

# An algorithm for solving a class of knapsack problems and its generalization

Elena Balan

## Abstract

A class of knapsack problems which generalizes the classical ones is studied. Algorithms based on the dynamical programming and Branch and Bound methods are proposed. The correctness and time estimation of the algorithm are given.

## 1 Problem formulation

We study a class of knapsack problems which generalizes problems from [1-2]. The main mathematical model we shall use is formulated as follows.

Let a knapsack of size  $D$  and a set of items  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be given. For each item  $j \in I$  the cost  $c_j$ , the size  $d_j$  and the volume  $d'_j$  related to partition  $I$  are known. The set  $I$  is divided into  $p$  non-empty disjoint subsets  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_p$ ,

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_p; \quad I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j.$$

For each subset  $I_l$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$ , the size  $D_l$  is known.

According to this partition, from each of the subsets  $I_l$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$ , we include into the knapsack no more than  $k_l$  items and the sum of volumes  $d'_j$  of these including items from  $I_l$  does not exceed  $D_l$ . A sequence of items  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_q$  ( $q < n$ ) from  $I$  we name the packing in the knapsack if the sum of items sizes  $d_j$  of the sequence does not exceed  $D$ , each of the subsets  $I_l$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$ , contains at most  $k_l$  items of the sequence and the sum of items volumes related to the partition  $d'_j$

for each  $I_l$  does not exceed  $D_l$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$ . We consider the problem of finding of the packing in the knapsack which has the maximal sum of items costs.

This problem can be represented as a boolean linear programming model, which in the case  $p = n$ ,  $D_l = D$  and  $k_l = 1$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$ , becomes the classical knapsack problem. Therefore it is *NP*-complete. We propose an algorithm of dynamical programming method for solving the formulated problem.

In the terms of boolean programming the problem can be formulated as follows.

To maximize the object function

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{j \in I} c_j x_j$$

on the subject

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{j \in I} d_j x_j \leq D; \\ \sum_{j \in I_l} d'_j x_j \leq D_l, \quad l = \overline{1, p}; \\ \sum_{j \in I_l} x_j \leq k_l, \quad l = \overline{1, p}; \\ x_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad j \in I, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Here  $x_j = 1$  if the item  $j$  is included into the knapsack; otherwise  $x_j = 0$ .

## 2 The main results and algorithms for solving the problem

To solve this problem we shall use an algorithm for solving the following auxiliary problem:

to maximize the object function

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{j \in I_l} c_j x_j$$

on the subject

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j \in I_l} d'_j x_j \leq D_l; \\ \sum_{j \in I_l} x_j \leq k_l; \\ x_j \in \{0, 1\}, j \in I_l, \end{cases}$$

We will number the elements of  $I_l$  as  $1_l, 2_l, \dots, n_l$ .

**Algorithm 1**

1. Set  $M_0^l = \{(\emptyset, 0)\}$ .
2. For  $j = \overline{1_l, n_l}$  do steps a), b) and c):
  - a) Set  $M_j^l = \emptyset$ ;
  - b) Add each element  $(S, c) \in M_{j-1}^l$  to  $M_j^l$ . Then for each  $(S, c) \in M_{j-1}^l$  form the element  $(S \cup \{j_l\}, c + c_{j_l})$  if  $\sum_{i \in S} d'_i + d'_{j_l} \leq D_l$ ,  $|I_l \cap (S \cup \{j_l\})| \leq k_l$ , and add  $(S \cup \{j_l\}, c + c_{j_l})$  to  $M_j^l$ ;
  - c) Find in  $M_j^l$  elements  $(S, c)$  and  $(S', c')$  with the same second components. For each pair  $(S, c)$  and  $(S', c)$  we delete  $(S', c)$  from  $M_j^l$  if  $\sum_{i \in S'} d'_i \geq \sum_{i \in S} d'_i$ ; otherwise delete  $(S, c)$ .
3. Find in  $M_n^l$  the element  $(S, c)$  with the maximal second component. Then  $S$  is a solution of the packing knapsack problem.

