative by letting x, y, or z = 1: A1B1, A1B2, A1B4, A1D1, A1D2, A1D3, A1E1, A1E3, A2B1, A2B2, A2B4, A2D1, A2D2, A2D3, A2E1, A2E3, A4B1, A4B2, A4B4, A4D1, A4D2, B1D1, B1D2, B1D3, B1E1, B1E3, B2D1, B2D2, B2D3, B2E1, B2E3, B3C3, B3C5, B3D1, B3D2, B3D3, B3E1, B3E3, B3E4, B3E5, B3F4, B3F5, B5C3, B5C5, B5E3, B5E4, B5E5, B5F4, B5F5, C1D1, C1D2, C1D3, C1E1, C1E3, C3D1, C3D2, C3D3, C3D4, C3D5, C3E1, C3E3, C5D3, C5D4, C5D5, D3E3, D3E4, D3E5, D3F4, D3F5, D4E3, D4E4, D4E5, D4F2, D4F4, D4F5, D5E3, D5E4, D5E5, D5F2, D5F4, D5F5, E2F2, E2F4, E2F5, E4F2, E4F4, E4F5, E5F2, E5F4, E5F5.

Example 4.2. For the equation A4B2, (x(xy))z = x((yx)z) when setting the variable z as the identity the equation yields x(xy) = x(yx), which is left cancelative. The resulting equation is xy = yx, which is commutative.

162 remaining commutative identities were found using Prover9 [11]: A1B3, A1B5, A1D4, A1D5, A1E2, A1E4, A1E5, A2B3, A2B5, A2D4, A2D5, A2E2, A2E4, A2E5, A3B1, A3B2, A3B3, A3D1, A3D3, A3D5, A3E3, A3E4, A3E5, A4B3, A4B5, A4D3, A4D4, A4D5, A4E1, A4E2, A4E3, A4E4, A4E5, A5B1, A5B2, A5B3, A5D1, A5D3, A5D5, A5E3, A5E4, A5E5, B1C1, B1C2, B1C3, B1C4, B1C5, B1D4, B1D5, B1E2, B1E4, B1E5, B1F1, B1F2, B1F3, $\begin{array}{l} B1F4, B1F5, B2C1, B2C2, B2C3, B2C4, B2C5, B2D4, B2D5, B2E2, B2E4,\\ B2E5, B2F1, B2F2, B2F3, B2F4, B2F5, B3C1, B3C2, B3C4, B3D4, B3D5,\\ B3E2, B3F1, B3F2, B3F3, B4C1, B4C3, B4C5, B4D1, B4D3, B4D5, B4E3,\\ B4E4, B4E5, B4F2, B4F4, B4F5, B5C1, B5D1, B5D3, B5D5, B5F2, C1D4,\\ C1D5, C1E2, C1E4, C1E5, C2D1, C2D3, C2D5, C2E3, C2E4, C2E5, C3E2,\\ C3E4, C3E5, C4D1, C4D3, C4D5, C4E3, C4E4, C4E5, C5D1, C5D2, C5E1,\\ C5E2, C5E3, C5E4, C5E5, D1E1, D1E2, D1E3, D1E4, D1E5, D1F1, D1F2,\\ D1F3, D1F4, D1F5, D2E3, D2E4, D2E5, D2F2, D2F4, D2F5, D3E1, D3E2,\\ D3F1, D3F2, D3F3, D5E1, D5E2, D5F1, D5F3, E1F2, E1F4, E1F5, E3F1,\\ E3F2, E3F3, E3F4, E3F5, E4F1, E4F3, E5F1, E5F3.\\ \end{array}$

Thus, since 840+90+162 = 1092, there are 1092 commutative identities of Bol-Moufang type.

Theorem 4.3. Any commutative identity of the generalized Bol-Moufang type can either be commuted to be of the Bol-Moufang type (i.e. the variables appear in the same order in α and β) or is of the commutative Moufang variety.

