

$l_p(\mathbf{R})$ -equivalence of topological spaces and topological modules

Mitrofan M. Choban, Radu N. Dumbrăveanu

Abstract. Let R be a topological ring and E be a unitary topological R -module. Denote by $C_p(X, E)$ the class of all continuous mappings of X into E in the topology of pointwise convergence. The spaces X and Y are called $l_p(E)$ -equivalent if the topological R -modules $C_p(X, E)$ and $C_p(Y, E)$ are topological isomorphisms. Some conditions under which the topological property \mathcal{P} is preserved by the $l_p(E)$ -equivalence (Theorems 8 – 11) are given.

Mathematics subject classification: Primary 54C35, 54C10, 54C60; Secondary 13F99, 54C40, 54H13.

Keywords and phrases: Function space, topology of pointwise convergence, support, linear homeomorphism, perfect properties, open finite-to-one properties.

1 Preliminaries

Let G be an Abelian group under addition operation and R be a ring. We call G a left R -module or, simply, an R -module if on it the operation of multiplication between an element of R and an element of G is defined, say $ra \in G$, where $r \in R$ and $a \in G$, with the following properties: $r(a + b) = ra + rb$, $(r + s)a = ra + sa$, $r(sa) = (rs)a$, for any $r, s \in R$ and $a, b \in G$. In other words, an R -module is a vector space where the base field is replaced by a base ring R . Usually the operation of multiplication $(r, a) \mapsto ra$ is called scalar multiplication. Obviously, any ring R is a module over itself. An R -module is unitary [11] if R possesses a multiplicative identity 1 and $1x = x$ for every $x \in G$.

An additive topological group is an additive group G with a topology such that the addition operation $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ and inverse operation $x \rightarrow -x$ are continuous mappings [11]. A topological ring is a ring R with a topology making R into an additive Abelian topological group such that the multiplication is a continuous mapping [11].

Let R be a topological ring. A topological R -module is an R -module E together with a topology such that E is an additive Abelian topological group and scalar multiplication is a continuous mapping.

Let E and F be R -modules. The mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ is a linear mapping if it satisfies the conditions:

- (i) $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$, for any $x, y \in E$;
- (ii) $\varphi(\alpha x) = \alpha\varphi(x)$, for any $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in R$.

Throughout this paper, by a "space" we will mean a "completely regular space", by a "topological ring" we will mean "topological unitary ring" and by a "topological module" we will mean a "topological unitary module".

Fix a space X , a topological ring R and a topological R -module E . By $C(X, E)$ we will denote the family of all E -valued continuous functions with the domain X and by $C_p(X, E)$ we will denote the space $C(X, E)$ endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. Recall that the family of sets of the form $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) = \{f : C(X, E) : f(x_i) \in U_i \text{ for any } i \leq n\}$, where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are open sets of E and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an open base of the space $C_p(X, E)$.

Let E be a topological R -module. The spaces X and Y are called $l_p(E)$ -equivalent if the spaces $C_p(X, E)$ and $C_p(Y, E)$ are linearly homeomorphic and we denote $X \stackrel{E}{\sim} Y$.

Recall that an embedding of X into Y is a mapping $e : X \rightarrow Y$ such that e is a homeomorphism of X onto $e(X) \subseteq Y$.

Proposition 1. *Fix a topological R -module E . Then $C_p(X, E)$ is a topological R -module and E is embedded in a natural way in $C_p(X, E)$ as a closed submodule of $C_p(X, E)$.*

Proof. $C_p(X, E)$ is a group under operation of pointwise addition and respectively is unitary module over the ring R . We put $a_X(x) = a$ for any $a \in E$ and $x \in X$, i. e. a_X is a constant function.

Let $e : E \rightarrow C_p(X, E)$, where $e(a) = a_X(x)$ for every $a \in E$. The mapping e is injective, since, if $a, b \in E$, with $a \neq b$, then $a_X(x) = a \neq b = b_X(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

The sets $W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) = \{f \in C_p(X, E) : f(x_i) \in U_i\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are open subsets of E , form an open base of the space $C_p(X, E)$.

If $x \in X$ and U is open in E , then $e(U) = \{a_X : a \in U\} = e(E) \cap W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U, U, \dots, U)$ and $e^{-1}(W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)) = \{a \in E : a_X \in U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n\} = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n$. Hence, e is an embedding of E in $C_p(X, E)$.

