Inclusion Properties of Certain Subclass of Univalent Meromorphic Functions Defined by a Linear Operator Associated with the λ -Generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function

H. M. Srivastava, F. Ghanim, R. M. El-Ashwah

Abstract. By using a linear operator associated with the λ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, the authors introduce and investigate several properties of a certain subclass of meromorphically univalent functions in the open unit disk, which is defined here by means of the Hadamard product (or convolution).

Mathematics subject classification: Primary 11M35, 30C45; Secondary 30C10. Keywords and phrases: Analytic functions, Meromorphic functions, Zeta functions, Linear operators, Hadamard products (or convolution)..

1 Main remarks

Let Σ denote the class of meromorphic functions f(z) normalized by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$
 (1)

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

 $\mathbb{U}^* = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |z| < 1 \} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{ 0 \},$

 \mathbb{C} being (as usual) the set of complex numbers. We denote by $\Sigma \mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ $(\beta \geq 0)$ the subclasses of Σ consisting of all meromorphic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order β and convex of order β in \mathbb{U}^* (see also the recent works [1] and [2]).

For functions $f_j(z)$ (j = 1, 2) defined by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \qquad (j = 1, 2),$$
(2)

we denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k.$$
(3)

[©] H. M. Srivastava, F. Ghanim, R. M. El-Ashwah, 2017

Let us consider the function $\tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\alpha,\beta;z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1}}{(\beta)_{k+1}} a_k z^k$$

$$\left(\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\right),$$

$$(4)$$

where

$$\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \cdots\} = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$$

Here, and in the remainder of this paper, $(\lambda)_{\kappa}$ denotes the general Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_{\kappa} := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \kappa)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1) & (\kappa = n \in \mathbb{N}; \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \\ 1 & (\kappa = 0; \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \end{cases}$$
(5)

it being understood *conventionally* that $(0)_0 := 1$ and assumed *tacitly* that the Γ quotient exists (see, for details,[3, p. 21 *et seq.*]), \mathbb{N} being the set of positive integers.

It is easy to see that, in the case when $a_k = 1$ $(k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$, the following relationship holds true between the function $\tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z)$ and the Gaussian hypergeometric function [4]:

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\alpha,\beta;z) = \frac{1}{z} \,_2 F_1(1,\alpha;\beta;z). \tag{6}$$

Recently, Ghanim ([5]; see also [6] and [7]) made use of the Hadamard product for functions $f(z) \in \Sigma$ in order to introduce a new linear operator $L_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$, which is defined on Σ by

$$L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)(f)(z) = \phi(\alpha,\beta;z) * G_{s,a}(z)$$

= $\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \left(\frac{a+1}{a+k}\right)^s a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*),$ (7)

where

$$G_{s,a}(z) := (a+1)^{s} \left[\Phi(z,s,a) - a^{s} + \frac{1}{z(a+1)^{s}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a+1}{a+k} \right)^{s} z^{k} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}^{*})$$
(8)

and the function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ is the well-known Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function defined by (see, for example,[8, p. 121 *et seq.*]; see also [9] and [10, p. 194 *et seq.*])

$$\Phi(z,s,a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s} \tag{9}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1).$$

We recall that the following new family of the λ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions was introduced and investigated systematically by Srivastava [11] (see also [12–16]):

$$\Phi_{\lambda_{1},\cdots,\lambda_{p};\mu_{1},\cdots,\mu_{q}}^{(\rho_{1},\cdots,\rho_{q})}(z,s,a;b,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} \\
\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} (\lambda_{j})_{n\rho_{j}}}{(a+n)^{s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{q} (\mu_{j})_{n\sigma_{j}}} H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[(a+n)b^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right| \frac{1}{(s,1), (0,\frac{1}{\lambda})} \right] \frac{z^{n}}{n!} \quad (10) \\
\left(\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \ \Re(b) > 0; \ \lambda > 0 \right) \\
\left(\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \ (j=1,\cdots,p) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} \ (j=1,\cdots,q); \ \rho_{j} > 0 \ (j=1,\cdots,p); \\
\sigma_{j} > 0 \ (j=1,\cdots,q); \ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sigma_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \rho_{j} \ge 0 \right),$$

where the equality in the convergence condition holds true for suitably bounded values of |z| given by

$$|z| < \nabla := \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \rho_j^{-\rho_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^q \sigma_j^{\sigma_j}\right).$$

