# Resonant Riemann-Liouville Fractional Differential Equations with Periodic Boundary Conditions

Smail Djebali, Lamine Guedda

**Abstract.** In this paper, by using the coincidence degree theory due to J. Mawhin, we consider the solvability of a class of nonlinear fractional two-point boundary value problems at resonance. An example of application illustrates the existence result.

Mathematics subject classification: 34A08, 37C25, 54H25. Keywords and phrases: Fractional differential equations, resonance, coincidence degree, Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

#### 1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations describe many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering such as physics, chemistry, biology, visco-elasticity, electromagnetics, economy, etc. Several methods have been used to deal with the question of solvability of boundary value problems (BVPs for short) for fractional differential equations; we quote the Laplace transform method, iteration methods, the upper and lower solution method, as well as topological methods (fixed point theory and Leray-Schauder degree theory) (see, e. g., [1, 10], and references therein).

In [1] B. Ahmad and J. Nieto studied the following Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equation with fractional boundary conditions:

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \ 1 < \alpha \le 2,$$
(1.1)

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-2}u\left(0^{+}\right) = b_{0}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-2}u\left(T^{-}\right),\tag{1.2}$$

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-1}u\left(0^{+}\right) = b_{1}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-1}u\left(T^{-}\right),\tag{1.3}$$

where  $D_{0^+}^{\alpha}$  denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$ ,  $b_0 \neq 1, b_1 \neq 1$ , and the function  $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Clearly this is a nonresonant problem, i.e. the associated homogeneous problem admits only the following solution:

$$u(t) = c_1 t^{\alpha - 1} + c_2 t^{\alpha - 2},$$

<sup>©</sup> Smail Djebali, Lamine Guedda, 2016

where the constants  $c_1, c_2$  satisfy

$$c_1\Gamma(\alpha).0 + c_2\Gamma(\alpha - 1) = b_0(c_1\Gamma(\alpha).T + c_2\Gamma(\alpha - 1))$$
  
$$c_1\Gamma(\alpha) = b_1c_1\Gamma(\alpha),$$

that is  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$  for  $b_0 \neq 1$  and  $b_1 \neq 1$ . Then a corresponding Green's function can be computed. A fixed point theorem was used to show that the operator  $P: C_{2-\alpha} \longrightarrow C_{2-\alpha}$  defined by

$$(Pu)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{b_1 t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-b_1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{b_0 t^{\alpha-2}}{(1-b_1)(1-b_0)\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^T (T-(1-b_1)s) f(s, u(s)) ds$$

has at least one fixed point.

By a similar method, G. Wang, W. Liu, and C. Ren investigated in [10], the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the fractional boundary-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u\left(t\right) &= f\left(t, u\left(t\right)\right), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad 1 < \alpha \le 2, \\ I_{0^{+}}^{2-\alpha} u\left(t\right)|_{t=0} &= 0, \quad D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-2} u\left(T\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-1}\left(\xi_{i}\right), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \xi_i < T$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , and  $I_{0^+}^{\alpha}$  stands for the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. Standard fixed point principles have been employed.

In [11], the authors investigated higher-order fractional derivatives, i.e. for  $2 < \alpha \leq 3$ .

When the nonlinearity of f also depends on the first derivative, Z. Bai [2] discussed the solvability of m-point fractional BVPs at resonance; the coincidence degree theory as developed by Mawhin in [8] was employed. Concerning papers dealing with fractional-order BVPs at resonance, we refer, for example, to [4–6, 11, 12]. See also [9] for a resonant second-order boundary value problem.

In the present work, Mawhin's coincidence degree theory is used to deal with BVP (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) at the resonance case, i. e. for  $b_0 = b_1 = 1$ . An existence result illustrated by means of two examples of application is provided in Section 2.

We first present some definitions and auxiliary lemmas about fractional calculus theory.

**Definition 1** (see [3,7]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $h: (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}h\left(t\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \left(t-s\right)^{\alpha-1} h\left(s\right) ds,$$

where  $\Gamma(.)$  refers to the function gamma, provided the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, +\infty)$ . **Definition 2** (see [7, 11]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $h: (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}h\left(t\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(n-\alpha\right)} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{h\left(s\right)}{(t-s)^{\alpha-n+1}} ds = \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} I_{0^{+}}^{n-\alpha}h\left(t\right),$$

where  $n = [\alpha] + 1$ , provided the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, +\infty)$ . Here  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of the real number  $\alpha$ .