Fix $g \in C_p(X, E)$ such that $g \notin e(E)$. There exist two distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $g(x_1) \neq g(x_2)$. Now we fix two open sets U_1 and U_2 from R such that $g(x_1) \in U_1$, $g(x_2) \in U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Then $g \in W(x_1, x_2, U_1, U_2)$ and $W(x_1, x_2, U_1, U_2) \cap e(E) = \emptyset$. Hence the set $e(E)$ is closed. \square

A space X is zero-dimensional if $indX = 0$ (small inductive dimension is zero), i. e. X has a base of clopen (open and closed) subsets.

Proposition 2. *If E is a zero-dimensional topological R -module, then $C_p(X, E)$ is a zero-dimensional topological R -module.*

Proof. Since $C_p(X, E)$ is a subspace of E^X , the proof is complete. \square

2 The evaluation mapping

Let X be a space, R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial topological R -module. Fix $x \in X$. Then the mapping $\xi_x : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow E$ defined by $\xi_x(f) = f(x)$ is called the evaluation mapping at x .

Proposition 3. *The evaluation mapping $\xi_x : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow E$ is continuous and linear for every point $x \in X$.*

Proof. Fix a point $x \in X$. For every open set U of E we have $\xi_x^{-1}(U) = \{f \in C_p(X, E) : f(x) \in U\} = W(x, U)$. But $W(x, U)$ is an element of the subbase of the topology on $C_p(X, E)$, i.e. $\xi_x^{-1}(U)$ is open in $C_p(X, E)$ for every open $U \subseteq E$.

Obviously $\xi_x(f + \alpha g) = (f + \alpha g)(x) = f(x) + \alpha g(x) = \xi_x(f) + \alpha \xi_x(g)$. Hence ξ_x is a linear continuous mapping. \square

We now define the canonical evaluation mapping $e_X : X \rightarrow C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$, where $e_X(x) = \xi_x$ for any $x \in X$.

Proposition 4. *The canonical evaluation mapping $e_X : X \rightarrow C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$ is continuous. Moreover, the set $e_X(X)$ is closed in the space $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$.*

Proof. Let $U = W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k) \cap e_X(X)$ be a standard open set in $C_p(C_p(X, E), E) \cap e_X(X)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $U \subseteq e_X(X)$, i.e. $U = \{\xi_x \in e_X(X) : \xi_x(f_i) \in U_i, x \in X, i = \overline{1, k}\} = \{\xi_x \in e_X(X) : f_i(x) \in U_i, x \in X, i = \overline{1, k}\}$. On the other hand $e_X^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X : f_i(x) \in U_i, i = \overline{1, k}\} = \cap \{f_i^{-1}(U_i) : i = \overline{1, k}\}$. Since for every $i = \overline{1, k}$ and $f_i \in C(X, E)$, the set $e_X^{-1}(U)$ is a finite intersection of open sets, therefore it is open.

Fix $\varphi \in C_p(C_p(X, E), E) \setminus e_X(X)$. There exist $f \in C_p(X, E)$ and $b \in X$ such that $\varphi(f) \neq f(b) = \xi_b(f)$. Fix in E two open sets V and W such that $\varphi(f) \in V$, $f(b) \in W$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. The set $U = \{\psi \in C_p(C_p(X, E), E) : \psi(f) \in V\}$ is open in $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$ and $U \cap e_X(X) = \emptyset$. The proof is complete. \square

Let X and Y be spaces, Φ a family of functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$. We say that Φ *separates points* of X (or simply is *separating* [1]) if for any $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists $f \in \Phi$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. We also say that Φ *separates points from closed sets* (or is *regular* [1]) if for any closed subset F of X and any point $x \in X \setminus F$ there exists $f \in \Phi$ such that $f(x) \notin \text{cl}_Y f(F)$.

Proposition 5. *If $C_p(X, E)$ is a separating and regular family, then the canonical evaluation mapping $e_X : X \rightarrow C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$ is a homeomorphism from X to the subspace $e_X(X)$ of $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$.*

Proof. Since canonical evaluation mapping is continuous, it is clear that it is surjective and we have only to prove that e_X is injective and the inverse function is continuous.

