

On T -nilpotence of a matrix set

Yu. P. Maturin

Abstract. Let R be a ring and I be an arbitrary right T -nilpotent subset of R . In the paper it is proved that in this case the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in I is a right T -nilpotent subset of the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in R , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is also showed that it is impossible to generalize this result for rings of matrices of infinite dimension.

Mathematics subject classification: 16D99, 16D90.

Keywords and phrases: T -nilpotent, matrix, ring.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor M. Ya. Komarnytskyi

1 Introduction

All rings are considered to be associative with $1 \neq 0$. The category of left K -modules is denoted by $K - \text{Mod}$. The set of all $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in a set I will be denoted by $M_n(I)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1. ([5, p. 291]) A set A of elements of a ring R is called left (resp. right) T -nilpotent, if for every family

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots), a_i \in A$$

a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ exists with

$$a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 = 0, (a_1 a_2 \dots a_k = 0).$$

(See also [1, p. 313].)

The notion of T -nilpotence has the important applications in certain areas of the Ring and Module Theory, especially in theory of perfect and semiartinian rings, but not only (for example, see [8, p. 183–184, 189], [6, p. 60], [7, p. 67], [3, p. 86, 87]).

Recall the definition of the equivalence. Let C and D be categories. A functor $S : C \rightarrow D$ is an equivalence if there exist a functor $T : D \rightarrow C$ and natural equivalences $TS \rightarrow 1_C$ and $ST \rightarrow 1_D$. (See [8, p. 82].)

In the paper [4] the following corollaries are obtained:

Corollary 1. (See Corollary 11 [4, p. 52]) Let R, S be equivalent rings, via an equivalence $F : R - \text{Mod} \rightarrow S - \text{Mod}$. If I is a right T -nilpotent two-sided ideal of R , then so is the two-sided ideal $\{s \in S \mid \forall x \in F(R/I) : sx = 0\}$ of S .

Corollary 2. (See Corollary 12 [4, p. 52]) Let R be a ring and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If I is a right T -nilpotent ideal of R , then $M_n(I)$ is a right T -nilpotent ideal of $M_n(R)$.

The aim of our paper is to obtain the stronger statement than Corollary 2. Indeed, in this corollary an arbitrary subset of a ring instead of a two-sided ideal can be considered.

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 1. (König's Graph Lemma, [2, p. 40]) Start with a countable sequence $\{F_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of finite sets, and for each n , assume that there is a map Φ_n of F_n into $\text{Pow}(F_{n+1})$. In order to simplify notation, denote Φ_n by Φ , $\forall n$, and the union of the given family of finite sets by F . A path in (the ordered pair) (F, Φ) is a finite or infinite sequence of elements b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots of F such that $b_i \in F_i$ and $b_{i+1} \in \Phi(b_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. The length of a finite path b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m is m ; the length of the infinite path b_1, b_2, \dots is infinite. Then if (F, Φ) has paths of ever greater length, then it has a path of infinite length.

3 Main result

Theorem 1. Let R be a ring and I be a right T -nilpotent subset of R . Then $M_n(I)$ is a right T -nilpotent subset of $M_n(R)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let I be a right T -nilpotent subset of R and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume $M_n(I)$ is not right T -nilpotent. Then there is an infinite sequence of matrices

$$\|a_{ij}^{(1)}\|, \|a_{ij}^{(2)}\|, \dots, \|a_{ij}^{(k)}\|, \dots$$

belonging to $M_n(I)$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A_k \neq O, \tag{1}$$

where $A_k = \|a_{ij}^{(1)}\| \|a_{ij}^{(2)}\| \dots \|a_{ij}^{(k)}\|$.

Let $A_k = \|A_{ij}^{(k)}\|$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then it is obvious that

$$A_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij}^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{t_1=1}^n \sum_{t_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{t_{k-1}=1}^n a_{it_1}^{(1)} a_{t_1 t_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{t_{k-1} j}^{(k)} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

Consider the sets $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k, \dots$ defined as follows:

$$F_1 = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) | \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)} \neq 0\},$$

$$F_2 = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) | \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)} a_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{(2)} \neq 0\},$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
F_k = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}) | \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)} a_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{(2)} \dots a_{\lambda_k \lambda_{k+1}}^{(k)} \neq 0\}, \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots
\end{array}$$

(1)-(2) imply

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : F_k \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is possible to consider the following mapping:

$$\Phi_k : \begin{cases} F_k \rightarrow Pow(F_{k+1}), \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}) \mapsto \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}) | a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)} \dots a_{\lambda_{k+1} \lambda_{k+2}}^{(k+1)} \neq 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Let u be an arbitrary integer greater than 0. Then $A_u \neq O$. It follows from this that for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ $A_{ij}^{(u)} \neq 0$. It follows from (2) that for some $t_1, \dots, t_{u-1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$a_{it_1}^{(1)} a_{t_1 t_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{t_{u-1} j}^{(u)} \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