Proof. Many commutative identities of the generalized Bol-Moufang type can be commuted to be of the Bol-Moufang type and have thus been classified [13]. Using the following table, we generated a list of the only commutative identities that cannot be commuted to the Bol-Moufang type. Letting α have the variable order of the left-most column and the multiplication order of the top-most row, all possible commutations of α are listed, such that α commutes to some multiplication order of the listed variable orders.

	1	2	3	4	5
Α	D,F,G,J,L	$_{ m B,D,E,H,J,K,L}$	$_{ m F,G,L}$	B,C,I,K,L	C,I,L
В	D,E,F,G,H,J,K	A,D,E,H,J,K,L	$\mathrm{C},\mathrm{D},\mathrm{E},\mathrm{H},\mathrm{I},\mathrm{J},\mathrm{K}$	A,C,I,K,L	A,C,I,K,L
\mathbf{C}	E,F,G,H,I,	$_{ m F,G,I}$	$_{\mathrm{B,D,E,H,I,J,K}}$	A,I,L	A,D,E,H,I,K,L
D	B,E,F,G,H,J,K	A,F,G,J,L	$_{\mathrm{B,C,E,H,I,J,K}}$	A,F,G,J,L	A,B,E,H,J,K,L
E	C,F,G,H,I	${ m C,F,G,H,I}$	$_{\mathrm{B,C,D,H,I,J,K}}$	B,D,F,G,H,J,K	A,B,D,H,J,K,L
\mathbf{F}	C,G,I	$_{\mathrm{C,E,G,H,I}}$	A,G,L	B,D,E,G,H,J,K	A,D,G,J,L
G	A,D,F,J,L	$_{\mathrm{B,D,E,F,H,J,K}}$	A, F, L	C, E, F, H, I	C,F,I
Η	A,B,D,E,J,K,L	$_{\mathrm{B,D,E,F,G,J,K}}$	$_{\mathrm{B,C,D,E,I,J,K}}$	C, E, F, G, I	C, E, F, G, I
Ι	A,B,C,K,L	$^{\rm A,C,L}$	$_{\mathrm{B,C,D,E,H,J,K}}$	C,F,G	C, E, F, G, H
J	A,B,D,E,H,K,L	A, D, F, G, L	B,C,D,E,H,I,K	A,D,F,G,L	B,D,E,F,G,H,K
Κ	A,B,C,I,L	A,B,C,I,L	$_{\mathrm{B,C,D,E,H,I,J}}$	A,B,D,E,J,H,L	B,D,E,F,G,H,J
\mathbf{L}	A,C,I	A, B, C, I, K	A,F,G	A,B,D,E,J,H,K	A,D,F,G,J

Table 1: Possible Commutations

Example 4.4. A3 = (xx)(yz), can be commuted into the variable order F, G, or L, namely (yz)(xx), (xx)(zy), or (zy)(xx).

197

Using this table, we found all generalized Bol-Moufang identities which could not be commuted into the Bol-Moufang type and eliminated any which did not axiomatize a commutative variety. The following identities are commutative but cannot be commuted into the Bol-Moufang type: A2G5, A2I4, A3H3, A3I3, A3J3, A3K3, A5H2, A5J5, A5K5, B1I2, B1L1, B2G5, B2I4, B3G3, C2H1, C2J1, C2K4, C2L4, C3G3, C3L3, C4G2, C4G2, C4J5, C4K5, D1L1, D3G3, D3L3, D5G5, D5I4, E3G3, E3L3, E4I2, E4L1, E5G5, E5I4, F1H1, F1J1, F1K4, F1L4, F3H3, F3I3, F3J3, F3K3, and F4I2.

Using Prover9, we found these identities to be equivalent to the commutative Moufang identity, (xx)(yz) = (xy)(xz), and have thus been classified [11]. An example of one of these proofs follows.

Theorem 4.5. A2I4 is of the commutative Moufang variety.

