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#### Abstract

Algorithms for checking if a medial ternary quasigroup has a set of six triple-wise orthogonal principal parastrophes and a set of six triple-wise strongly orthogonal principal parastrophes are found. It is proved that $n$-ary strongly selforthogonal linear (including medial) quasigroups do not exist when $n>3$.
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A quasigroup algebra is a universal algebra whose operations are invertible. Quasigroup algebras satisfying orthogonality problems have wide applications in algebra, combinatorics, cryptography, geometry, coding theory, etc., but the problem of their construction is still open, especially when their arities are greater than two and also for the case when the number of operations is larger than their arities.

Recall that the Cayley table of an $n$-ary operation of order $m$ is a hypercube of order $m$, i.e. an $\underbrace{m \times m \times \cdots \times m}_{n}$ array on $m$ distinct symbols. An operation is invertible (in other words, a quasigroup operation) if each line of the hypercube contains all symbols. An $n$-element set of $n$-ary operations are orthogonal if superimposing the corresponding hypercubes all possible $n$-tuples of the symbols are obtained. Another interpretation of orthogonal quasigroups as an MDS code is given, for instance, in [1].

In [2], the authors proposed an algorithm for constructing a big number of tuples of $n$-ary operations which generalizes the recursive algorithm introduced by G. Belyavskaya and G. Mullen [3] and improved by S. Markovsky and A. Mileva [4]. However, operations of these sets are not necessarily invertible. There are some algorithms for constructing orthogonal Latin hypercubes, for example, T. Evans [5] proposed a method for constructing using two sets of orthogonal Latin hypercubes of less dimensions, later M. Trenkler [6] suggested a method by a pair of orthogonal Latin squares.

Each $n$-ary quasigroup operation has $(n+1)$ ! parastrophes and $n$ ! of them are principal. A quasigroup is called:

- asymmetric if all parastrophes are different;

[^0]- parastrophically orthogonal if it has $n$ orthogonal parastrophes;
- self-orthogonal if it has $n$ orthogonal principal parastrophes;
- totally parastrophically orthogonal (briefly, top) if each set of $n$ different parastrophes is orthogonal.

Note that throughout the article we consider maximal sets of principal parastrophes, so by self-orthogonality we understand self-orthogonality with the additional condition that all principal parastrophes are different and triple-wise orthogonal.

There are a number of papers concerned with the parastrophic orthogonality of quasigroups. For example, V. D. Belousov [7] described all minimal identities which define varieties of parastrophically orthogonal quasigroups; G. Belyavskaya and T. Popovich [8] described conditions when a binary central asymmetric quasigroup is a top quasigroup. Consequently, they gave a method for constructing six pairwise orthogonal binary quasigroups (Latin squares).
P. Syrbu $[9,10]$ was the first who described series of self-orthogonal identities. Much later, in a joint paper, P. Syrbu and D. Cheban [11, 12] found a series of identities for ternary self-orthogonal quasigroups.

The main goal of this paper is to study methods for constructing orthogonal ternary quasigroups and Latin cubes. Throughout the article, we focus on medial asymmetric self-orthogonal ternary quasigroups with the restriction that all principal parastrophes are orthogonal and we found conditions under which these parastrophes are triple-wise orthogonal. Thus, having such a self-orthogonal ternary quasigroup we have 6 triple-wise orthogonal ternary quasigroups.

Here, Section 2 contains some introductory statements about medial quasigroups. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a medial ternary quasigroup to be selforthogonal are given in Section 3, in particular these conditions are reduced to conditions of invertibility of 3 polynomials under a set of decomposition authomorphisms of the quasigroup. In Section 4, the necessary and sufficient conditions for a medial ternary quasigroup to be strongly self-orthogonal are found, these conditions are reduced to conditions of invertibility of 5 polynomials under a set of decomposition authomorphisms of the quasigroup. Also, we give some conclusions for self-orthogonal $n$-ary quasigroups.