Definition 1. The *H*-function involved in the right-hand side of (10) is the well-known Fox's *H*-function [17, Definition 1.1] (see also [3, 18]) defined by

$$H_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}^{m,n}(z) = H_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}^{m,n} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, A_1), \cdots, (a_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ (b_1, B_1), \cdots, (b_{\mathfrak{q}}, B_{\mathfrak{q}}) \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Xi(s) z^{-s} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \left(z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \, |\arg(z)| < \pi \right), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Xi(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma(b_j + B_j s) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(1 - a_j - A_j s)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^{\mathfrak{p}} \Gamma(a_j + A_j s) \cdot \prod_{j=m+1}^{\mathfrak{q}} \Gamma(1 - b_j - B_j s)},$$

an empty product is interpreted as 1, m, n, p and q are integers such that

$$1 \leq m \leq \mathfrak{q}$$
 and $0 \leq n \leq \mathfrak{p}$,

$$A_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, \mathfrak{q}),$$
$$a_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad (j = 1, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad (j = 1, \cdots, \mathfrak{q})$$

and $\mathcal L$ is a suitable Mellin-Barnes type contour separating the poles of the gamma functions

$$\{\Gamma(b_j + B_j s)\}_{j=1}^m$$

from the poles of the gamma functions

$$\{\Gamma(1-a_j+A_js)\}_{j=1}^n.$$

We choose to mention here that, by using the fact that [11, p. 1496, Remark 7]

$$\lim_{b \to 0} \left\{ H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[(a+n)b^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ (s,1), \left(0,\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \end{array} \right] \right\} = \lambda \Gamma(s) \qquad (\lambda > 0),$$
(12)

the equation (8) reduces to the following form:

$$\Phi_{\lambda_{1},\cdots,\lambda_{p};\mu_{1},\cdots,\mu_{q}}^{(\rho_{1},\cdots,\rho_{p},\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{q})}(z,s,a;0,\lambda) := \Phi_{\lambda_{1},\cdots,\lambda_{p};\mu_{1},\cdots,\mu_{q}}^{(\rho_{1},\cdots,\rho_{p},\sigma_{1},\cdots,\sigma_{q})}(z,s,a)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} (\lambda_{j})_{n\rho_{j}}}{(a+n)^{s} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{q} (\mu_{j})_{n\sigma_{j}}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}.$$
(13)

Definition 2. The function $\Phi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_p;\mu_1,\dots,\mu_q}^{(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_p,\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_q)}(z,s,a)$ involved in (13) is the multiparameter extension and generalization of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(z,s,a)$ introduced by Srivastava *et al.*[16, p. 503, Eq. (6.2)] defined by

$$\Phi_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_p;\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_q}^{(\rho_1,\cdots,\rho_p,\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_q)}(z,s,a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)_{n\rho_j}}{(a+n)^s \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j)_{n\sigma_j}} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$
(14)

$$\begin{split} \left(p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j = 1, \cdots, p); \ a, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \ (j = 1, \cdots, q); \\ \rho_j, \sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ (j = 1, \cdots, p; \ k = 1, \cdots, q); \\ \Delta > -1 \text{ when } s, z \in \mathbb{C}; \\ \Delta = -1 \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < \nabla^*; \\ \Delta = -1 \text{ and } \Re(\Xi) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } |z| = \nabla^* \right) \end{split}$$

with

$$\nabla^* := \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \rho_j^{-\rho_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^q \sigma_j^{\sigma_j}\right),\tag{15}$$

$$\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sigma_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \rho_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi := s + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j + \frac{p-q}{2}.$$
 (16)