To solve the main problem we shall use the following algorithm.

**Algorithm 2**

1. For each  $l = \overline{1, p}$  solve the corresponding auxiliary problem.

2. Denote by  $M_n^l$  the sets obtained for  $l = \overline{1, p}$ , when the algorithm 1 is applied. Set  $M_n$  will contain elements which are obtained as the possible combination of the elements from  $M_n^l$ : for each  $(S^l, c^l) \in M_n^l, l = \overline{1, p}$ , element  $(S, c) = (\bigcup_l S^l, \sum_l c^l)$  is added to  $M_n$  if  $\sum_{i \in S} d_i \leq D$ .
3. Find in  $M_n$  the element  $(S, c)$  with the maximal second component. Then  $S$  is a solution of the packing knapsack problem.

The algorithm 1 is an extension of the algorithm for a classical knapsack problem from [3]. Therefore the correctness of the algorithm can be argued in analogous way.

**Theorem 1.** *Algorithm 1 finds the optimal solution of the auxiliary problem in time  $O(n_l^2 c^l)$ , where  $n_l$  is the number of items in the set  $I_l$  and  $c^l = \sum_{j \in I_l} c_j$ .*

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let be  $(S, c) \in M_j^l$  after algorithm's running. Then:*

- a)  $S \subseteq \{1_l, 2_l, \dots, j_l\}$ ;
- b)  $\sum_{i \in S} c_i = c$ ;
- c)  $\sum_{i \in S} d'_i \leq D_l$ ;
- d)  $|I_l \cap S| \leq k_l$ ;
- e) if  $(S', c) \in M_j^l$  then  $S' = S$ ;
- f) if  $S' \subseteq \{1_l, 2_l, \dots, j_l\}$  and  $\sum_{i \in S'} c_i = c, |I_l \cap S'| \leq k_l$ ,

then  $\sum_{i \in S} d'_i \leq \sum_{i \in S'} d'_i$ ;

- g) moreover, if  $S \subseteq \{1_l, 2_l, \dots, j_l\}$  and  $\sum_{i \in S} d'_i \leq D_l, \sum_{i \in S} c_i = c, |I_l \cap S| \leq k_l$ , then there exists  $(S', c) \in M_j^l$ ;

**Proof.** We use the induction principle on the iteration number  $j$ . The statement for  $j = 0$  is evident. Now we consider that  $j > 0$  and  $(S, c) \in M_j^l$ . Here two cases may be:

Case 1.  $j \notin S$ . Then the element  $(S, c)$  has been transferred from  $M_{j-1}^l$  in  $M_j^l$  at step 2(b). Therefore the properties a), b), c), d), and e) follow from the induction principle.

Case 2.  $j \in S$ . Then  $(S \setminus \{j\}, c - c_j) \in M_{j-1}^l$  and the properties a), b), c), d), and e) hold too.

Now let us prove  $f)$ . Suppose that  $S \neq S'$  and analyze the following three cases:

Case 1.  $j \notin S, S'$ . Then  $(S, c)$  and  $(S', c) \in M_{j-1}^l$ . So, according to the induction principle  $S = S'$ .

Case 2.  $j \in S, S'$ . Then  $(S \setminus \{j\}, c - c_j), (S' \setminus \{j\}, c - c_j) \in M_{j-1}^l$ . Therefore  $S = S'$ .

Case 3.  $j \in S, j \notin S'$  or  $j \notin S, j \in S'$ . Then  $(S', c)$  was eliminated at the step 2(c). Therefore  $f)$  holds.

Now let us prove the property  $g)$ . We shall use the induction principle on the number  $k = \max S$ , where  $\max S$  is the maximum number of the elements of the subsets  $S$ . This property holds for  $S = \emptyset$ . Let us consider  $k = \max S > 0$ . Then according to the induction principle in  $M_{k-1}^l$  there exists element  $(S \setminus \{k\}, c - c_k) = (S', c - c_k)$ . Therefore the element  $(S' \cup \{k\}, c)$  has been added to  $M_k^l$  at the step 2(b). Then either  $(S' \cup \{k\}, c) \in M_j^l$ . So, the property  $f)$  holds  $\square$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The correctness of the algorithm 1 follows from Lemma 1.