For  $\alpha < 0$ , we set by convention  $D_{0^+}^{\alpha}h(t) = I_{0^+}^{-\alpha}h(t)$ , and if  $0 \le \beta \le \alpha$ , we get  $D_{0^+}^{\beta}I_{0^+}^{\alpha}h(t) = I_{0^+}^{\alpha-\beta}h(t)$ .

Given these definitions, it can be checked that the Riemann-Liouvelle fractional integration and fractional differentiation operators of the power functions  $t^{\lambda}$  yield power functions of the same form. Indeed, for  $\lambda > -1$  and  $\alpha \ge 0$ , we have

$$I_{0^+}^{\alpha}t^{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\alpha+1)}t^{\lambda+\alpha} \text{ and } D_{0^+}^{\alpha}t^{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda-\alpha+1)}t^{\lambda-\alpha}$$

Also note that  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\lambda} = 0$ , for all  $\lambda = \alpha - i$  with i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n (*n* is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ ). Also we have

**Lemma 1** (see [4]). Suppose that  $h \in L^1(0, +\infty)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive real numbers. Then

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{0^{+}}^{\beta}h\left(t\right) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha+\beta}h\left(t\right) \text{ and } D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}h\left(t\right) = h\left(t\right).$$

If, in addition  $D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}h\left(t\right)\in L^{1}\left(0,+\infty\right),$  then

$$I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}h(t) = h(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} c_{i}t^{\alpha-i},$$

for some constants  $c_i \in \mathbb{R} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$ .

Finally, notice that the boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rll} D^{\alpha}_{0^{+}}u\left(t\right) &=& f\left(t,u\left(t\right)\right), \quad t\in\left[0,T\right], \ 1<\ \alpha\leq 2\\ D^{\alpha-2}_{0^{+}}u\left(0^{+}\right) &=& D^{\alpha-2}_{0^{+}}u\left(T^{-}\right), \\ D^{\alpha-1}_{0^{+}}u\left(0^{+}\right) &=& D^{\alpha-1}_{0^{+}}u\left(T^{-}\right) \end{array} \right.$$

is at resonance, i.e., the corresponding homogeneous boundary value problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} D^{\alpha}_{0^+} u\left(t\right) &=& 0, \quad t \in [0,T]\,, \ 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \\ D^{\alpha-2}_{0^+} u\left(0^+\right) &=& D^{\alpha-2}_{0^+} u\left(T^-\right)\,, \\ D^{\alpha-1}_{0^+} u\left(0^+\right) &=& D^{\alpha-1}_{0^+} u\left(T^-\right) \end{array} \right.$$

has  $u(t) = ct^{\alpha-2}$  as nontrivial solutions  $(c \in \mathbb{R})$ .

#### 2 Main result

#### 2.1 Functional framework

Since our main existence result is based on Mawhin's coincidence degree, we first recall some basic facts about this theory; more details can be found in [8].

Let X, Y be two real Banach spaces and  $L : \operatorname{dom}(L) \subset X \to Y$  a Fredholm operator of index zero. Then there exist two continuous projectors  $P : X \to X$ and  $Q : Y \to Y$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} P = \operatorname{Ker} L$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker} Q = \operatorname{Im} L$ ,  $X = \operatorname{Ker} L \oplus \operatorname{Ker} P$ , and  $Y = \operatorname{Im} L \oplus \operatorname{Im} Q$ . It follows that the operator

$$L_P = L \mid_{\operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P} : \operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P \to \operatorname{Im} L$$

is invertible; we denote its inverse by  $K_P$  (i.e.  $L_P^{-1} = K_P$ ). Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of X such that dom  $(L) \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ . The map  $N : X \to Y$  is said to be L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  if  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$  is bounded and the operator  $K_{P,Q} = K_P(I-Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \to X$  is compact. Since Im Q and Ker L have the same dimension, then there exists a linear isomorphism  $J : \operatorname{Im} Q \to \operatorname{Ker} L$ . Mawhin [8] established the following existence result for the abstract nonlinear equation Lu = Nu:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $L: X \to Y$  be a Fredholm operator of index zero and  $N: X \to Y$  be L-compact operator on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then the equation Lu = Nu has at least one solution in dom  $(L) \cap \overline{\Omega}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1.  $Lu \neq Nu$  for each  $(u, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}(L) \setminus \text{Ker} L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times [0, 1];$
- 2.  $Nu \notin \text{Im } L$ , for each  $u \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$ ;
- 3. deg  $(QN|_{\operatorname{Ker} L}, \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0) \neq 0.$

As usual, C[0,T] will denote the Banach space of continuous real valued functions defined on [0,T] with the norm  $||u|| = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |u(t)|$ . For all  $t \in [0,T]$ , we define the function  $u_r$  by  $u_r(t) = t^r u(t)$ ,  $r \ge 0$ . Let  $C_r[0,T]$  be the space of all functions u such that  $u_r \in C[0,T]$ . Then

**Lemma 2.**  $C_r[0,T]$  endowed with the norm  $||u||_r = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^r |u(t)|$  is a real Banach space.