First, we show that e_X is injective. Let $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$. By assumption, $C_p(X, E)$ is a separating collection, i.e., we can find a function $f \in C_p(X, E)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$, hence $\xi_x \neq \xi_y$.

Now, we prove that e_X^{-1} is continuous. Let F be a closed subset of X . By assumption $C_p(X, E)$ is a regular collection, i.e. for any $x \notin F$ we can find $f \in C_p(X, E)$ such that $f(x) \notin cl_E f(F)$. Therefore $f(x)$ has a neighbourhood $U_{f(x)}$ for which $U_{f(x)} \cap f(F) = \emptyset$. Then $W(f, U_{f(x)})$ is a neighbourhood of ξ_x that is not contained in $e_X(F)$, i.e. $e_X(F)$ is closed. Hence e_X^{-1} is continuous. \square

A space X is called:

(i) *R-completely regular* if for any closed subset F of X and any point $a \in X \setminus F$ there exists $f \in C(X, R)$ such that $f(a) \notin cl_R f(F)$;

(ii) *R-Tychonoff* if for any closed subset F of X , any point $a \in X \setminus F$ there exists $g \in C(X, R)$ such that $g(a) = 0$ and $F \subseteq g^{-1}(1)$.

The space R is *R-completely regular*. The Cartesian product of *R-completely regular* spaces is *R-completely regular* and the Cartesian product of *R-Tychonoff* spaces is an *R-Tychonoff* space. A subspace of an *R-Tychonoff* (*R-completely regular*) space is an *R-Tychonoff* (*R-completely regular*) space.

Remark 1. Let X be an *R-Tychonoff* space. Then:

(i) X is a Tychonoff space.

(ii) If E is a topological *R-module*, then for each closed set F of X , any point $a \in X \setminus F$ and any point $b \in E$, there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(a) = 0$ and $f(F) = b$.

(iii) X is *R-completely regular*.

Remark 2. Let E be a topological *R-module* and X be an *R-completely regular* space. Then:

(i) X is a Tychonoff space.

(ii) For any closed subset F of X and any point $a \in X \setminus F$ there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(a) \notin cl_E f(F)$.

(iii) $C(X, E)$ is a separating and regular family of continuous mappings.

Proposition 6. A space X is *R-completely regular* if and only if the family $C(X, E)$ is separating and regular for any non-trivial topological *R-module* E .

Proof. It is obvious. \square

Proposition 7. If $indX = 0$, then the space X is *R-Tychonoff*.

Proof. If C is a clopen subset, then χ_C is continuous, where $\chi_C(C) = 1$ and $\chi_C(X \setminus C) = 0$. Fix a point $x \in X$ and closed subset F of X such that $x \in X \setminus F$. Since $indX = 0$ we can find a clopen subset C such that $C \subseteq X \setminus F$. Then $X \setminus C$ is also clopen and $F \subseteq cl_X F \subseteq X \setminus C$. The characteristic function $g = \chi_{X \setminus C}$ is continuous, $g(x) = 0$ and $F \subseteq g^{-1}(1)$. Hence X is *R-Tychonoff*. \square

Let R be a topological ring. A topological *R-module* E is called:

(i) *simple* if it does not contain a non-trivial submodule over R ;

(ii) *locally simple* if E is not trivial and there exists an open subset U of E such that $0 \in U$ and U does not contain non-trivial *R-submodules* of E ;

(iii) *R*-closed if there exists a continuous mapping $\varphi_E : E \longrightarrow R$ onto *R* such that $\varphi_E(x + y) = \varphi_E(x) + \varphi_E(y)$ and $\varphi_E(tx) = t\varphi_E(x)$ for any $t \in R$ and $x, y \in E$.

Example 1. Let \mathbb{R} be the field of real numbers and \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers. The rings \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are simple rings.

Example 2. If *R* is the field of real numbers or of complex numbers, then any locally convex linear space over *R* is an *R*-closed module.

Example 3. Let \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers. Then \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are locally simple, not simple and not \mathbb{Q} -closed \mathbb{Q} -modules.

Example 4. If *R* is a locally simple ring, then R^n is a locally simple *R*-closed *R*-module for any natural number $n \geq 1$.