Proof. Letting x = 1 in A2I4, x((xy)z) = (z(xx))y, gives communitativity, yz = zy. Similarly, by setting y = 1, we have x(xz) = z(xx). Using these,

 $\begin{aligned} x(z(xy)) &= x((xy)z) & \text{(by commutativity)} \\ &= (z(xx))y & \text{(assumption)} \\ &= (x(xz))y \\ &= ((xz)x)y & \text{(by commutativity)} \end{aligned}$

Thus, A2I4 is commutative Moufang variety.

Similarly, it can be shown that all commutative identities that do not commute to be of the Bol-Moufang type are of the commutative Moufang variety. Thus, all commutative identities of the generalized Bol-Moufang type have already been classified [13].

5. Non-commutative identities

With 1092 identities that have already been classified, there are 123 remaining non-commutative identities of the generalized Bol-Moufang type. Using the automated theorem prover, Prover9, and the finite model builder, Mace4, we eliminated any identity that was equivalent to another, finding the following 28 Perfect varieties. The first identity listed is used in future structural analysis and was chosen such that its dual is also in the list of 28 varieties. The equivalencies are as follows, with several notable counterexamples and proofs:

Theorem 5.1. A4F2 is not equivalent to any identity.

Example 5.2. This is a loop that is of the A4F2 variety but is not of the A2C3 variety.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1	2	4	5	0	6	7	3
2	2	3	0	1	6	$\overline{7}$	4	5
3	3	0	6	$\overline{7}$	2	4	5	1
4	4	5	1	2	$\overline{7}$	3	0	6
5	5	6	$\overline{7}$	4	3	0	1	2
6	6	7	3	0	5	1	2	4
7	7	4	5	6	1	2	3	0

Theorem 5.3. The following identities are not equivalent to any other identities; A2C3, A2F4, A4C2, C3F4, C4F2.

Theorem 5.4. A4F5 and A1C5 are equivalent.

Proof. $A1C5 \rightarrow A4F5$

Letting z = 1 in A1A4, x(x(yz)) = x(x(yz)) gives x(xy) = x(xy). By contradiction, we assume $A1 \neq A4$. Then, $x(xy) \neq x(xy)$ which is a contradiction so A1 = A4. It remains to show F5 = A4. Letting z = 1 in ((xy)y)z = y)(y(xz)) gives (xy)y = y(yx).

 $\begin{aligned} x(x(yz)) &= ((yx)x)z \\ ((xy)y)z) &= y(y(xz)) & (\text{let } x = y) \\ (xy)y &= y(yx) & (\text{by assumption}) \end{aligned}$

Similarly, letting z = 1 in ((xz)y)y = (y(yx))z gives (xy)y = y(yx). By contradiction, assume $F5 \neq A4$.

$((yz)x)x \neq (x(xy))z$	
$((xz)y)y \neq (y(yx))z$	$(let \ x = y)$
$(xy)y \neq y(yx)$	(by assumption)

But this is a contradiction so F5 = A4. So A4 = F5 = A1 and A1 = C5 by assumption. Therefore $A1C5 \rightarrow A4F5$

$A4F5 \rightarrow A1C5$

Letting z = 1 in A4A1, (x(xy))z = x(x(yz)) gives x(xy) = x(xy). By contradiction, we assume $A4 \neq A1$. Then, $x(xy) \neq x(xy)$ which is a contradiction so A4 = A1. Since A4 = A1, it remains to show that C5 = A1. Letting y = 1 in A4F5, (x(xy))z = ((yz)x)x, gives (xx)z = (zx)x.

(x(xy))z = ((yz)x)x	
(x(xz))y = ((zy)x)x	$(let \ y = z)$
x(xz) = (zx)x	(let y = 1)
(xx)z = x(xz)	(by assumption)

Similarly, in C5 = A1, ((yx)x)z = x(x(yz)), letting y = 1 gives (xx)z = x(xz). By contradiction, we assume $C5 \neq A1$. Then $(xx)z \neq x(xz)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, C5 = A1. So C5 = A1 = A4 and A4 = F5 by assumption. Therefore $A4F5 \rightarrow A1C5$.

Therefore, because $A4F5 \rightarrow A1C5$ and $A1C5 \rightarrow A4F5$, A4F5 and A1C5 are equivalent.