Let  $Y = L^1[0,T]$  be the Lebesgue space of measurable functions y such that  $s \mapsto |y(s)|$  is Lebesgue integrable equipped with the norm  $||y||_1 = \int_0^T |y(s)| ds$  and  $X = C_{2-\alpha}[0,T]$  endowed with the norm  $||u||_{2-\alpha} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{2-\alpha} |u(t)|$ . Define the linear operator L: dom  $(L) \cap X \longrightarrow Y$  by

$$Lu = D^{\alpha}_{0^+} u, \qquad (2.1)$$

where

dom $(L) = \{u \in X : D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u \in Y, u \text{ satisfies conditions } (1.2), (1.3) \text{ with } b_0 = b_1 = 1\}.$ 

Finally, define the Nemytskii operator  $N: X \longrightarrow Y$  by

$$(Nu)(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$
(2.2)

Thus, BVP (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) with  $b_0 = b_1 = 1$  can be written as

$$Lu = Nu, \ u \in \operatorname{dom}(L).$$

In a series of lemmas, we next investigate the properties of operators L and N.

### 2.2 Auxiliary lemmas

**Lemma 3.** Let L be the operator defined by (2.1); then

Ker 
$$L = \{ ct^{\alpha - 2} : c \in \mathbb{R} \}$$
 and Im  $L = \{ y \in L[0, T] : \int_0^T y(s) ds = 0 \}.$ 

*Proof.* The equation  $D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) = 0$  admits  $u(t) = c_1t^{\alpha-1} + c_2t^{\alpha-2}$  as solutions, where  $c_1, c_2$  are arbitrary constants. Then

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-2}u(t) = I_{0^{+}}^{2-\alpha}u(t) = c_{1}\Gamma(\alpha)t + c_{2}\Gamma(\alpha-1) \text{ and } D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-1}u(t) = c_{1}\Gamma(\alpha).$$

Combining this with (1.2) and (1.3), we find that

$$c_{2}\Gamma\left(\alpha-1\right) = c_{1}\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)T + c_{2}\Gamma\left(\alpha-1\right)$$

and hence  $c_1 = 0$  while  $c_2$  is any constant.

If  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ , then there exists  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$  such that  $D_{0^+}^{\alpha}(t) = y(t)$ . Hence

$$u(t) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} y(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha - 1} + c_2 t^{\alpha - 2}$$

and

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-2}u(t) = I_{0^{+}}^{2}y(t) + c_{1}\Gamma(\alpha)t + c_{2}\Gamma(\alpha-1), D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha-1}u(t) = I_{0^{+}}^{1}y(t) + c_{1}\Gamma(\alpha).$$

By the boundary conditions (1.2), (1.3), we infer that

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)T} \int_0^T (T-s) y(s) ds \text{ and } \int_0^T y(s) ds = 0.$$

Let  $y \in Y$  satisfy  $\int_0^T y(s)ds = 0$ . If  $u(t) = I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)T} \int_0^T (T-s) y(s)ds$ , then  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$  and  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = y(t)$ . As a consequence  $y \in \operatorname{Im}(L)$ .

## Lemma 4.

- (a)  $L: \operatorname{dom}(L) \cap X \longrightarrow Y$  is a Fredholm operator of index 0.
- (b) The linear continuous projectors  $Q: Y \to Y$  and  $P: X \to X$  are such that

$$Qy = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(s) ds \text{ and } (Pu)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} I_{0^+}^{2-\alpha} u(t) \mid_{t=T} t^{\alpha - 2}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that  $Q^2 y = Qy$  and  $P^2 u = Pu$ , for  $y \in Y$ ,  $u \in X$ . For all  $y \in Y$ ,  $y_1 = y - Qy \in \text{Im}(L)$  because  $\int_0^T y_1(s)ds = 0$ . Hence Y = Im(L) + Im(Q),  $(\text{Im}(Q) = \mathbb{R})$ . For  $m \in \text{Im}(L) \cap \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\int_0^T mds = Tm = 0$ ; therefore m = 0 and  $Y = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \text{Im}(Q)$ . Thus dim(Ker L) = co dim (Im L) = dim(Im Q) = dim( $\mathbb{R}$ ) = 1. So L is a Fredholm operator of index 0.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $L_P = L \mid_{\operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P}$ :  $\operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P \to \operatorname{Im}(L)$ . The inverse  $K_P$  of  $L_P$  is given by

$$(K_{P}y)(t) = I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}y(t) - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{T\Gamma(\alpha)}I_{0^{+}}^{2}y(T).$$