By applying this new family of the λ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions, Srivastava and Gaboury [19] introduced a new linear operator which provides a generalization of the largely- (and widely-) studied Srivastava-Attiya operator [20] (see also [21–23]). This new operator contains, as its special cases, the operators investigated earlier by Prajapat and Bulboacă [24, p. 571, Eq. (1.8)], Noor and Bukhari [25, p. 2, Eq. (1.3)], Choi *et al.* [26], Cho and Srivastava [27], Jung *et al.* [28], Bernardi [1], Carlson and Shaffer [29], Owa and Srivastava [30] and by Dziok and Srivastava [31,32]. The Dziok-Srivastava convolution operator studied by Dziok and Srivastava [31,32] is, in turn, a generalization of the Hohlov operator [33] and the Ruscheweyh operator [34]. In fact, the Dziok-Srivastava convolution operator is itself a special case of the Srivastava-Wright operator (see, for details, [35] and [36]; see also the other closely-related works cited in each of these recent publications).

In this paper, we consider the following linear operator:

$$J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z):\Sigma\to\Sigma,$$

which is defined by

$$J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z) = G^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}(z) * \widetilde{\phi}(\alpha,\beta;z),$$

where * denotes the Hadamard product (or convolution) of analytic functions and the function $G^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}(z)$ is given by

$$G^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}(z) := (a+1)^s \cdot \left[\Phi^{(1,\dots,1,1,\dots,1)}_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_p;\mu_1,\dots,\mu_q}(z,s,a;b,\lambda) - \frac{a^{-s}}{\lambda\,\Gamma(s)}\Lambda\left(a,b,s,\lambda\right) + \frac{(a+1)^{-s}}{z} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} (\lambda_j)_k}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{q} (\mu_j)_k} \left(\frac{a+1}{a+k}\right)^s \frac{\Lambda\left(a+k,b,s,\lambda\right)}{\lambda\,\Gamma(s)} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$
(17)

with

$$\Lambda\left(a,b,s,\lambda\right) := H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[ab^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \middle| \left(\overline{s,1}\right), \left(0,\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right].$$

By combining (17) and (4), we obtain

$$J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^{p} (\lambda_j)_k}{(\beta)_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^{q} (\mu_j)_k} \left(\frac{a+1}{a+k}\right)^s \frac{\Lambda\left(a+k,b,s,\lambda\right)}{\lambda \,\Gamma(s)} \, a_k \, \frac{z^k}{k!} \qquad (18)$$
$$\left(z \in \mathbb{U}^*; \, \alpha, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j=1,\cdots,p); \, \beta, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \ (j=1,\cdots,q); \, p \leq q+1\right)$$

with

$$\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0, \ \lambda > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Re(b) > 0$$

and

$$s \in \mathbb{C}$$
 and $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ if $b = 0$.

Clearly, upon setting p-1 = q = 0 and $\lambda_1 = 1$ in (18) and taking the limit as $b \to 0$, we obtain the operator $L^s_a(\alpha, \beta)(f)(z)$ studied earlier by Ghanim [5].

It is easily observed from (18) that

$$z\left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z)\right)' = \alpha\left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha+1,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z)\right) - (\alpha+1)\left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z)\right)$$
(19)

and

$$z\left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1}f(z)\right)' = \beta\left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)\right) - (\beta+1)\left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1}f(z)\right).$$
(20)

Now, with the help of the linear operator $J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)$, we introduce the following subclass:

$$\Sigma_{(\lambda_p),(\delta_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}\left(\mu\right) = \Sigma\left(\alpha,\beta,\mu\right)$$

of meromorphic functions as follows:

Definition 3. For fixed parameters A, B $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$, the function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z)\right)'}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z)} - \mu \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*)$$
(21)

or, equivalently,

$$\Sigma\left(\alpha,\beta,\mu\right) = \left\{ f: f\left(z\right) \in \Sigma \text{ and } \left| \frac{\frac{z\left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)\right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)} + 1}{B\frac{z\left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)\right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}f(z)} + B + (A - B)\left(1 - \mu\right)} \right| < 1 \right\}.$$

$$(22)$$

2 A Set of Lemmas

To establish our main results, we shall need each of the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 (see [37]). If $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\nu \neq 0$ and the complex number τ satisfies the inequality:

$$\Re\left\{\tau\right\} \geqq -\frac{\nu(1-A)}{1-B},$$

then the following differential equation:

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\nu q(z) + \tau} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

has a univalent solution in \mathbb{U} given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\nu+\tau} (1+Bz)^{\nu(A-B)/B}}{\nu \int_0^z t^{\nu+\tau-1} (1+Bt)^{\nu(A-B)/B} dt} - \frac{\tau}{\nu} & (B \neq 0) \\ \frac{z^{\nu+\tau} \exp(\nu Az)}{\nu \int_0^z t^{\nu+\tau-1} \exp(\nu At) dt} - \frac{\tau}{\nu} & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$
(23)

If the function ϕ given by

$$\phi\left(z\right) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z + \cdots$$

is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the following subordination:

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\nu\phi(z) + \tau} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \qquad (24)$$

then

$$\phi(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and q(z) is the best dominant of (24).

Lemma 2 (see [38]). Let v be a positive measure on [0, 1]. Let h be a complex-valued function defined on $\mathbb{U} \times [0, 1]$ such that h(., t) is analytic in \mathbb{U} for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and h(z, .) is v-integrable on [0, 1] for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Suppose also that $\Re\{h(z, t)\} > 0$, h(-r, t) is real and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{1}{h(z,t)}\right\} \ge \frac{1}{h(-r,t)} \qquad (|z| \le r < 1; \ t \in [0,1]).$$

If

$$\mathfrak{h}\left(z\right)=\int_{0}^{1}h\left(z,t\right)dv\left(t\right),$$

then

$$\Re\left\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{h}(z)}\right\} \ge \frac{1}{\mathfrak{h}(-r)} \qquad \left(|z| \le r < 1\right).$$

Lemma 3 (see [39]). For real numbers a, b and c $(c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots)$, it is asserted that

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z)$$
(25)
$$\left(\Re\{c\} > \Re\{b\} > 0; \ z \in \mathbb{U}\right).$$

Moreover,

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(b,a;c;z)$$
(26)

and

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = (1-z)^{-\alpha} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(a,c-b;c;\frac{z}{z-1}\right)$$

$$(c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots; |\arg(1-z)| < \pi).$$
(27)

Inclusion properties of various classes of analytic and meromorphic functions were studied earlier by several different methods (see, for example, [40–43] and [44]). In this paper, we find two inclusion theorems for the meromorphic function class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$. In particular, we show that, if we increase the parameter α by one, the overall size of the meromorphic function class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ would get smaller. On the other hand, by increasing the parameters β by one, the overall size of the meromorphic function class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ would get bigger.

3 Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout the remainder of the paper that

$$-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \mu < 1, \ \alpha, \beta > 0, \ a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \ s \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We begin with some inclusion relationships concerning the parameter α of the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$.

Theorem 1.