Theorem 5.5. The following sets of loop varieties are equivalent;

- 1. C4F5 and C5F3 are equivalent.
- 2. A1F2 and C1F5 are equivalent.
- 3. A1C2 and A3C1 are equivalent.
- 4. A3F1, A3C2, and C2F3 are equivalent.
- 5. A5F1, A5C2, and C4F1 are equivalent.
- 6. A5F3, A3C4, and C4F3 are equivalent.
- 7. A5F5, A3C5, and C5F5 are equivalent.
- 8. B4C4, D2F3, and E1F1 are equivalent.
- 9. B5C4, D4F3, and E2F1 are equivalent.
- 10. C1F1, A4C4, and A1F3 are equivalent.
- 11. A1F5, C1F2, and A4C5 are equivalent.
- 12. A1F1, C1F3, and A1C1 are equivalent.
- 13. C2E1, A5B4, and A3D2 are equivalent.
- 14. C2E2, A5B5, and A3D4 are equivalent.
- 15. A3F3, A5C4, and C2F1 are equivalent.
- 16. A5C5, A3F5, and C2F2 are equivalent.
- 17. A3F2, A5F2, C2F5, C5F1, and C5F2 are equivalent.
- 18. A4F1, A5C1, A1C4, A4C1 and A4F3 are equivalent.
- 19. A4F4, A2C1, A2C2, A3C3, A1C3, A2F1, A2F2, A5F4, C3F3, C3F5, C4F4, and C5F4 are equivalent.
- 20. A4C3, A2C4, A2C5, A5C3, A1F4, A2F3, A2F5, A3F4, C1F4, C2F4, C3F1, and C3F2 are equivalent.

201

 A5D4, A5E1, A3E2, A5D2, A5E2, A3E1, A3B4, A3B5, B4C2, B5C2, B4D2, B4D4, B5D2, B5D4, B4E1, B4E2, B5E1, B5E2, B4F1, B4F3, B5F1, B5F3, C2D2, C2D4, C4D2, C4D4, C4E1, C4E2, D2E1, D2E2, D4E1, D4E2, D2F1, D4F1, E1F3, and E2F3 are equivalent.

Example 5.6. This is a loop that is of the A4F5 variety but is not of the A4F4 variety.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	0	3	2	5	4	9	8	7	6
2	2	3	0	1	6	8	4	9	5	7
3	3	2	1	0	7	9	8	4	6	5
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	9	8
5	5	4	8	9	1	0	7	6	2	3
6	6	9	4	8	2	$\overline{7}$	0	5	3	1
7	7	8	9	4	3	6	5	0	1	2
8	8	7	5	6	9	2	3	1	0	4
9	9	6	7	5	8	3	1	2	4	0

This loop is not of the A4F4 variety since $(1 \cdot (1 \cdot 2)) \cdot 4 \neq (2 \cdot (4 \cdot 1)) \cdot 1$.

This demonstrates that there are 28 varieties of the Perfect type which axiomatize the 123 non-commutative identities. It should be noted that 28 is a perfect number. It should also be noted that 6 (also a perfect number) of these identities are not equivalent to any identity of generalized Bol-Moufang type. Three of these 6, A2C3, A2F4 and C3F4, have already been classified as Cheban I, Cheban II and the dual of Cheban I respectively [2].

6. Varieties of loops of Bol-Moufang, commutative and perfect type

The following are the 14 varieties of loops of Bol-Moufang type, the 6 commutative varieties, and the 28 varieties of the Perfect type.