Whence

$$\begin{array}{c}
a_{it_1}^{(1)} \neq 0, \\
a_{it_1}^{(1)} a_{t_1 t_2}^{(2)} \neq 0, \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
a_{it_1}^{(1)} a_{t_1 t_2}^{(2)} \dots a_{t_{u-2} t_{u-1}}^{(u-1)} \neq 0.
\end{array} \quad (4)$$

Put

$$\begin{array}{c}
b_1 = (i, t_1), \\
b_2 = (i, t_1, t_2), \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
b_{u-1} = (i, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{u-1}), \\
b_u = (i, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{u-1}, j).
\end{array}$$

(3)-(4) imply that $b_1 \in F_1, b_2 \in F_2, \dots, b_u \in F_u$.

It is clear that

$$\begin{array}{c}
b_2 \in \Phi_1(b_1), \\
b_3 \in \Phi_2(b_2), \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
b_u \in \Phi_{u-1}(b_{u-1}).
\end{array}$$

The length of the path b_1, b_2, \dots, b_u is u . Since u is an arbitrary integer greater than 0, we have paths of ever greater length. Therefore, by König's Graph Lemma, there exists a path of infinite length.

It means that there exists an infinite sequence of numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p, \dots$ belonging to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &\in F_1, \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &\in F_2, \\ &\vdots \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{p+1}) &\in F_p, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Consider the sequence

$$a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)}, a_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{(2)}, \dots, a_{\lambda_s \lambda_{s+1}}^{(s)}, \dots$$

It follows from (5) that for an arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{(1)} a_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{(2)} \dots a_{\lambda_p \lambda_{p+1}}^{(p)} \neq 0.$$

Hence I is not right T -nilpotent, which is a contradiction. □

Now we will see that it is impossible to generalize our result for rings of matrices of infinite dimension.

Example 1. Let K be a ring and S be a subset of K . Let $\mathbb{RFM}_{\mathbb{N}}(S)$ be the set of all mappings $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$, where for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{f(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0 \mid \beta \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite. Then $\mathbb{RFM}_{\mathbb{N}}(K)$ is a natural generalization of the matrix rings $M_n(K)$ (see [1, p. 19]).

Let k be a field. Consider the polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$ in a countable number of variables. Let M be the ideal of this ring spanned by the following elements: $x_1^2, x_2^3, \dots, x_n^{n+1}, \dots, x_i x_j$, where $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote the elements $a + M$ of the factor ring $K := k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]/M$ by \bar{a} .

And now let I be the ideal of K spanned by the elements $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \dots$. It is obvious that I is right T -nilpotent.

Define a function $g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$ as follows:

$$g(i, i) = \bar{x}_i, g(i, j) = \bar{0},$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $i \neq j$. It is clear that $g \in \mathbb{RFM}_{\mathbb{N}}(I)$, but g is not nilpotent.

Therefore $\mathbb{RFM}_{\mathbb{N}}(I)$ is not right T -nilpotent, although I is right T -nilpotent.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor O. L. Horbachuk for helpfull discussions.

References

- [1] ANDERSON F. W., FULLER K. R. *Rings and Categories of Modules*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.
- [2] FAITH C. *Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [3] HORBACHUK O. L. *Commutative rings over which all torsions split* [in Russian]. *Matematicheskie issledovanija*, 1972, **24**, 81–90.
- [4] HORBACHUK O. L., MATURIN YU. P. *Rings and properties of lattices of I -radicals*. *Bull. Moldavian Academy of Sci., Math.*, 2002, **1**(38), 44–52.
- [5] KASCH F. *Modules and Rings*. Academic Press Inc., London, 1982.
- [6] KASHU A. I. *Radicals and Torsions in Modules* [in Russian]. Stiinca, Chisinau, 1983.
- [7] KOMARNYTSKYI M. YA. *Duo-rings over which all torsions are S -torsions* [in Russian]. *Matematicheskie issledovanija*, 1978, **48**, 65–68.
- [8] STENSTROM BO. *Rings of Quotients. Introduction to Methods of Ring Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

YU. P. MATURIN

Department of Mathematics and Economics, Faculty of
Physics, Mathematics, Economics and Innovation
Technologies, Drohobych Ivan Franko State Pedagogical
University, Stryiska Str., 3, Drohobych, 82100, Lviv
Region, Ukraine
E-mail: yuriy.maturin@gmail.com

Received September 18, 2022