(i) If $f(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha + 1, \beta, \mu)$ and

$$\alpha - \mu + 1 \ge \frac{(1 - \mu)(1 - A)}{(1 - B)},\tag{28}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f\left(z\right)\right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f\left(z\right)} - \mu \right) \prec \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left((\alpha - \mu + 1) - \frac{1}{Q_1\left(z\right)} \right)$$
$$= q_1\left(z\right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \qquad (29)$$

where

$$Q_1(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{1 + Bzu}{1 + Bz}\right)^{-(1-\mu)(A-B)/B} du & (B \neq 0) \\ \\ \int_0^1 u^{\alpha - 1} e^{-(1-\mu)A(u-1)z} du & (B = 0) \end{cases}$$

and $q_1(z)$ is the best dominant of (29). Moreover,

$$\Sigma(\alpha+1,\beta,\mu) \subseteq \Sigma(\alpha,\beta,\mu).$$
(30)

(ii) If the additional constraints 0 < B < 1 and

$$\alpha + 1 \ge \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B} \tag{31}$$

are satisfied, then

$$\frac{1-|A|}{1-|B|} < \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z) \right)'}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z)} \right\} - \mu \right) < \rho_1, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left\{ (\alpha - \mu + 1) - \frac{\alpha}{{}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}; \alpha + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right)} \right\}.$$
 (33)

The bound ρ_1 is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha + 1, \beta, \mu)$ and set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f(z) \right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f(z)} - \mu \right).$$
(34)

Then it is clear that $\phi(z)$ is analytic in U and $\phi(0) = 1$. An application of the identity (19) in (34) yields

$$-(1-\mu)\phi(z) + (\alpha - \mu + 1) = \alpha \frac{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha+1,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z)}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z)}.$$
(35)

By using the logarithmic differentiation of both sides of (35) with respect to z, we obtain

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z \phi'(z)}{(\alpha - \mu + 1) - (1 - \mu) \phi(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha + 1,\beta} f(z)\right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha + 1,\beta} f(z)} - \mu \right)$$
$$\prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 1 with

$$\nu = -(1-\mu)$$
 and $\tau = \alpha - \mu + 1$,

we have

$$\phi(z) \prec q_1(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the best dominant $q_1(z)$ is defined by (29). The proof of Theorem 1 (i) is completed.

In order to establish (32) of Theorem 1 (ii), we observe that an application of the principle of subordination in (21) gives

$$\frac{1-|A|}{1-|B|} < \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f\left(z\right) \right)'}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f\left(z\right)} \right\} - \mu \right),$$

which is precisely the left-hand inequality in (32). Also, by the principle of subordination in (29), we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f\left(z\right) \right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f\left(z\right)} \right\} - \mu \right) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^*} \Re \left\{ q_1\left(z\right) \right\} \\
= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left[\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(\alpha - \mu + 1 - \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Q_1\left(z\right)} \right\} \right) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(\alpha - \mu + 1 - \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Q_1\left(z\right)} \right\} \right). \tag{36}$$

The rest of the proof is devoted to find

$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{U}}\Re\left\{\frac{1}{Q_{1}\left(z\right)}\right\}.$$

By hypothesis, $B \neq 0$. Therefore, by using (29), we have

$$Q_1(z) = (1+Bz)^{\delta} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} (1-u)^{\gamma-\alpha-1} (1+Bzu)^{-\delta} du,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}$$
 and $\gamma = \alpha + 1.$

Also, since $\gamma > \alpha > 0$, by successively using (25) to (27) of Lemma 3, we obtain

$$Q_1(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2F_1\left(1, \delta; \gamma; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1}\right).$$
(37)

Furthermore, the condition:

$$\alpha + 1 > \frac{(1 - \mu)(A - B)}{B}$$
 (0 < B < 1)

implies that $\gamma > \delta > 0$. Another application of (27) of Lemma 3 to (37) gives

$$Q_1(z) = \int_0^1 h(z, u) \, dv(u)$$

where

$$h(z, u) = \frac{1 + Bz}{1 + (1 - u)Bz} \qquad (0 \le u \le 1)$$

and

$$dv(u) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(\gamma-\delta)} u^{\delta-1} (1-u)^{\gamma-\delta-1} du$$

is a positive measure on $u \in [0, 1]$. We note that

 $\Re\left\{ h\left(z,u\right) \right\} >0\qquad\text{and}\qquad h\left(-r,u\right)$