Moreover

$$\|K_P y\|_{2-\alpha} \le \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|y\|_1,$$

for all  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ .

*Proof.* For all  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ , we have

$$(LK_{P}y)(t) = D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} \left( I_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}y(t) - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{T\Gamma(\alpha)}I_{0^{+}}^{2}y(T) \right) = y(t).$$

Recall that

Ker 
$$P = \left\{ u \in \text{dom}(L) : I_{0^+}^{2-\alpha} u(t) \mid_{t=T} = 0 \right\}$$

Thus, for  $u \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker} P$ , we have

$$(K_P L) u(t) = I_{0^+}^{\alpha} D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t) - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{T \cdot \Gamma(\alpha)} I_{0^+}^2 D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(T)$$
  
=  $u(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} - \frac{I_{0^+}^{2-\alpha} u(T)}{T \cdot \Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1}.$ 

Since  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ , then

 $(K_P L) u \in \operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Ker} P$ 

and so

$$I_{0^+}^{2-\alpha}u(T) = 0$$
 and  $c_1t^{\alpha-1} + c_2t^{\alpha-2} \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker} P$ 

Moreover

$$I_{0^{+}}^{2-\alpha} \left( c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} \right) = c_1 \Gamma \left( \alpha \right) t + c_2 \Gamma \left( \alpha - 1 \right);$$

hence

$$c_{2}\Gamma\left(\alpha-1\right) = c_{1}\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)T + c_{2}\Gamma\left(\alpha-1\right) = 0.$$

Finally  $c_2 = c_1 = 0$  and

$$(K_PL)u(t) = u(t),$$

which shows that  $K_P = (L_P)^{-1}$ .

Keeping in mind that

$$t^{2-\alpha}\left(K_{P}y\right)(t) = \frac{t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \left(t-s\right)^{\alpha-1} y(s)ds - \frac{t}{T\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)} \int_{0}^{T} \left(T-s\right) y(s)ds,$$

we deduce that

$$t^{2-\alpha} |(K_P y)(t)| \leq \frac{T^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} T^{\alpha-1} \int_0^T |y(s)| \, ds + \frac{T}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} T \int_0^T |y(s)| \, ds = \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|y\|_1.$$
  
inally  
$$\|K_P y\|_{2-\alpha} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{2-\alpha} |(K_P y)(t)| \leq \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|y\|_1.$$

**Lemma 6.** For all  $u \in X$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$t^{2-\alpha}K_P\left(I-Q\right)Nu\left(t\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\alpha\right)}\int_0^T G\left(t,s\right)f\left(s,u\left(s\right)\right)ds,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} t^{2-\alpha} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{ts}{T} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{t^2}{\alpha T}, & 0 \le s < t \le T, \\ \frac{ts}{T} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{t^2}{\alpha T}, & 0 \le t < s \le T. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Assume that  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset from X such that  $\operatorname{dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ ; then N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Proof. In order to prove that N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we only need to show that  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$  is bounded and  $K_P(I-Q)N:\overline{\Omega} \to Y$  is compact.

Since  $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $\overline{\Omega}$  is bounded; therefore there exists a constant M > 0 such that  $|f(t, u(t))| \leq M, \forall u \in \overline{\Omega}, \forall t \in [0,T]$ . Consequently, for all  $u \in \overline{\Omega}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|QN\left(u\right)\right\|_{1} &= \int_{0}^{T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(s, u\left(s\right)\right) ds\right|\right] ds = \left|\int_{0}^{T} f\left(s, u\left(s\right)\right) ds\right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left|f\left(s, u\left(s\right)\right)\right| ds \leq MT. \end{aligned}$$

Since (I-Q) and  $K_P$  are continuous linear operators, then (I-Q)N(u) and  $K_P(I-Q)N(u)$  are bounded. Hence

$$\|(I-Q) N(u)\|_{1} \leq \|N(u)\|_{1} + \|QN(u)\|_{1} \leq 2TM, \|K_{P}(I-Q) N(u)\|_{2-\alpha} \leq \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|(I-Q) N(u)\|_{1} \leq \frac{4T^{2}M}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