Example 5. Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers. Relative to discrete topology \mathbb{Z} is locally simple non-simple ring. If $p \geq 2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p = p \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, then \mathbb{Z}_p is an ideal and $\{n\mathbb{Z}_p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base at 0 of the ring topology. In that topology \mathbb{Z} is not a locally simple ring.

Let *R* be a ring and *E* be an *R*-module. For any $a \in E$ we put $E_a = Ra = \{ta : t \in R\}$.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a ring and E be an R-module. Then Ra is an R-module for any a ∈ E.*

Proof. Fix $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$. By definition, $Ra = \{xa : x \in R\}$. In the first we will prove that Ra is an Abelian group.

Let $x, y \in Ra$. Then there exist $x_1, y_1 \in R$ such that $x = x_1a$ and $y = y_1a$. Then $x + y = x_1a + y_1a = (x_1 + y_1)a \in Ra$. Also $0 + x_1a = x_1a + 0 = x_1a$ and $x_1a + (-x_1)a = (-x_1)a + x_1a = 0$. Hence Ra is a group under addition operation.

Now we will prove that Ra is an *R*-module. Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ and $x, y \in Ra$. By definition, $x = x_1a$ and $y = y_1a$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in R$. Then $\alpha(x_1a + y_1a) = \alpha x_1a + \alpha y_1a \in Ra$, $(\alpha + \beta)x_1a = \alpha x_1a + \beta x_1a$ and $\alpha(\beta x) = \alpha(\beta x_1a) = (\alpha\beta)x_1a = (\alpha\beta)x$. \square

Remark 3. It is obvious that, by Lemma 1, for any simple ring *R* and any $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$, we have $Ra = R$, i. e. *R* is a field. Moreover, for any simple *R*-module *E* and any $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$, we have $Ra = E$.

Proposition 8. *Let E be a locally simple R-closed R-module, a ∈ E and $\varphi_E(a) = 1$. Then $E_a = \{ta : t \in R\}$ is an R-submodule of E with the following properties:*

1. $v_a = \varphi_E|_{E_a} : E_a \longrightarrow R$ is a topological isomorphism of the *R*-module E_a onto *R*-module *R*.
2. The mapping $\psi_a : E \longrightarrow E_a$, where $\psi_a(x) = v_a^{-1}(\varphi_E(x))$ for each $x \in E$, is an open continuous homomorphism of the *R*-module *E* onto the *R*-module E_a .
3. The space *E* is homeomorphic to the space $\varphi_E^{-1}(0) \times E_a$.
4. The set E_a is closed in *E*.

Proof. The set $\varphi_E^{-1}(1)$ is non-empty. Fix $a \in \varphi_E^{-1}(1)$. If $t \in R$, then $\varphi_E(ta) = t\varphi_E(a) = t \cdot 1 = t$. Thus $\varphi_E(E_a) = R$ and v_a is a one-to-one continuous homomorphism of E_a onto R . Since $(t, x) \mapsto tx$ is a continuous mapping of $R \times E$ onto E , the mapping v_a is a homeomorphism, i. e. $v_a^{-1} : R \rightarrow E_a$ is continuous. Assertion 1 is proved. Assertion 2 follows from the Assertion 1.

The mapping $\psi : \varphi_E^{-1}(0) \times E \rightarrow E$, where $\psi(x, y) = x + y$ for any $x \in \varphi_E^{-1}(0)$ and $y \in E_a$, is a homeomorphism. \square

Let X be a space, R be a topological ring and E be a topological R -module. We will consider two subsets of $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$:

- (i) $L_p(X, E) = \{\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n : \alpha_i \in R, x_i \in e_X(X), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- (ii) $M_p(X, E)$ the subspace of all linear mappings from $C_p(X, E)$ into E .
- (iii) If F is a topological R -module, then $\mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$ is the space of all linear continuous mappings $\varphi : F \rightarrow E$ as a subspace of the space $C_p(F, E)$.

Proposition 9. *Let R be a locally simple ring and X be an R -Tychonoff space. Then $M_p(X, R) = L_p(X, R)$.*

Proof. We will show that every continuous linear mapping $\mu \in M_p(X, R)$ can be written as $\mu = \alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n$ for some concrete $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_i \in R$, $x_i \in e_X(X)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Fix $\mu \in M_p(X, R)$. Assume that $\mu \neq 0$. Let $f \in C(X, R)$, $f = 0$. Then $\mu(f) = 0$, since μ is linear. Fix a neighbourhood U of $0 \in R$ which does not contain R -submodules. Since μ is continuous, we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$, distinct points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, and $V = V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V_n \subseteq U$ such that $\mu(W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)) \subseteq U$.