is real for $0 \leq r < 1$ and $u \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, by using Lemma 2, we get

$$\Re\left\{\frac{1}{Q_1(z)}\right\} \ge \frac{1}{Q_1(-r)} \qquad (|z| \le r < 1),$$

so that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re\left\{\frac{1}{Q_{1}(z)}\right\} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{1}{Q_{1}(-r)} \\
= \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1} h(-r, u) \, dv} = \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1} h(-1, u) \, dv} = \frac{1}{Q_{1}(-1)} \\
= \frac{\alpha}{2F_{1}\left(1, \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}, \alpha+1, \frac{B}{B-1}\right)}.$$
(38)

Hence, in view of (36), the right-hand inequality of (32) follows from (38).

The result is the best possible as the function $q_1(z)$ is the best dominant of (29). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The next theorem gives the corresponding results involving the parameter β .

Theorem 2.

(i) If $f(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ and

$$\beta - \mu + 1 \ge \frac{(1 - \mu)(1 - A)}{(1 - B)},\tag{39}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1} f\left(z\right) \right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1} f\left(z\right)} - \mu \right) \prec \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left((\beta-\mu+1) - \frac{1}{Q_2\left(z\right)} \right)$$
$$= q_2\left(z\right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{40}$$

where

$$Q_2(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 u^{\beta - 1} \left(\frac{1 + Bzu}{1 + Bz}\right)^{-(1-\mu)(A-B)/B} du & (B \neq 0) \\ \\ \int_0^1 u^{\beta - 1} e^{-(1-\mu)A(u-1)z} du & (B = 0) \end{cases}$$

and $q_2(z)$ is the best dominant of (40). It is also asserted that

$$\Sigma(\alpha,\beta,\mu) \subseteq \Sigma(\alpha,\beta+1,\mu).$$
(41)

(ii) If the additional constraints 0 < B < 1 and

$$\beta + 1 \ge \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B},\tag{42}$$

are satisfied, then

$$\frac{1-|A|}{1-|B|} < \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z) \right)'}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b} f(z)} \right\} - \mu \right) < \rho_2, \tag{43}$$

where

$$\rho_2 = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left((\beta + 1 - \mu) - \frac{\beta}{{}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}; \beta + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right)} \right).$$
(44)

The bound ρ_2 is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ and set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z \left(J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1} f(z) \right)'}{J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta+1} f(z)} - \mu \right).$$
(45)

Then, by using (17) and logarithmic differentiation for (45) with respect to z, we get

$$\phi\left(z\right) + \frac{z\,\phi'\left(z\right)}{-(1-\mu)\,\phi\left(z\right) + (\beta+1-\mu)} = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(-\frac{z\left(J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f\left(z\right)} - \mu \right)$$

$$\prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \,.$$

Therefore, by an application of Lemma 1 with

$$\nu = -(1-\mu)$$
 and $\tau = \beta - \mu + 1$,

we have

$$\phi(z) \prec q_2(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the best dominant $q_2(z)$ is defined by (40). The proof of Theorem 2 (i) is completed.

In order to establish (43) of Theorem 2 (ii), we apply the principle of subordination in (21) and use the same technique which was used in the proof of Theorem 1. We thus find that

$$Q_{2}(z) = (1 + Bz)^{\delta} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\beta - 1} (1 - u)^{\gamma - \beta - 1} (1 + Bzu)^{-\delta} du$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, \delta; \gamma; \frac{Bz}{Bz + 1}\right)$$
(46)

where $\delta = \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}$ and $\gamma = \beta + 1$. Furthermore, the condition:

$$\beta + 1 > \frac{(1 - \mu)(A - B)}{B} \qquad (0 < B < 1)$$

implies that $\gamma > \delta > 0$. Another application of (27) of Lemma 3 to (46) gives

$$Q_2(z) = \int_0^1 h(z, u) \, dv(u),$$

where

$$h(z, u) = \frac{1 + Bz}{1 + (1 - u)Bz}, \qquad (0 \le u \le 1)$$

and

$$dv(u) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\delta)} u^{\delta-1} (1-u)^{\gamma-\delta-1} du.$$

Using Lemma 2 implies that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \Re\left\{\frac{1}{Q_2(z)}\right\} = \frac{\beta}{{}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{(1-\mu)(A-B)}{B}; \beta+1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right)}.$$
(47)

The right-hand inequality of (43) follows from (47).