		0 11		
variety	abbrev.	defining identity	its name	ref.
Groups	GR	x(yz) = (xy)z	A1A2	[14]
Extra	EL	x(y(zx)) = ((xy)z)x	D1D5	[14]
Moufang	ML	(xy)(zx) = (x(yz))x	D3D4	[14]
Left Bol	LB	x(y(xz)) = (x(yx))z	B1B4	[14]
Right Bol	RB	y((xz)x) = ((yx)z)x	E2E5	[14]
C-loops	CL	y(x(xz)) = ((yx)x)z	C1C5	[14]
$\operatorname{LC-loops}$	LC	(xx)(yz) = (x(xy))z	A3A4	[14]
$\operatorname{RC-loops}$	RC	y((zx)x) = (yz)(xx)	F2F3	[14]
Left Alternative	LA	x(xy) = (xx)y	A4A5	[14]
Right Alternative	RA	y(xx) = (yx)x	C4C5	[14]
Flexible Loops	FL	x(yx) = (xy)x	B4B5	[14]
Middle Nuclear Square	MN	y((xx)z) = (y(xx))z	C2C4	[14]
Right Nuclear Square	RN	y(z(xx)) = (yz)(xx)	F1F3	[14]
Left Nuclear Square	LN	((xx)y)z = (xx)(yz)	A5A3	[14]

Varieties of Bol-Moufang type

Varieties of commutative Bol-Moufang type

variety	abbrev.	defining identity	its name
Comm. Moufang	CM	(xy)(xz) = (xx)(zy)	B3G3
Abelian Group	AG	x(yz) = (yx)z	A1B2
Comm. C-loop	CC	(y(xy))z = x(y(yz))	B4C1
Comm. Alternative	CA	((xx)y)z = z(x(yx))	A5K1
Comm. Nuclear square	CN	((xx)y)z = (xx)(zy)	A5G3
Comm. loops	CP	((yx)x)z = z(x(yx))	C5K1

New varieties (presented below) are primarily named according to the number of Perfect identities that axiomatize them; i.e. Lonely for a single identity, Mate for two, and Triad for three. The six cancellative identities are named 2can as they are cancellative and leave two variables. The Frute variety is an acronym of the structural properties of A4F4, discussed later. A4C3 is named because it implies all of the Bol-Moufang varieties, which some may consider crazy. There are historical references to the name as well. Moldova is known for both Valentin Danilovitsch Belousov, who introduced quasigroup and loop theory to much of Eastern Europe, and a musical artist who goes by "Crazy Loop" [16]. A5D4 is named Krypton because the variety is axiomatized by 36 identities of the Perfect type and the atomic

number of Krypton is 36.

Varieties of Perfect type				
variety	abbrev.	defining identity	its name	
Cheban 1	C1	x((xy)z) = (yx)(xz)	A2C3	
Cheban 2	C2	x((xy)z) = (y(zx))x	A2F4	
Lonely I	L1	(x(xy))z = y((zx)x)	A4F2	
Cheban I Dual	CD	(yx)(xz) = (y(zx))x	C3F4	
Lonely II	L2	(x(xy))z = y((xx)z)	A4C2	
Lonely III	L3	(y(xx))z = y((zx)x)	C4F2	
Mate I	M1	(x(xy))z = ((yz)x)x	A4F5	
Mate II	M2	(y(xx))z = ((yz)x)x	C4F5	
Mate III	M3	x(x(yz)) = y((zx)x)	A1F2	
Mate IV	M4	x(x(yz)) = y((xx)z)	A1C2	
Triad I	T1	(xx)(yz) = y(z(xx))	A3F1	
Triad II	T2	((xx)y)z = y(z(xx))	A5F1	
Triad III	T3	((xx)y)z = (yz)(xx)	A5F3	
Triad IV	T4	((xx)y)z = ((yz)x)x	A5F5	
Triad V	T5	x(x(yz)) = y(z(xx))	A1F1	
Triad VI	T6	(xx)(yz) = (yz)(xx)	A3F3	
Triad VII	T7	((xx)y)z = ((yx)x)z	A5C5	
Triad VIII	T8	(xx)(yz) = y((zx)x)	A3F2	
Triad IX	T9	(x(xy))z = y(z(xx))	A4F1	
$2 \mathrm{can} \ \mathrm{I}$	2C1	x(yx) = y(xx)	B4C4	
$2 \mathrm{can} \ \mathrm{II}$	2C2	(xy)x = y(xx)	B5C4	
$2 \mathrm{can} \mathrm{III}$	2C3	x(xz) = z(xx)	C1F1	
$2 \mathrm{can} \ \mathrm{IV}$	2C4	x(xz) = (zx)x	C1F2	
$2 \mathrm{can} \ \mathrm{V}$	2C5	(xx)z = x(zx)	C2E1	
2 can VI	2C6	(xx)z = (xz)x	C2E2	
Frute	\mathbf{FR}	(x(xy))z = (y(zx))x	A4F4	
Crazy Loop	CR	(x(xy))z = (yx)(xz)	A4C3	
Krypton	KL	((xx)y)z = (x(yz))x	A5D4	