## 1 Preliminaries

We should mention some necessary notions reformulating them for ternary case. Throughout the article, all operations are defined on a fixed set $Q$ called a carrier and $|Q|=: m<\infty$.

A ternary operation $f$ defined on $Q$ is called invertible and the pair $(Q ; f)$ is called a quasigroup of the order $m$ if for every $a, b$ of $Q$ each of the terms $f(x, a, b)$, $f(a, x, b), f(a, b, x)$ defines a permutation of $Q$.

To each ternary quasigroup $(Q ; f)$ of order $m$ there corresponds a Latin cube of order $m$, i.e., a 3 -dimensional array on $m$ distinct symbols from $Q$, each of which
occurs exactly once in any line of the array.
Orthogonality. Orthogonality of ternary operations [3] is defined as follows:

1) a triplet of ternary operations $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ is called orthogonal if for all $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in Q$, the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{1}, \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{2}, \\
f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution;
2) a pair of ternary operations $f_{1}, f_{2}$ is called orthogonal if for all $a_{1}, a_{2} \in Q$, the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{1}, \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has $m$ solutions;
3) an operation $f$ is called complete if the equation

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{1}
$$

has $m^{2}$ solutions for all $a_{1} \in Q$.
Orthogonality of three (two) operations means that under superimposition of the corresponding cubes each triplet (respectively pair) of elements from $Q$ occurs exactly once (resp. $m$ times). Completeness of an $m$-ordered ternary operation means that each element of the carrier occurs exactly $m^{2}$ times in this cube. Therefore, completeness can be considered as a partial case of orthogonality.

A set of ternary operations $\Sigma=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$ is called

- orthogonal if each triplet of distinct operations from $\Sigma$ is orthogonal, where $s \geqslant 3$;
- pairwise orthogonal if each pair of distinct operations from $\Sigma$ is orthogonal, where $s \geqslant 2$.

A set of ternary operations $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ on a set $Q$ is called strongly orthogonal if the set of operations $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ is triple-wise orthogonal, where $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are defined by the equalities

$$
e_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}, \quad e_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{2}, \quad e_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{3} .
$$

The operations $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are called the 1 st selector, the $2 n d$ selector and the $3 r d$ selector respectively.

Recall some definitions from [2] specifying it for ternary case.

Let $f$ be a ternary operation defined on a set $Q$. Binary operations $f_{(c,\{1,2\})}$, $f_{(b,\{1,3\})}$ and $f_{(a,\{2,3\})}$ which are defined by

$$
f_{(c,\{1,2\})}:=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, c\right), \quad f_{(b,\{1,3\})}:=f\left(x_{1}, b, x_{3}\right), \quad f_{(a,\{2,3\})}:=f\left(a, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

are called $\{1,2\}$-, $\{1,3\}$-, $\{2,3\}$-retracts of $f$ by $a, b, c \in Q$.
Let $\delta:=\{i, j\} \subset\{1,2,3\}$, operations $f$ and $g$ be ternary operations defined on $Q$ and $a, b \in Q$. Binary operations $f_{(a, \delta)}$ and $g_{(b, \delta)}$ are called similar $\delta$-retracts of $f$ and $g$ if $a=b$.
Definition 1. [2] Let $\delta \subseteq\{1,2,3\}$. A set of ternary operations is called $\delta$-retractly orthogonal if all tuples of similar $\delta$-retracts of these operations are orthogonal.

If $\delta=\{i\}$, then $\delta$-retract orthogonality of $f$ degenerates into its $i$-invertibility. If $\delta=\{1,2,3\}$, then retract orthogonality of ternary operations $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is orthogonality.

The next statement is another form of Theorem 3 [13] for ternary quasigroups.
Theorem 1. An orthogonal set of ternary quasigroups $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{t}$ defined on a set $Q$, where $t \geqslant 1$, is strongly orthogonal if and only if it is $\{i, j\}$-retractly orthogonal for each $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, where $i \neq j$.

Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ be ternary operations defined on $Q$ and

$$
\bar{\theta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) ; f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) ; f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) .
$$

Therefore, the mapping $\bar{\theta} \mapsto\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ defines a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all transformations of the set $Q^{3}$ and the set of all triplets of ternary operations defined on $Q$. Since a transformation $\bar{\theta}$ is a permutation of $Q^{3}$ if and only if the corresponding triplet $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ of operations are orthogonal, there are $\left(m^{3}\right)$ ! ordered triplets of orthogonal ternary operations of order $m$.

Let $f$ be an operation defined on $Q$ and $\gamma$ be a permutation of $Q$. Then the operation $\alpha f$ being defined by

$$
(\alpha f)(x, y, z):=\alpha(f(x, y, z))
$$

is called a torsion of $f$.
Proposition 1. If a set of operations is orthogonal, then their torsions are also orthogonal.
Proof. If a triplet $(a, b, c)$ takes each value in the set $Q^{3}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are permutations of $Q$, then the triplet $\left(\alpha^{-1}(a), \beta^{-1}(b), \gamma^{-1}(c)\right)$ also takes each value in the set $Q^{3}$. Therefore, the statement 'for all $a, b, c$ the triplet of operations $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ is orthogonal' means that 'for all $a, b, c$ of $Q$ the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\alpha^{-1}(a), \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\beta^{-1}(b), \\
f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\gamma^{-1}(c)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution'. Since this system is equivalent to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\alpha f_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a, \\
\left(\beta f_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=b, \\
\left(\gamma f_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=c,
\end{array}\right.
$$

the triplet $\alpha f_{1}, \beta f_{2}, \gamma f_{3}$ is orthogonal.
Parastrophes. For every permutation $\sigma \in S_{4}$, a $\sigma$-parastrophe ${ }_{f}$ of an invertible ternary operation $f$ is defined by

$$
{ }^{\sigma} f\left(x_{1 \sigma}, x_{2 \sigma}, x_{3 \sigma}\right)=x_{4 \sigma}: \Longleftrightarrow f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{4} .
$$

This relationship is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\sigma} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{4}: \Longleftrightarrow f\left(x_{1 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{2 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{3 \sigma^{-1}}\right)=x_{4 \sigma^{-1}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\sigma}\left(\tau^{\tau} f\right)={ }^{\sigma \tau} f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all permutations $\sigma, \tau \in S_{4}$ and for each invertible operation $f$.
A $\sigma$-parastrophe is called:

- an $i$-th division if $\sigma=(i 4)$ for $i=1,2,3,4$, where (44) $:=\iota$ and ${ }^{(44)} f:={ }^{\prime} f=f$;
- an identical division if $\sigma=\iota$;
- a principal parastrophe if $4 \sigma=4$.

The formula (1) implies that any principal $\sigma$-parastrophe can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\sigma} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f\left(x_{1 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{2 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{3 \sigma^{-1}}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a ternary operation is invertible if and only if it has four divisions. Each ternary operation has at most $4!=24$ parastrophes. If all parastrophes are pairwise different, the operation is called asymmetric. Four of the parastrophes are divisions, one of them is identical. Each operation has $3!=6$ principal parastrophes.

Consider the subgroup $S_{3}:=\{\sigma \mid 4 \sigma=4\}$ of the symmetric group $S_{4}$ and the right cosets of $S_{3}$ :

$$
S_{4}=S_{3}(14) \cup S_{3}(24) \cup S_{3}(34) \cup S_{3}(44) .
$$

If $\tau \in S_{3}(i 4)$, i.e. $\tau=\sigma(i 4)$ for some $\sigma \in S_{3}$, then

$$
i=4(i 4)=4 \sigma(i 4)=4 \tau
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\sigma(i 4) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sigma\left({ }^{(i 4)} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)= \\
& \stackrel{(3)}{=}(i 4) f\left(x_{1 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{2 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{3 \sigma^{-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
{ }^{\tau} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)={ }^{(i 4)} f\left(x_{1 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{2 \sigma^{-1}}, x_{3 \sigma^{-1}}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
{ }^{(i 4)} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)={ }^{\tau} f\left(x_{1 \sigma}, x_{2 \sigma}, x_{3 \sigma}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $i$-th division ${ }^{(i 4)} f$ exists, i.e. the operation $f$ is $i$-invertible (note that each ternary operation is 4 -invertible). Moreover, for every $\kappa \in S_{3}(i 4)$ there exists $\kappa$-parastrophe.