Let $g \in C_p(X, R)$ and $g(x_1) = g(x_2) = \dots = g(x_n) = 0$. Clearly, $\alpha g \in W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$ for any $\alpha \in R$. Thus $\mu(\alpha g) \in U$ and, since μ is a linear functional, we have $\alpha\mu(g) \in U$ for every $\alpha \in R$. From Lemma 1 it follows that $R\mu(g)$ is an R -submodule of R and $R\mu(g) \subseteq U$, a contradiction. Therefore $R\mu(g) = \{0\}$ and $\mu(g) = 0$.

Fix $g_i \in C(X, R)$ such that $g_i(x_i) = 1$ and $g_i(x_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$.

We put $\alpha_i = \mu(g_i)$. Consider $\mu' = \alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n$. Then $\mu'(g) = \alpha_1g(x_1) + \alpha_2g(x_2) + \dots + \alpha_ng(x_n)$ for any $g \in C(X, R)$.

Let $g \in C_p(X, R)$ and $g' = g - g(x_1)g_1 - g(x_2)g_2 - \dots - g(x_n)g_n$. Obviously, $g' \in C_p(X, R)$ and $g'(x_i) = 0$ for each $i \leq n$. Hence $\mu(g') = 0$. Since μ is a linear mapping, $0 = \mu(g') = \mu(g) - \mu(\Sigma\{g(x_i)g_i : i \leq n\})$ and $\mu(g) = \mu(\Sigma\{g(x_i)g_i : i \leq n\}) = \Sigma\{g(x_i)\mu(g_i) : i \leq n\} = \Sigma\{\alpha_i g(x_i) : i \leq n\}$. Hence $\mu = \mu'$ \square

Remark 4. Let E be a topological R -module and $Hom(E)$ be the set of all continuous homomorphisms $\varphi : E \rightarrow E$. If $\mu \in M_p(X, E)$ and $\varphi \in Hom(E)$, then $\varphi \circ \mu \in M_p(X, E)$. As follows from the next example, there exist a topological ring R , a topological R -module E , a space X , $\mu \in L_p(X, E)$ and $\varphi \in Hom(E)$ such that $\varphi \circ \mu \in M_p(X, E) \setminus L_p(X, E)$.

Example 6. Let R be a topological ring with the identity 1, A be a non-empty set and $E = R^A$. Then E is an R -closed R -module and a topological ring. For any subset B of A consider the point $1_B = (t_\alpha(B) : \alpha \in A) \in E$, where $t_\alpha(B) = 1$ for $\alpha \in B$, and $t_\alpha(B) = 0$ for $\alpha \in A \setminus B$. The point 1_B generate the homomorphism $\varphi_B \in \text{Hom}(E)$, where $\varphi_B(x) = x \cdot 1_B$ for any $x \in E$. If $E_B = \{x \cdot 1_B : x \in E\} = \varphi_B(E)$, then E_B is a a subring of E and R -submodule of E . The homomorphism φ_B is a retraction of E onto E_B .

Let X be a non-empty space. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $|X| + |R| + \aleph_0 \leq |A|$.

Fix $a \in X$. We put $\psi_B(g) = \varphi_B(g(a))$ for any $g \in C_p(X, E)$. We identify $a = e_X(a)$. Hence $\psi_B = \varphi_B \circ a$. We have $\psi_B(C(X, E)) = E_B$. Therefore $|M_p(X, E)| \geq |\{\psi_B : B \in A\}| = 2^{|A|} > |A|$, where $|Y|$ is the cardinality of the set Y . Obviously, $|L_p(X, E)| \leq |X| + |R| + \aleph_0$. Hence, since $|X| + |R| + \aleph_0 \leq |A|$, we have $|L_p(X, E)| < |M_p(X, E)|$ and $M_p(X, E) \setminus L_p(X, E) \neq \emptyset$.

Case 2. R is a simple ring and $2 \leq |A|$.