The bound ρ_2 is sharp by the principle of subordination. The proof of Theorem 2 is thus completed.

4 Concluding Remarks and Observations

In our present sequel to an earlier work (see [5, 6, 14] and [15]), we have investigated several further properties of the linear operator defined by (18), which is associated with Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function:

$$J^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta}_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^{p} (\lambda_j)_k}{(\beta)_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^{q} (\mu_j)_k} \left(\frac{a+1}{a+k}\right)^s \frac{\Lambda\left(a+k,b,s,\lambda\right)}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} a_k \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

as given by (8) and with the notation used with (17). The various properties and results, which we have presented in this paper, are related to a certain subclass of the class of (normalized) meromorphically univalent functions in the punctured unit disk \mathbb{U}^* , which is defined here by means of the Hadamard product (or convolution). Many interesting results (asserted by Theorems 1 and 2 above) have also been deduced in this paper. In addition, there are more extensions and ideas that can be found based on these results.

References

- SRIVASTAVA H. M., LASHIN A. Y., FRASIN B. A. Starlikeness and convexity of certain classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 2013, 3, 93–102.
- [2] WANG Z.-G., SRIVASTAVA H. M., YUAN S.-M. Some basic properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically starlike functions, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014, Article ID 2014:29, 1–12.
- [3] SRIVASTAVA H. M., MANOCHA H. L. A Treatise on Generating Functions, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwoord Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1984.
- [4] RAINVILLE E. D. Special Functions, Macmillan Company, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [5] GHANIM F. A study of a certain subclass of Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function related to a linear operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013, 2013, Article ID 763756, 1–7.
- [6] GHANIM F., DARUS M. New result of analytic functions related to Hurwitz-Zeta function, The Sci. World J., 2013, 2013, Article ID 475643, 1–5.
- [7] GHANIM F. Certain Properties of Classes of Meromorphic Functions Defined by A Linear Operator and Associated with Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, 2017, 27(2), 175–180.
- [8] SRIVASTAVA H. M., CHOI J. Series Associated with Zeta and Related Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2001.
- SRIVASTAVA H. M. Some formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials at rational arguments, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 2000, 129, 77–84.