For all  $t_1 \in [0,T]$ ,  $t_2 \in [0,T]$ ,  $(t_1 < t_2)$ , and  $u \in \overline{\Omega}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| t_2^{2-\alpha} K_P \left( I - Q \right) Nu \left( t_2 \right) - t_1^{2-\alpha} K_P \left( I - Q \right) Nu \left( t_1 \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \alpha \right)} \left| \int_0^T G \left( t_2, s \right) f \left( s, u \left( s \right) \right) ds - \int_0^T G \left( t_1, s \right) f \left( s, u \left( s \right) \right) ds \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \alpha \right)} \left| \int_0^T (G \left( t_2, s \right) - G \left( t_1, s \right)) f \left( s, u \left( s \right) \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma \left( \alpha \right)} \int_0^T \left| G \left( t_2, s \right) - G \left( t_1, s \right) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we distinguish between three different cases:

 $\mathbf{F}$ 

1. Case  $t_1 < t_2 < s$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G\left(t_{2},s\right) - G\left(t_{1},s\right)| &= |t_{2} - t_{1}| \left| \frac{s}{T} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_{2} + t_{1}}{\alpha T}\right) \right| \\ &\leq |t_{2} - t_{1}| \left( \frac{s}{T} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_{2} + t_{1}}{\alpha T}\right) \right); \end{array}$$

then

$$\int_0^T |G(t_2,s) - G(t_1,s)| \, ds \leq |t_2 - t_1| \int_0^T \left(\frac{s}{T} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha T}\right)\right) \, ds \\ = (T + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha}) |t_2 - t_1| \, .$$

2. Case  $s < t_1 < t_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t_2,s) - G(t_1,s)| &= \left| t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} - t_1^{2-\alpha} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} \right. \\ &+ (t_2 - t_1) \left( \frac{s}{T} - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha T} \right) \right) | \\ &\leq \left| t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} - t_1^{2-\alpha} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} \right| \\ &+ \left| (t_2 - t_1) \left( \frac{s}{T} - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha T} \right) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function  $\Psi_s$  defined by

$$\Psi_s(t) = t^{2-\alpha} \left(t-s\right)^{\alpha-1},$$

where  $t \in [0,T]$  and  $0 \le s < t$ , is increasing on [0,T] because its derivative

$$\Psi'_{s}(t) = (2-\alpha)\left(\frac{t-s}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} + (\alpha-1)\left(\frac{t}{t-s}\right)^{2-\alpha}$$

is positive. Then

$$t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} - t_1^{2-\alpha} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^T (t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} - t_1^{2-\alpha} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha - 1}) ds = t_2^{2-\alpha} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} ds - t_1^{2-\alpha} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} ds = \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\alpha}.$$

Finally

$$\int_0^T |G(t_2,s) - G(t_1,s)| \, ds \le \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\alpha} + \left(T + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha}\right) |t_2 - t_1| \\ = \left(T + \frac{t_2 + t_1 + 1}{\alpha}\right) |t_2 - t_1| \, .$$

3. Case  $t_1 < s < t_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t_2,s) - G(t_1,s)| &= \left| t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} + (t_2 - t_1) \left( \frac{s}{T} - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha T} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha - 1} + \left| (t_2 - t_1) \left( \frac{s}{T} - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha T} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq t_2^{2-\alpha} (t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha - 1} + \left( T + \frac{t_2 + t_1}{\alpha} \right) |t_2 - t_1| \,. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $K_P(I-Q)N$  is equicontinuous, as claimed.

### 2.3 Existence theorem

We are now in position to state and prove our main existence result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f:[0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Assume that

- (H<sub>1</sub>) there exist two functions  $a, r \in L^1[0,T]$  such that for all  $t \in [0,T]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $|f(t,x)| \leq t^{2-\alpha}a(t)|x| + r(t)$ ,
- (H<sub>2</sub>) there exists a constant M > 0 such that for all  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$ , if |u(t)| > M for all  $t \in [0,T]$ , then  $\int_0^T f(s, u(s)) ds \neq 0$ ,
- (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists a constant  $M^* > 0$  such that for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $|c| > M^*$ , then either

$$c \int_0^T f(s, cs^{\alpha-2}) ds < 0 \text{ or } c \int_0^T f(s, cs^{\alpha-2}) ds > 0.$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) with  $b_0 = b_1 = 1$  has at least one solution  $u \in C_{2-\alpha}[0,T]$  provided that  $||a||_1 < \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{2T}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{ u \in \operatorname{dom}(L) \setminus \operatorname{Ker} L : Lu = \lambda Nu, \ \lambda \in (0, 1) \}$$