In this case R is a field and E is a locally simple R -module provided the set A is finite. Fix a non-empty proper subset B of A and $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_p(C_p(X, E), E_B)$, where $\psi \neq 0$. We affirm that $\psi \in M_p(X, E) \setminus L_p(X, E)$. Suppose that we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$, distinct points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\psi(g) = \alpha_1 g(x_1) + \alpha_2 g(x_2) + \dots + \alpha_n g(x_n)$ for any $g \in C(X, R)$. Let $C = A \setminus B$. Fix a function $h \in C(X, E)$ for which $h(x_1) = 1_C$ and $h(x_i) = 0$ for each $i \geq 2$. Then $\psi(h) = \alpha_1 h(x_1) \in E_C \setminus E_B$, a contradiction with the condition $\psi(B) \subseteq E_B$. In particular, we have $\varphi_B \in M_p(X, E) \setminus L_p(X, E)$.

Proposition 10. Let R be a ring, E be a topological R -module and X be a space. Then for any $g \in C(X, E)$ there exists a unique linear mapping $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{L}_p((L_p(X, E), E))$ such that $g = \bar{g} \circ e_X$, where $e_X : X \rightarrow L_p(X, E)$ is the evaluation mapping.

Proof. Let $E_f = E$ for any $f \in C_p(X, E)$. By definition, $e_X(X) \subseteq L_p(X, E) \subseteq E^{C(X, E)} = \Pi\{E_f : f \in C(X, E)\}$. We consider the projection $\pi_f : E^{C(X, E)} \rightarrow E_f = E$. Let $\bar{f} = \pi_f|_{L_p(X, E)} : L_p(X, E) \rightarrow E$. Then \bar{f} and π_f are continuous linear mappings. If $x \in X$, then $\bar{f}(e_X(x)) = f(x)$. Hence $f = \bar{f}|_X$ and for any $f \in C(X, E)$ there exists a linear mapping $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}_p(L_p(X, E), E)$ such that $f = \bar{f} \circ e_X$. Since the subspace $e_X(X)$ generates the linear space $L_p(X, E)$, the linear mapping \bar{f} is unique. \square

Theorem 1. Let R be a ring, E be a topological R -module and X be a space. Consider the space $e_X(X)$, where $e_X : X \rightarrow L_p(X, E)$ is the evaluation mapping. Then the linear spaces $C_p(X, E)$, $C_p(e_X(X), E)$ and $\mathcal{L}_p(L_p(X, E), E)$ are linearly homeomorphic.

Proof. Let $E_f = E$ for any $f \in C_p(X, E)$. By definition, $e_X(X) \subseteq L_p(X, E) \subseteq E^{C_p(X, E)} = \Pi\{E_f : f \in C(X, E)\}$. We consider the projection $\pi_f : E^{C(X, E)} \rightarrow E_f = E$. Let $\bar{f} = \pi_f|_{L_p(X, E)} : L_p(X, E) \rightarrow E$. Then \bar{f} and π_f are continuous linear mappings.

If $g : e_X(X) \rightarrow E$ is a continuous mapping, then $g \circ e_X = f$ for a unique $f \in C(X, E)$. Therefore, $g = \pi_f|_{e_X(X)}$ and the correspondence $f \rightarrow \pi_f|_{e_X(X)}$ is a linear homeomorphism of $C_p(X, E)$ onto $C_p(e_X(X), E)$.

Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $X = e_X(X) \subseteq L_p(X, E)$.

By virtue of Proposition 10, the correspondence $\psi : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p(L_p(X, E), E)$, where $\psi(f) = \bar{f}$, is a one-to-one linear mapping of $C(X, E)$ onto $\mathcal{L}_p(L_p(X, E), E)$.

For each $y \in L_p(X, E)$ there exist the minimal $n = n(y) \in \mathbb{N}$, the unique points $x_1(y), \dots, x_n(y) \in X$ and the unique points $\alpha_1(y), \dots, \alpha_n(y) \in R$ such that $y = \alpha_1(y)x_1(y) + \dots + \alpha_n(y)x_n(y)$. Hence, the correspondence ψ is continuous and linear.

Since $\psi(f)|_X = f$, the mapping ψ^{-1} is continuous. □

Remark 5. We say that $e_X(X)$ is the E -replica of the space X . If X is R -completely regular, then $X = e_X(X)$.