7. Structure of the 28 non-commutative perfect identities

Six Perfect varieties are axiomatized by an identity which is left or right cancellative:

Cancellative identities axiomatizing Perfect varieties

B4C4	(x(yx))z = (y(xx))z	x(yx) = y(xx)
B5C4	((xy)x)z = (y(xx))z	(xy)x = y(xx)
C1F1	y(x(xz)) = y(z(xx))	x(xz) = z(xx)
C1F2	y(x(xz)) = y((zx)x)	x(xz) = (zx)x
C2E1	y((xx)z) = y(x(zx))	(xx)z = x(zx)
C2E2	y((xx)z) = y((xz)x)	(xx)z = (xz)x

For the purpose of this paper, the structures of the six cancellative varieties have not been examined, as they are structurally less interesting.

Cheban I, Cheban II, and the dual of Cheban I, C3F4, have not been examined, as they have been already classified [2].

The following chart demonstrates which Perfect varieties imply which Bol-Moufang varieties. **None** of the Bol-Moufang varieties implied the Perfect varieties.

Bol-Moufang varieties implied by Perfect varieties

Dor mourang carteries implied by I error carteries
(\Leftarrow) A4C3
(\Leftarrow) A4C3
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A4F4
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A4F4
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A4F4
(\Leftarrow) A4C3
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A4F1, A4F5
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A1F2, A3F2
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A3C1, A4F1, A4F4, A4F5
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A1F2, A3F2, A4F4, C4F5
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A4F4, A5D4
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A3F2, A4F1, A4F5, A5D4, A5F3, A5F5, C4F5
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A3F2, A4C2, A4F1, A4F2, A4F5, A5D4, A5F1, C4F2
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A1F2, A3C1, A3F1, A3F2, A4F1, A5D4, C1F3
(\Leftarrow) A4C3, A1F2, A3C1, A3F1, A3F2, A3F3, A4F1,
A4F4, A4F5, A5D4, A5F1, A5F3, B5C4, C1F2, C2E1, C4F5

Theorem 7.1. A4C3 implies groups.

Proof. Letting y = y/x and z = 1 in A4C3 gives x(x(y/x)) = ((y/x))x)x. Since y = (y/x)x, x(x(y/x)) = yx. Furthermore, letting x = y and z = 1 in A4C3 gives (xy)y = y(yx). We prove by contradiction, assuming group, (xy)z = x(yz), is not true.

$(xy)z \neq x(yz)$	
$(yx)z \neq y(xz)$	$(let \ y = x)$
$(((y/x)x)x)z \neq ((y/x)x)(xz)$	$(let \ y = (y/x)x)$

$((tx)x)z \neq (tx)(xz)$	(let t = (y/x))
$(x(xt))z \neq (tx)(xz)$	(by assumption)
$(x(x(y/x))z \neq ((y/x)x)(xz)$	(let t = (y/x))
$(x(x(y/x))z \neq y(xz)$	(by assumption)
$x(x(y/x)) \neq yx$	(let $z = 1$)

This is a contradiction. Thus, A4C3 implies (xy)z = x(yz), all groups. \Box

Example 7.2. This is a loop that is of the C4F2 variety but is not an extra loop because $1 \cdot (2 \cdot (3 \cdot 1)) \neq ((1 \cdot 2) \cdot 3) \cdot 1$.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	0	3	4	5	2
2	2	4	0	5	3	1
3	3	5	1	0	2	4
4	4	2	5	1	0	3
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