Thus, the following theorem has been proved.
Theorem 2. Let $\tau \in S_{4}$ and $\tau \in S_{3}(i 4)$, where $i:=4 \tau$ and $\sigma:=\tau(i 4) \in S_{3}$. Then $\tau$-parastrophe of a ternary invertible operation is its principal $\sigma$-parastrophe of $i$-th division of the operation.

## 2 Medial quasigroups

A pair $(Q ; \Omega)$ is called a ternary quasigroup algebra if all elements from $\Omega$ are ternary invertible operations defined on $Q$.

A ternary quasigroup algebra $(Q ; \Omega)$ is called:

- medial [14] if each pair $f, g$ of operations from $\Omega$ satisfies the identity of mediality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(g\left(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}\right), g\left(x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}\right), g\left(x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad g\left(f\left(x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{31}\right), f\left(x_{12}, x_{22}, x_{32}\right), f\left(x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{33}\right)\right) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

- abelian $[14]$ if it is medial and has a one-element subalgebra, i.e. it has an element $0 \in Q$ such that $f(0,0,0)=0$ for all operations from $\Omega$. The abelian algebra is denoted by ( $Q ; \Omega, 0$ ).

The theorem given below follows from more general statement [14, Theorem 3].
Theorem 3. A ternary quasigroup algebra $(Q ; \Omega)$ is medial if and only if there exists an abelian group $(Q ;+, 0)$, a set $E$ of pairwise commuting automorphisms of the group and a set $A \subseteq Q$ of elements such that for each operation $g \in \Omega$ there exist automorphisms $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}$ from $E$ and elements $a_{g} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\psi_{1} y_{1}+\psi_{2} y_{2}+\psi_{3} y_{3}+a_{g}, \\
\mu_{g}\left(a_{h}\right)=\mu_{h}\left(a_{g}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $h \in \Omega$, where $\mu_{g}:=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}+\psi_{3}-\iota$.

Hence, a ternary quasigroup $(Q ; f)$ is called medial if the identity (4) with $f=g$ holds. Since (5) with $f=g$ is evident, the following assertion holds.

Corollary 1 ([15]). A quasigroup $(Q ; f)$ is medial if and only if there exists an abelian group $(Q ;+)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}+\varphi_{3} x_{3}+a, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ are pairwise commuting automorphisms of $(Q ;+)$ and $a \in Q$.
These automorphisms are called coefficients, the element $a$ is a free term, and $(Q ;+)$ is a decomposition group of $f$.

Corollary 2. A ternary quasigroup algebra $(Q ; \Omega, 0)$ is abelian if and only if there exists an abelian group $(Q ;+, 0)$ and a set $E$ of pairwise commuting automorphisms of $(Q ;+, 0)$ such that for every operation $g \in \Omega$ there exist automorphisms $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$, $\psi_{3}$ from $E$ such that

$$
g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\psi_{1} y_{1}+\psi_{2} y_{2}+\psi_{3} y_{3} .
$$

Lemma 1. Let $(Q ; f)$ be a medial quasigroup with (6) and $J(x):=-x=: \varphi_{4}(x)$. Then for each $\tau \in S_{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\tau} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{1 \tau}\left(x_{1}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{2 \tau}\left(x_{2}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{3 \tau}\left(x_{3}\right)+b, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b:=J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1}(a)$.
Proof. Suppose $f$ is a medial quasigroup and is defined by (6). By virtue of (1),