Since at the step 3 we find the element  $(S, c)$  with the maximal second component and take the first component  $S$  as the solution of the packing knapsack problem, the set  $S$  is an admissible solution (conform properties c) and d) ), its cost is equal to  $c$  (conform b)) and a better admissible solution does not exist (conform g)).

Let us find the estimation time of the algorithm 1. Observe, that the power of each set  $M_{j-1}^l$  does not exceed  $c$ , because two elements with the same second component don't exist in  $M_{j-1}^l$  (conform e)). Each modifier operations at the step 2(b) can be implemented in the

time  $O(n^l)$ , and must be repeated for all  $O(c^l)$  elements from  $M_{j-1}^l$ . The step 2(c) needs  $O(n^l c^l)$  time too, because it may be implemented simultaneously with the step 2(b): for that all elements of  $M_j^l$  are memorized in a table with the length equal to  $c^l$ , are numbered by second component, and when an other element is tried to be put at a place, the sums of the volumes  $d_j^l$  are calculated and compared, condition  $|I_l \cap S| \leq k_l$  is verified and the element, that does not implement this condition or, otherwise, element with the greater volumes sum, is eliminated. Therefore the algorithm finds the optimal solution in time  $O(n_l^2 c^l)$   $\square$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Algorithm 2 finds the optimal solution of the packing knapsack problem in time  $O(pn^2c + c^p)$ , where  $c = \sum_{j \in I} c_j$ .*

**Proof .** The correctness of the algorithm 2 can be argued in following way.

We obtain the sets  $M_n^l, l = \overline{1, p}$ , when the algorithm 1 is applied to solve  $p$  auxiliary problems. The first components of elements of the sets  $M_n^l$  form all admissible solutions for the corresponding auxiliary problem. At the step 2 all possible combination of elements from different sets  $M_n^l, l = \overline{1, p}$  are formed if the sum of items volumes from  $\bigcup_{l=1}^p S^l$  does not exceed  $D$ . Therefore, on the grounds of the theorem 1 and of the condition from step 2, sets  $M_n$  contain all admissible solutions of the main problem. Thus, the correctness of the algorithm is argued.

Since at the step 3 we find the element  $(S, c)$  with the maximal second component and take the first component  $S$  as the solution of the packing knapsack problem, the set  $S$  is an admissible solution, its cost is equal to  $c$  and a better admissible solution does not exist.

Let us find the estimation time of the algorithm 2. At the step 1 we solve  $p$  auxiliary problems, which have the estimation time  $O(n^2c)$  each of them. Therefore the step 1 needs time  $O(pn^2c)$ .

At the step 2 we form all possible combinations of  $p$  elements from  $c$  elements, therefore we need time  $O(c^p)$ .

Then, the estimation time of algorithm 2 is  $O(pn^2c + c^p)$   $\square$ .

## References

- [1] D. Lozovanu, E. Tataru, A. Zelikovsky. *A generalization of knapsack problem and finding the  $k$ -optimal tree in weighted digraph*. Bulletin of Moldova Academy, ser. Math., (1998), pp. 223–239.
- [2] E. Tataru. *A generalization of knapsack problem*. Numerical analysis and optimization studies, Moldova State University, V.3, N. 2(6), (2001), pp. 16–19.
- [3] Ch.H. Papadimitriou, K. Steiglitz. *Combinatorial optimization: Algorithms and complexity*. New Jersey, 1982.

Elena Balan,

Received December 1, 2004

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Academy of Sciences, Moldova  
str. Academy 5, Chişinău,  
2028–MD, Moldova  
Phone: (+3732)76 – 05 – 60