Corollary 1. *Let X, Y be spaces and R be a locally simple R -module. The spaces $C_p(X, R)$ and $C_p(Y, R)$ are linearly homeomorphic if and only if the spaces $L_p(X, R)$ and $L_p(Y, R)$ are linearly homeomorphic.*

For $n \geq 1$, an R -module E and a space X we put $L_{p,n}(X, E) = \{\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n : x_i \in e_X(X), \alpha_i \in R, i \leq n\}$. Obviously, $L_{p,n}(X, E) \subseteq L_{p,n+1}(X, E)$ for each n and $L_p(X, E) = \bigcup\{L_{p,n}(X, E) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proposition 11. *The mapping $p_n : R^n \times X^n \rightarrow L_{p,n}(X, E)$, where $p_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1e_X(x_1) + \alpha_2e_X(x_2) + \dots + \alpha_n e_X(x_n)$, is a continuous mapping of $R^n \times X^n$ onto $L_{p,n}(X, E)$.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 1. □

Let E be an R -module. We say that the pair $(F(X, E), i_X)$ is an E -free R -module of a space X if it has the following properties:

1. $F(X, E)$ is a submodule of the topological R -module E^τ for some cardinal number τ ;
2. $i_X : X \rightarrow F(X, E)$ is a continuous mapping and the set $i_X(X)$ algebraically generates the R -module $F(X, E)$;
3. For any continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow E$ there exists a continuous homomorphism $\bar{f} : F(X, E) \rightarrow E$ such that $f = \bar{f} \circ i_X$.

From the property 2 it follows that the homomorphism \bar{f} is unique and is called the homomorphism generated by the mapping f .

Proposition 12. *For any space X there exists a unique E -free R -module. The pair $(L_p(X, E), e_X)$ is the E -free R -module of the space X .*

Proof. The uniqueness of the E -free R -module of the space X is well known (see [6, 7]). From the method of construction of the object $(L_p(X, E), e_X)$ and from the definition of the E -free R -module it follows that $(L_p(X, E), e_X)$ is the E -free R -module of the space X . □

Proposition 13. *Let X be an R -Tychonoff space and E be an R -module. Then $L_{p,n}(X, E)$ is a closed subset of $L_p(X, E)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We follow very closely the proof of Proposition 0.5.16 in [1].

Since e_X is an embedding of X into $L_p(X, E)$, we can assume that $X = e_X(X) \subseteq L_p(X, E)$. In this case a point $x \in X$ as an element of $E^{C(X, E)}$ has the form $x = (f(x) : f \in C(X, E))$.

Fix $y \in L_p(X, E) \setminus L_{p,n}(X, E)$. Then $y = \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 + \dots + \alpha_m y_m$, where $m > n$, $y_i \in X$, $\alpha_i \in R$ and $\alpha_i y_i \neq 0$ for any $i \leq m$, $y_i \neq y_j$ provided $i \neq j$. For any $i \leq m$ there exists $h_i \in C(X, E)$ such that $\alpha_i h_i(y_i) \neq 0$. We put $b_i = h_i(y_i)$.

Fix a family of pairwise disjoint open sets $\{V_i : i \leq m\}$ in X and the continuous functions $\{f_i \in C(X, R) : i \leq m\}$ such that $y_i \in V_i$, $f_i(y_i) = 1$ and $f_i(X \setminus V_i) = 0$ for any $i \leq m$. Let $g_i(x) = f_i(x)b_i$. By virtue of Proposition 10, each g_i extends to a continuous and linear mapping $\overline{g}_i : L_p(X, E) \rightarrow E$. The subset $U = \bigcap \{\overline{g}_i^{-1}(E \setminus \{0\})\}$ of $L_p(X, E)$ is open.

We have $\overline{g}_i(\alpha_i y_i) = \alpha_i g(y_i) = \alpha_i b_i \neq 0$. If $j \neq i$, then $\overline{g}_i(\alpha_j y_j) = \alpha_j g(y_j) = \alpha_j 0 = 0$. Hence $\overline{g}_i(y) = \alpha_i b_i \neq 0$ for any $i \leq m$. Therefore $y \in U$.