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1 \tau^{-1}}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(x_{2 \tau^{-1}}\right)+\varphi_{3}\left(x_{3 \tau^{-1}}\right)+a=x_{4 \tau^{-1}},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1 \tau^{-1}}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(x_{2 \tau^{-1}}\right)+\varphi_{3}\left(x_{3 \tau^{-1}}\right)+\varphi_{4}\left(x_{4 \tau^{-1}}\right)+a=0 .
$$

As the group $(Q ;+)$ is commutative, the equality is equivalent to

$$
\varphi_{1 \tau}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau}\left(x_{3}\right)+\varphi_{4 \tau}\left(x_{4}\right)+a=0 .
$$

Therefrom,

$$
x_{4}=J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{1 \tau}\left(x_{1}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{2 \tau}\left(x_{2}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{3 \tau}\left(x_{3}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1}(a) .
$$

Thus, (7) holds.
Corollary 3. Any parastrophe of a medial ternary quasigroup is medial.
Corollary 4. Let $(Q ; f, 0)$ be an abelian quasigroup with (6) and $J(x):=-x=: \varphi_{4}(x)$. Then for each $\tau \in S_{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\tau} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{1 \tau}\left(x_{1}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{2 \tau}\left(x_{2}\right)+J \varphi_{4 \tau}^{-1} \varphi_{3 \tau}\left(x_{3}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $(Q ; f)$ be a medial ternary quasigroup $(Q ; f)$ with (6) and $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$, $\tau_{3} \in S_{4}$. The parastrophes ${ }^{\tau_{1}} f,{ }^{\tau_{2}} f,{ }^{\tau_{3}} f$ are orthogonal if and only if the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\varphi_{1 \tau_{1}} & \varphi_{2 \tau_{1}} & \varphi_{3 \tau_{1}}  \tag{9}\\
\varphi_{1 \tau_{2}} & \varphi_{2 \tau_{2}} & \varphi_{3 \tau_{2}} \\
\varphi_{1 \tau_{3}} & \varphi_{2 \tau_{3}} & \varphi_{3 \tau_{3}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is an automorphism of the group $(Q ;+)$, where $\varphi_{4}:=J$.
Proof. According to Proposition 1, orthogonality of the parastrophes ${ }^{\tau_{1}} f,{ }^{\tau_{2}} f,{ }^{\tau_{3}} f$ is equivalent to orthogonality of their torsions

$$
L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{1}} J\left({ }^{\tau_{1}} f\right), \quad L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{2}} J\left({ }^{\tau_{2}} f\right), \quad L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{3}} J\left({ }^{\tau_{3}} f\right)
$$

By Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{1}} J\left({ }^{\tau_{1}} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi_{1 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{3}\right), \\
& L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{2}} J\left({ }^{\tau_{2}} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi_{1 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{3}\right), \\
& L_{a}^{-1} \varphi_{4 \tau_{3}} J\left({ }^{\left(\tau_{3}\right.} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi_{1 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{a}(x):=x+a$. Thus, the parastrophes ${ }^{\tau_{1}} f,{ }^{\tau_{2}} f,{ }^{\tau_{3}} f$ are orthogonal if and only if the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{1 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{1}}\left(x_{3}\right)=b_{1}, \\
\varphi_{1 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{2}}\left(x_{3}\right)=b_{2}, \\
\varphi_{1 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{2}\right)+\varphi_{3 \tau_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)=b_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution for all $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ in $Q$. Since the automorphisms $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, \varphi_{4}$ of the commutative group $(Q ;+)$ pairwise commute, they generate a commutative ring $K$. Therefore, this system has a unique solution if and only if the determinant $(9)$ is invertible, i.e. it is an automorphism of the group $(Q ;+)$.

## 3 Self-orthogonal medial ternary quasigroups

A self-orthogonal ternary operation $f$ has 6 triple-wise orthogonal operations, i.e. 20 triplets of orthogonal principal parastrophes of $f$. Therefore according to Lemma 2, to check self-orthogonality of an invertible medial operation $f$, we have to examine invertibility of 20 determinants, which can be described by polynomials with some conditions.