For  $u \in \Omega_1$ , we have  $u \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker } P$  and  $Lu = \lambda Nu$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$  because  $u \notin \text{Ker } L$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2-\alpha} &= \|K_P L u\|_{2-\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|L u\|_1 = \frac{2T\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|N u\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T |f(s, u(s))| \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

From condition  $(H_1)$ , we have

$$|f(s, u(s))| \le s^{2-\alpha} a(s) |u(s)| + r(s) \le a(s) \sup_{s \in [0,T]} s^{2-\alpha} |u(s)| + r(s).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^T |f(s, u(s))| \, ds \le ||a||_1 \, ||u||_{2-\alpha} + ||r||_1 \, .$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{2-\alpha} \le \frac{2T}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \|a\|_1 \|u\|_{2-\alpha} + \|r\|_1 \right).$$

Finally

$$\|u\|_{2-\alpha} \le \frac{2T \|r\|_1}{\Gamma(\alpha) - 2T \|a\|_1} = M_1.$$

Consider the set

$$\Omega_2 = \{ u \in \operatorname{Ker} L : Nu \in \operatorname{Im} L \}.$$

For  $u \in \Omega_2$ , we have  $u(t) = ct^{\alpha-2}$  and  $\int_0^T f(s, cs^{\alpha-2}) ds = 0$ . Then, from the condition  $(H_2)$ , there exists  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  such that  $|ct_0^{\alpha-2}| \leq M$ , with  $t_0 \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$||u||_{2-\alpha} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} t^{2-\alpha} |ct^{\alpha-2}| = |c| \le M t_0^{2-\alpha} = M_2.$$

Let

$$\Omega_3 = \{ u \in \operatorname{Ker} L : -\lambda J u + (1 - \lambda) Q N u = 0, \ \lambda \in [0, 1] \}$$

where  $J : \text{Ker } L \to \text{Im } Q$  is the linear isomorphism defined by J(u) = c.

In case  $(H_3)$  is satisfied, assume that  $c \int_0^T f(s, cs^{\alpha-2}) ds < 0$  holds. For all  $u \in \Omega_3$ , we can write  $u = ct^{\alpha-2}$  and

$$\lambda c^{2} = \frac{(1-\lambda)}{T} c \int_{0}^{T} f\left(s, cs^{\alpha-2}\right) ds$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ , then c = 0. Otherwise, if Hypothesis  $|c| > M^*$ , then by  $(H_3)$ , one has

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)}{T}c\int_0^T f\left(s, cs^{\alpha-2}\right)ds < 0,$$

which contradicts  $\lambda c^2 \ge 0$ . Thus

$$||u||_{2-\alpha} = |c| \le M^*.$$

If  $c \int_0^T f(s, cs^{\alpha-2}) ds > 0$  holds, then  $\Omega_3$  can be defined as follows:

$$\Omega_3 = \left\{ u \in \operatorname{Ker} L : \lambda J u + (1 - \lambda) Q N u = 0, \ \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Next, we shall prove that all conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled.

Let  $\Omega$  be bounded open such that  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \cup \overline{\Omega}_2 \cup \overline{\Omega}_3 \subset \Omega$ . We have already proved that L is a Fredholm operator of index 0 and that N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Also, we have

- 1.  $Lu \neq Nu$ , for each  $(u, \lambda) \in [(\operatorname{dom}(L) \setminus \operatorname{Ker} L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times [0, 1]$  for  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$ .
- 2.  $Nu \notin \operatorname{Im} L$  for each  $u \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \Omega$  for  $\overline{\Omega}_2 \subset \Omega$ .
- 3. In order to take into account the subset  $\Omega_3$  in the above two cases, we consider the homotopy  $H(u, \lambda) = \pm \lambda J u + (1 - \lambda) Q N u$ . Then  $H(u, \lambda) \neq 0$ , for each  $u \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$ . As  $\overline{\Omega}_3 \subset \Omega$ . By the homotopy property of the degree, we finally deduce that

$$\deg \left( QN \mid_{\operatorname{Ker} L}, \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0 \right) = \deg \left( H \left( u, 0 \right), \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0 \right)$$
$$= \deg \left( H \left( u, 1 \right), \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0 \right)$$
$$= \deg \left( \pm J, \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0 \right) \neq 0,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 2.4 Example 1