In addition to the implications, some Perfect varieties satisfied other structural properties. Recall the following definitions:

A loop is right conjugacy closed (RCC-loop) if it satisfies z(yx) = ((zy)/z)(zx). A loop is left conjugacy closed (LCC-loop) if it satisfies $(xy)z = (xz)(z \setminus (yz))$. A loop is conjugacy closed if it is both RCC and LCC. A loop is Osborn if it satisfies $x((yz)x) = (x \setminus y)(zx)$. The center of a loop L, Z(L), is the set such that $y \in Z(L)$ implies xy = yx for all $x \in L$. A loop L is nilpotent of class 2 if L/Z(L) is abelian.

Using Prover9, we found that these are the only Perfect varieties to satisfy such conditions:

Theorem 7.3. A4C3 is conjugacy closed and Osborn, A4F4 is Osborn, A4C3 is nilpotent of class 2.

A4F4 contains the most interesting structural properties of any variety of Perfect type. We call A4F4 the *Frute* variety since A4F4 is *F*lexible, *R*ight bol and left bol, *U*nity of R. Alt and L. Alt, *T*hree-power associative and *E*ntails both Osborn and Moufang properties. Loops of the Frute variety will be examined further by the authors of this paper and our advisor Dr. J.D. Phillips in a future paper.

Historical Remarks. The classification of varieties of loops of the Bol-Moufang type was initiated by Fenyves and continued by Phillips and Vojtěchovský [6], [7], [13], [14]. In classifying loops of generalized Bol-Moufang type, we partially respond to Drápal and Jedlička's call to classify all varieties of loops that include all quasigroup binary operations, *, /, and \ of generalized Bol-Moufang type [5].

We would especially like to thank our advisor, Dr. J.D. Phillips, for his invaluable assistance and guidance in our research.

References

- [1] R. H. Bruck, A survey of binary systems, Springer-Verlag 1971.
- [2] A. M. Cheban, Loops with identities of length four and of rank three. II, (Russian), in "General algebra and discrete geometry", Shtiintsa, Kishinev 1980, 117 - 120.
- [3] O. Chein, Moufang loops of small order, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 197, 1978.
- [4] O. Chein and D. A.Robinson, An extra law for characterizing Moufang loops, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 29-32.
- [5] A. Drápal and P. Jedlička, On loop identities that can be obtained by a nuclear identification, European J. Combin. 31 (2010), 1907 – 1923.
- [6] F. Fenyves, Extra Loops I, Publ. Math. Debrecen 15 (1968), 235 238.
- [7] F. Fenyves, Extra Loops II. On loops with identities of Bol-Moufang type, Publ. Math. Debrecen 16 (1969), 187 - 192.
- [8] E. G. Goodaire, S. May and M. Raman, The Moufang loops of order less than 64, Nova Science Publishers, NY 1999.
- [9] F. Lemieux, C. Moore and D. Thérien, Polyabelian loops and Boolean completeness, http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/
- [10] K. Kunen, The structure of conjugacy closed loops, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352, (2000), 2889 – 2911.
- [11] W.McCune, Prover9 and Mace4, http://www.cs.unm.edu/~mccune/prover9
- [12] R. Moufang, Zur Struktur der Alternativkoerpern, Math. Ann. 110 (1935), 416-430.
- [13] J.D. Phillips and P. Vojtěchovský, The varieties of loops of Bol-Moufang type, Algebra Universitis 54 (2005), 259 – 271.
- [14] J.D. Phillips and P. Vojtěchovský, The varieties of quasigroups of Bol-Moufang type: An equational reasoning approach, J. Algebra 293 (2005), 17-33.
- [15] H. O. Pflugfelder, Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 7, Heldermann Verlag Berlin 1990.
- [16] H. O. Pflugfelder, Historical notes on loop theory, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 7 (2000), 359 – 370.
- [17] D. A. Robinson, Bol loops, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 341-354.

Received February 5, 2010 Revised January 16, 2011