Definition 2. A polynomial $p$ over a commutative ring $K$ will be called invertiblevalued over a subset $H \subseteq K$ if $p(a, b, c)$ is invertible in $K$ whenever $a, b, c$ are in $H$.

Lemma 3. A ternary medial quasigroup $(Q, f)$, where $f$ is defined by (6), is selforthogonal if and only if the polynomials

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}, \quad \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{3}^{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

are invertible-valued over the set of automorphisms $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$ of the group $(Q,+)$.
Proof. Suppose that $(Q ; f)$ is a medial ternary quasigroup and (6) is its decomposition which exists by Corollary 1. Since the automorphisms $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ of the group $(Q ;+)$ pairwise commute, they generate a subring $K$ in the ring of all endomorphisms of the commutative group $(Q ;+)$. According to Lemma 2, orthogonality of principal parastrophes ${ }^{\tau_{1}} f,{ }^{\tau_{2}} f,{ }^{\tau_{3}} f$ of the operation $f$ is equivalent to invertibility of the determinant (9) in the ring $K$, i.e. the determinant should be an automorphism of the group $(Q ;+)$. Self-orthogonality means that all 20 determinants of the form (9) with conditions $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3} \in S_{3}$ should be invertible. In other words, the polynomial

$$
d:=d_{\vec{\tau}}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right):=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{1 \tau_{1}} & \gamma_{2 \tau_{1}} & \gamma_{3 \tau_{1}}  \tag{11}\\
\gamma_{1 \tau_{2}} & \gamma_{2 \tau_{2}} & \gamma_{3 \tau_{2}} \\
\gamma_{1 \tau_{3}} & \gamma_{2 \tau_{3}} & \gamma_{3 \tau_{3}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is invertible-valued over the set $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$ in the ring $K$, where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ are variables, $\vec{\tau}:=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}\right)$.

Two polynomials are supposed to be equivalent if they are invertible simultaneously and we will denote this fact by $\sim$.

Now permute columns in (11) to get sequence $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ in the first row and permute the second and third rows to get the determinant

$$
d \sim\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1 \nu} & \gamma_{2 \nu} & \gamma_{3 \nu} \\
\gamma_{1 \tau} & \gamma_{2 \tau} & \gamma_{3 \tau}
\end{array}\right|
$$

with $1 \leqslant 1 \nu \leqslant 1 \tau$, where $\nu, \tau \in S_{3}$. Add the first and second columns to the third one:

$$
d \sim\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \iota \\
\gamma_{1 \nu} & \gamma_{2 \nu} & \iota \\
\gamma_{1 \tau} & \gamma_{2 \tau} & \iota
\end{array}\right|
$$

Therefore,

$$
d \sim\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \iota  \tag{12}\\
\gamma_{1 \nu} & \gamma_{2 \nu} & \iota \\
\gamma_{1 \tau} & \gamma_{2 \tau} & \iota
\end{array}\right|
$$

under the condition that the polynomial $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}$ is invertible.
No column has three repetitions of a variable, otherwise $d$ has two equal rows and consequently $d=0$. If $d$ has two repetitions of a variable, then rename it by $\gamma_{1}$. Permuting rows and columns, we obtain (12) with $1 \nu=1$. In the second row
of this determinant, $2 \nu=3$, otherwise the first and second rows coincide. Multiply the first row by $-\iota$ and add it to the second row:

$$
d \sim\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \iota \\
0 & \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2} & 0 \\
\gamma_{1 \tau} & \gamma_{2 \tau} & \iota
\end{array}\right|=\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{1 \tau}\right)
$$

Thus, invertibility of $d$ is equivalent to the fact that both polynomials of the form $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}$ are invertible-valued over the set $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$.