Consider the boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\frac{3}{2}} u\left(t\right) &= \frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}} u\left(t\right) \left(2\sin u\left(t\right) - 3\right) + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{4}, \\ D_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u\left(0^+\right) &= D_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u\left(\frac{\pi}{4}^-\right), \\ I_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u\left(0^+\right) &= I_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u\left(\frac{\pi}{4}^-\right). \end{cases}$$
(1)

In this example,

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{2}, T = \frac{\pi}{4}, \text{ and } f(t, x) = \frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}x(2\sin x - 3) + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t.$$

In addition, we have

1.

$$|f(t,x)| \le \frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}} |x| (2|\sin x|+3) + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t \le \frac{3}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{t} |x| + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t.$$

Then

$$a(t) = \frac{3}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}, \|a\|_1 = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{\pi}} < \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\frac{\pi}{4}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \text{ and } r(t) = \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t.$$

2. Let M = 80. For each  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$ , suppose that |u(t)| > M, for all  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ . If u(t) > M, for all  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ , then  $2 \sin u(t) - 3 \le -1$  and thus

$$f(t, u(t)) \leq -\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}u(t) + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t \leq -\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}M + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t.$$

Notice that since -u(t) < -M, then

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} f(t, u(t)) dt \le \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left( -\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}M + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t \right) dt = -0.06 < 0.$$

If u(t) < -M, for all  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ , then 0 < M < -u(t) and

$$\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}M < -\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}u(t) \le \frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}u(t)\left(2\sin u(t) - 3\right).$$

Hence  $f(t, u(t)) \ge \frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}M + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t$ , for all  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ . Consequently

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} f(t, u(t)) dt \ge \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{t}}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}M + \pi\sqrt{\pi}\cos t\right) ds = 7.93 > 0.$$

Finally  $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} f(t, u(t)) dt \neq 0.$ 

3. Let  $M^* = 95$ . For every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|c| > M^*$ , we have  $\left(2\sin\frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} - 3\right) \leq -1$ . Then

$$\frac{3}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}c^2\left(2\sin\frac{c}{\sqrt{t}}-3\right) + \pi\sqrt{\pi}c\cos t \le -\frac{3}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}c^2 + \pi\sqrt{\pi}c\cos t.$$

Finally

$$c \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} f\left(t, \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}}\right) dt \leq \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(-\frac{3}{5\pi\sqrt{\pi}}c^2 + \pi\sqrt{\pi}c\cos t\right) dt \\ = -\frac{3}{20\sqrt{\pi}}c^2 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}c < 0,$$

for all  $c \notin \left[0, \frac{20\pi^2}{3\sqrt{2}}\right]$ . We conclude that all conditions of Theorem 2 hold, proving that problem 1 has at least one solution u in  $C_{\frac{1}{2}}[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ .

#### 2.5 Example 2

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{0_{+}}^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ D_{0_{+}}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(0^{+}) &= D_{0_{+}}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(1^{-}), \\ I_{0_{+}}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(0^{+}) &= I_{0_{+}}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(1^{-}), \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

where

$$f(t,x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sqrt{t}}{10}, & t \in [0,1], x \in (-\infty,0) \\ \frac{\sqrt{t}}{10} \left( x - 1 + \frac{1}{3} \ln\left( |x| \sqrt{t} + 1 \right) \right), & t \in [0,1], x \in [0,+\infty). \end{cases}$$

Next, we check all of assumptions of Theorem 2:

1. Since for all s > 0,  $\ln s \le s - 1 < s$ , then

$$|f(t,x)| \le \frac{\sqrt{t}}{10} \left( |x| + \frac{1}{3} \left( |x| \sqrt{t} + 1 \right) \right) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{10} = \sqrt{t} \left( \frac{1}{10} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{30} \right) |x| + 4\frac{\sqrt{t}}{30}.$$

Then we take

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{30}\right)$$
 and  $r(t) = 4\frac{\sqrt{t}}{30}$ 

with  $a, r \in L^1[0, 1]$  and

$$\|a\|_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{30}\right) dt = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{90} = \frac{11}{90} < \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2} \simeq 0.443.$$

2. For M = 91, assume that u(t) > M, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$f(s, u(s)) \ge \frac{\sqrt{s}}{10} \left( M - 1 + \frac{1}{3} \ln \left( M \sqrt{s} + 1 \right) \right).$$