- [10] SRIVASTAVA H. M., CHOI J. Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.
- [11] SRIVASTAVA H. M. A new family of the λ-generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions with applications, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci., 2014, 8, 1485–1500.
- [12] SRIVASTAVA H. M. Generating relations and other results associated with some families of the extended Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions, SpringerPlus, 2013, 2, Article ID 2: 67, 1–14.
- [13] SRIVASTAVA H. M., GABOURY S. New expansion formulas for a family of the λ-generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2014, 2014, Article ID 131067, 1–13.
- [14] SRIVASTAVA H. M., GABOURY S., GHANIM F. Certain subclasses of meromorphically univalent functions defined by a linear operator associated with the λ-generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2015, 26, 258–272.
- [15] SRIVASTAVA H. M., GABOURY S., GHANIM F. Some further properties of a linear operator associated with the λ-generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function related to the class of meromorphically univalent functions, Appl. Math. Comput., 2015, 259, 1019–1029.
- [16] SRIVASTAVA H. M., SAXENA R. K., POGÁNY T. K., SAXENA R. Integral and computational representations of the extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2011, 22, 487–506.
- [17] MATHAI A. M., SAXENA R. K., HAUBOLD H. J. The H-Function: Theory and Applications, Springer, New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg and London, 2010.
- [18] SRIVASTAVA H. M., GUPTA K. C., GOYAL S. P. The H-Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi and Madras, 1982.
- [19] SRIVASTAVA H. M., GABOURY S. A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a generalization of the Srivastava-Attiya operator, J. Inequal. Appl., 2015, 2015, Article ID 39, 1–15.
- [20] SRIVASTAVA H. M., ATTIYA A. A. An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2007, 18, 207–216.
- [21] CHO N. E., KIM I. H., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Sandwich-type theorems for multivalent functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Appl. Math. Comput., 2010, 217, 918–928.
- [22] RĂDUCANU D., SRIVASTAVA H. M. A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2007, 18, 933–943.
- [23] SRIVASTAVA H. M., RĂDUCANU D., SĂLĂGEAN G. S. A new class of generalized close-tostarlike functions defined by the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.), 2013, 29, 833–840.
- [24] PRAJAPAT J. K., BULBOACĂ T. Double subordination preserving properties for a new generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, Chinese Ann. Math., 2012, 33, 569–582.
- [25] NOOR K. I., BUKHARI S. Z. H. Some subclasses of analytic and spiral-like functions of complex order involving the Srivastava-Attiya integral operator, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2010, 21, 907–916.

- [26] CHOI J. H., SAIGO M., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2002, 276, 432–445.
- [27] CHO N. E. AND SRIVASTAVA H. M., Argument estimation of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformation, Math. Comput. Modelling, 2003, 37, 39–49.
- [28] JUNG I. B., KIM Y. C., SRIVASTAVA H. M. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1993, 176, 138–147.
- [29] CARLSON B. C., SHAFFER D. B. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1984, 15, 737–745.
- [30] OWA S., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math., 1987, 39, 1057–1077.
- [31] DZIOK J., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput., 1999, **103**, 1–13.
- [32] DZIOK J., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2003, 14, 7–18.
- [33] HOHLOV YU. E. Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions, Izv. Vyss. Ucebn. Zaved., Mat., 1978, 10, 83–89.
- [34] RUSCHEWEYH S. New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1975, 49, 109–115.
- [35] KIRYAKOVA V. Criteria for univalence of the Dziok-Srivastava and the Srivastava-Owa operators in the class A, Appl. Math. Comput., 2011, 218, 883–892.
- [36] SRIVASTAVA H. M. Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 2007, 1, 56–71.
- [37] MILLER S.S., MOCANU P.T. Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 225, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [38] WHITTAKER E. T., WATSON G. N. A Course of Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; with an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions, Fourth Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1927.
- [39] WILKEN D. R., FENG J. A remark on convex and starlike functions, J. London Math. Soc. (Ser. 2), 1980, 21, 287–290.
- [40] EL-ASHWAH R. M. Inclusion Properties Regarding the meromorphic structure of Srivastava-Attiya operator, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 2014, 38, 501–512.
- [41] EL-ASHWAH R. M. Inclusion relationships properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., 2013, No.34, 191–205.
- [42] EL-ASHWAH R. M. AOUF M. K. Inclusion relationships of certain classes of meromorphic p-valent functions, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 2012, 36, 801–810.

- [43] EL-ASHWAH R. M., HASSAN A. H. Properties of certain subclass of p-valent meromorphic functions associated with certain linear operator, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 2015, 24, 226–232.
- [44] YUAN S.-M., LIU Z.-M., SRIVASTAVA H. M. Some inclusion relationships and integralpreserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008, 337, 505–515.

H. M. SRIVASTAVA Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China E-mail: *harimsri@math.uvic.ca*

F. GHANIM College of Sciences, University of Sharjah Sharjah, United Arab Emirates E-mail: fgahmed@sharjah.ac.ae

R. M. EL-ASHWAH Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Damietta University New Damietta 34517, Egypt E-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com Received January 20, 2017