At last, suppose the variables are different in each row and in each column. Then we obtain

$$
d \sim\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \iota \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \iota \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1} & \iota
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{3}^{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}
$$

Consequently, the lemma has been proved.
Theorem 4. A ternary medial quasigroup $(Q, f)$, where $f$ is defined by (6), is self-orthogonal if and only if the mappings

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}, \quad \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{3}, \quad \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}, \quad \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3} \\
\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}\right)^{2}-3\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}+\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}\right) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

are automorphisms of the group $(Q,+)$.
Proof. The polynomial $\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}$ is invertible-valued over the automorphisms $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$, $\varphi_{3}$ if and only if the endomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}, \quad \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{3}, \quad \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}
$$

are automorphisms. The polynomials

$$
\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}, \quad \gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{3}^{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}
$$

are symmetric and contain three variables, then they are invertible-valued if and only if the endomorphisms $\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}$ and
$\varphi_{1}^{2}+\varphi_{2}^{2}+\varphi_{3}^{2}-\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}+\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}\right)=\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}\right)^{2}-3\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}+\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}\right)$ are automorphisms of the group $(Q ;+)$.

Corollary 5. If at least two coefficients of a central quasigroup coincide, then the quasigroup can not be self-orthogonal.

## 4 Strongly self-orthogonal medial quasigroups

The concept of strong orthogonality of the given ternary quasigroups which follows from Theorem 1 with the restriction of mediality is: a triplet of ternary medial quasigroups is strongly orthogonal if for all $s \in\{1,2,3\}$, all minors of order $s$ of the corresponding determinant are invertible.

Lemma 4. A ternary medial quasigroup ( $Q, f$ ), where $f$ is defined by (6), is strongly self-orthogonal if and only if the polynomials (10) and the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}, \quad \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

are invertible-valued over the set of automorphisms $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$ of the group $(Q,+)$.
Proof. According to Theorem 1, the necessary and sufficient condition for a set of quasigroups to be strongly orthogonal is that each its subset is retractly orthogonal. For the ternary quasigroups it means that

1. Each operation has to be a quasigroup;
2. Each pair of quasigroups has to be $\{1,2\}$-, $\{1,3\}$-, $\{2,3\}$-retractly orthogonal;
3. Each triplet of quasigroups has to be orthogonal.

Conditions for satisfying item 3 are found in Lemma 3. Consequently, it remains to consider item 2, i.e. invertibility conditions for minors of order 2 of determinant (11).

If every column contains each of the variables $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$, then the determinant is a Latin square of order 3 . Therefore permuting rows and columns, we obtain the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

which is invertible simultaneously with (11). It is obvious that this determinant contains only one form of minors of order 2 up to sign $J$ and relabeling of the variables: namely, it is $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}$.

Suppose that not every column contains each of the variables $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$. Therefore, one of the variables repeats. Let us label it by means of $\gamma_{1}$. Then permuting rows and columns, we obtain the determinant

$$
d=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{i} & \gamma_{j} \\
\gamma_{1 \nu} & \gamma_{2 \nu} & \gamma_{3 \nu}
\end{array}\right|
$$

each row of which contains different variables. If $i=2$, then $j=3$ and thence the first and second rows are equal and so $d=0$. It means that $i=3$ and $j=2$. If $1 \nu=1$, then two rows coincide and so the determinant is 0 . Therefore, $1 \nu \neq 1$.

Let $1 \nu=3$. Now relabel variable $\gamma_{2}$ by $\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{3}$ by $\gamma_{2}$ and then permute the second and third columns. As a result, we obtain determinants with $1 \nu=2$. There are two such determinants:

$$
d_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|, \quad d_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

They are equivalent. Indeed, relabel variable $\gamma_{1}$ by $\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{3}$ by $\gamma_{1}$ in $d_{2}$ and then permute the rows and the columns:

$$
d_{2} \sim\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right| \sim\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1}
\end{array}\right| \sim\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|=d_{1} .
$$

Thus, it is enough to consider all minors of the determinant $d_{1}$.
The minors of the first and second rows are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{3}-\gamma_{2}\right), \quad\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}\right), \\
\\
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{2}^{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}=\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right)\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The minors of the first and third rows are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{2}^{2}=\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right), \quad\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{3}\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right), \\
& \left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{3}\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The minors of the second and third rows are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}, \\
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\gamma_{3}^{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, strong self-orthogonality of $f$ is equivalent to the fact that polynomials (10) and (14) are invertible-valued over $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$.