As a consequence, we derive the estimates:

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} f(s, u(s)) ds &\geq (M-1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{10} ds + \frac{1}{30} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{s} \ln(M\sqrt{s}+1) ds \\ &= \frac{2}{30} (M-1) + \frac{2}{90} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M^{3}} \right) \ln(M+1) - \frac{(M+1)^{3}}{3M^{3}} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{3(M+1)^{2}}{2M^{3}} - \frac{3(M+1)}{M^{3}} + \frac{11}{6M^{3}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{30} (M-1) - \frac{2}{90} \frac{(M+1)^{3} + 9(M+1)}{3M^{3}} \simeq 5.99. \end{split}$$

Now suppose that u(t) < -M, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 f(s, u(s)) ds = \int_0^1 -\frac{\sqrt{s}}{10} ds = -\frac{2}{30} < 0$$

which shows that

$$\int_0^1 f(s, u(s))ds \neq 0,$$

for all  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$  satisfying |u(t)| > M, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

3. Let  $M^* = \frac{2}{3}$ . For all  $c > M^*$ , we have

$$\begin{split} c \int_0^1 f\left(s, \frac{c}{\sqrt{s}}\right) ds &= \int_0^1 c \frac{\sqrt{s}}{10} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{s}} - 1 + \frac{1}{3} \ln\left(\frac{|c|}{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s} + 1\right)\right) ds \\ &= \frac{c^2}{10} - \frac{2}{30}c + \frac{2}{90}c \ln(|c| + 1) \\ &= \frac{c}{10} \left(c - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{9}\ln(|c| + 1)\right) > 0, \end{split}$$

while for  $c < -M^*$ , we have

$$c\int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, \frac{c}{\sqrt{s}}\right) ds = c\int_{0}^{1} -\frac{\sqrt{s}}{10} ds = -\frac{2}{30}c > 0.$$

Therefore we have showed that problem 2 has at least one solution u in  $C_{\frac{1}{2}}[0,1]$ .

## References

- [1] AHMAD BASHIR, NIETO JUAN J. Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with fractional boundary conditions. Fixed Point Theory, 2012, 13, No. 2, 329–336.
- [2] BAI ZHANBING. *m-point boundary value problems at resonance*. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2010, No. 37, 15 pp.
- [3] BAI ZHANBING. On positive solutions of a nonlocal fractional boundary value problem. Nonlinear Anal., 2010, 72, No. 2, 916–924.

- [4] CHEN YI, TANG XIANHUA. Positive solutions of fractional differential equations at resonance on the half-line. Bound. Value Probl., 2012, 2012:64, 13 pp.
- [5] HU ZHIGANG LIU WENBIN, CHEN TAIYONG. Two-point boundary value problems for fractional differential equations at resonance. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2013, (2) 36, No. 3, 747–755.
- [6] JIANG WEIHUA. The existence of solutions to boundary value problems of fractional differential equations at resonance. Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 74, No. 5, 1987–1994.
- [7] KILBAS ANATOLY A., SRIVASTAVA HARI M., TRUJILLO JUAN J. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 2006. xvi+523 pp.
- [8] MAWHIN JEAN. Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems. Expository lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, Calif., June 9-15, 1977. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 40. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1979. v+122 pp.
- [9] NIETO JUAN J. Existence of a solution for a three-point boundary value problem for a secondorder differential equation at resonance. Bound. Value Probl. 2013, 2013:130, 7 pp.
- [10] WANG GANG, LIU WENBIN, REN CAN. Existence of solutions for multi-point nonlinear differential equations of fractional orders with integral boundary conditions. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2012, No. 54, 10 pp.
- [11] XU NA, LIU WENBIN, XIAO LISHUN. The existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional multipoint boundary value problems at resonance. Bound. Value Probl., 2012, 2012:65, 14 pp.
- [12] ZHANG YINGHAN, BAI ZHANBING. Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional three-point boundary value problems at resonance. J. Appl. Math. Comput., 2011, 36, No. 1-2, 417–440.

SMAIL DJEBALI
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences
Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU)
P.O. Box 90950, Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia
Laboratoire Théorie du point Fixe & Applications
École Normale Supérieure, B.P. 92, 16006 Kouba, Algiers

Algeria E-mail: *djebali@hotmail.com* 

LAMINE GUEDDA Department of Maths-Informatique Hamma Lakhdar University, 39000 El-Oued, Algeria E-mail: lquedda\_iq@ymail.com Received August 23, 2015