Theorem 5. A ternary medial quasigroup $(Q, f)$, where $f$ is defined by (6), is strongly self-orthogonal if and only if the mappings (13) and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}^{2}, & \varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}-\varphi_{2}^{2}, & \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}^{2}  \tag{15}\\
\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}, & \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{3}, & \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}
\end{array}
$$

are automorphisms of the group $(Q,+)$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.
The polynomial $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}$ is invertible-valued over the automorphisms $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ if and only if the endomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}, \quad \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{3}, \quad \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{3}
$$

are automorphisms. The polynomial $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}$ is invertible-valued if and only if the endomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}^{2}, \quad \varphi_{1} \varphi_{3}-\varphi_{2}^{2}, \quad \varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}^{2}
$$

are automorphisms of the group $(Q ;+)$.

## Conclusion

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ be a ring of integers modulo $m$. Consider a ternary operation $f$ with decomposition

$$
f(x, y, z):=x+2 y+3 z .
$$

If $m$ is relatively prime to 6 , then $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} ; f\right)$ is a quasigroup.
Let us now consider conditions (13) and (15) for $f$. Conditions (13) are

$$
\begin{gathered}
2-1=1, \quad 3-1=2, \quad 3-2=1, \quad 1+2+3=6, \\
6^{2}-3 \cdot(1 \cdot 2+1 \cdot 3+2 \cdot 3)=36-33=3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Conditions (15) are

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \cdot 2-3^{2}=-7, \quad 1 \cdot 3-2^{2}=-1, \quad 2 \cdot 3-1^{2}=5, \\
1+2=3, \quad 1+3=4, \quad 2+3=5
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we have three conclusions:

1. $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} ; f\right)$ is a self-orthogonal ternary quasigroup if $m$ is not divisible by 6 ;
2. $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} ; f\right)$ is a self-orthogonal ternary quasigroup, but it is not strongly selforthogonal if $m$ is not divisible by 6 and $m$ is divisible by 5 or 7 ;
3. $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} ; f\right)$ is a strongly self-orthogonal ternary quasigroup if $m$ is not divisible by $2,3,5$ and 7 .

Corollary 6. $n$-ary strongly self-orthogonal linear quasigroups do not exist if $n>3$.
Proof. Suppose that ( $G ; h$ ) is an $n$-ary strongly self-orthogonal linear quasigroup, where $n>3$, i.e. there exists a group ( $G ;+$ ), its automorphisms $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$ and an element $a \in G$ such that

$$
h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\varphi_{n} x_{n}+a
$$

A decomposition of its principal $\sigma$-parastrophe ${ }^{\sigma} h$ with the conditions $1 \sigma=1,2 \sigma=2$ is

$$
{ }^{\sigma} h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}+\varphi_{3} x_{3 \sigma^{-1}}+\ldots+\varphi_{n} x_{n \sigma^{-1}}+a
$$

Strong self-orthogonality of $h$ implies that, in particular, any $\{1,2\}$-retracts of $h$ and ${ }^{\sigma} h$ are orthogonal, i.e. for every $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and for all $a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$, the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}+\varphi_{3} a_{3}+\ldots+\varphi_{n} a_{n}+a=b_{1} \\
\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}+\varphi_{3} a_{3 \sigma^{-1}}+\ldots+\varphi_{n} a_{n \sigma^{-1}}+a=b_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution. Therefore, the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}=c_{1} \\
\varphi_{1} x_{1}+\varphi_{2} x_{2}=c_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution for all $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ from $G$, in particular, when $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$, which is a contradiction. This contradiction shows that an $n$-ary $(n>3)$ linear quasigroup $(G ; h)$ with the property of strong self-orthogonality does not exist.
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