We will show that $U \cap L_{p,n}(X, E) = \emptyset$. Fix $z \in U$, i.e. for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \in X$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k \in R$ we have $z = \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2 + \dots + \beta_k z_k$, $\beta_i z_i \neq 0$ for any $i \leq k$ and $z_i \neq z_j$ provided $i \neq j$. Then $\overline{g}_i(z) = \beta_1 g_i(z_1) + \beta_2 g_i(z_2) + \dots + \beta_k g_i(z_k) \neq 0$ for each $i \leq k$. Hence $V_i \cap \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\} = V'_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \leq k$. As the sets $\{V_i : i \leq m\}$ are pairwise disjoint, it follows that the sets $\{V'_i : i \leq k\}$ are non-empty and pairwise disjoint too. Hence $k \geq m > n$, i.e. $U \cap L_{p,n} = \emptyset$. The proof is complete. \square

Let $L_{p,n}^c(X, E) = L_{p,n}(X, E) \setminus L_{p,n-1}(X, E)$ and $H_{p,n}(X, E) = p_n^{-1}(L_{p,n}^c(X, E))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 14. *Let X be an R -Tychonoff space, R be a simple ring and E be a topological R -module. The following assertions are true:*

1. *The mapping $q_n = p_n|_{H_{p,n}(X, E)} : H_{p,n}(X, E) \rightarrow L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$ is one-to-one.*
2. *If R is a topological field and the module E is R -closed, then the mapping $q_n = p_n|_{H_{p,n}(X, E)} : H_{p,n}(X, E) \rightarrow L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. By virtue of Propositions 11 and 1, the mapping q_n is continuous. Since e_X is an embedding of X into $L_p(X, E)$, we can assume that $X = e_X(X) \subseteq L_p(X, E)$.

Since R is a simple ring, R is a field and for any $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$ there exists the inverse element λ^{-1} . The ring R is a topological field provided the mapping $^{-1} : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R$ is continuous.

We have $\alpha x \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$.

Claim 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in R$ and $x_i \neq x_j$ provided $i \neq j$. If $\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = 0$, then $\alpha_i = 0$ for each $i \leq n$.*

Assume that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for each $i \leq n$. A point $x \in X$ as an element of $E^{C(X, E)}$ has the form $x = (f(x) : f \in C(X, E))$. Hence, for any $i \leq m$ there exists $h_i \in C(X, E)$ such that $\alpha_i h_i(x_i) \neq 0$. We put $b_i = h_i(x_i)$.

Fix a family of pairwise disjoint open sets $\{V_i : i \leq m\}$ in X and the continuous functions $\{f_i \in C(X, R) : i \leq m\}$ such that $x_i \in V_i$, $f_i(x_i) = 1$ and $f_i(X \setminus V_i) = 0$ for any $i \leq m$. Let $g_i(x) = f_i(x)b_i$. Then $0 = 0(g_i) = (\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n)(g_i) = \alpha_1x_1(g_i) + \alpha_2x_2(g_i) + \dots + \alpha_nx_n(g_i) = \alpha_ib_i \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Claim 2. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in X$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in R$, $x_i \neq x_j$ provided $i \neq j$, and $y_l \neq y_k$ provided $l \neq k$. If $\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2 + \dots + \alpha_nx_n = \beta_1y_1 + \beta_2y_2 + \dots + \beta_my_m$, then $m = n$, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ and $\alpha_i = \beta_j$ provided $x_i = y_j$.*

Obviously, Claim 2 follows from Claim 1. From Claim 2 it follows that the mapping q_n is one-to-one.

Assume now that R is a topological field and E is an R -closed topological R -module. Fix the continuous homomorphism $\varphi_E : E \rightarrow R$ of the topological R -module E onto the topological R -module R . Fix $a \in \varphi_E^{-1}(1)$. If $E_a = Ra$, then the mapping $\varphi_E|_{E_a}$ is a homeomorphism of E_a onto R . Hence the mapping φ_E is open and continuous as quotient homomorphism of the topological R -module E onto the topological R -module R .

We can fix the non-empty open subsets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n of R and the non-empty open subsets W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n of X such that:

- $U = V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_n \times W_1 \times W_2 \times \dots \times W_n \subseteq H_{(p,n)}(X, E)$;
- $W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset$ provided $i \neq j$;
- $0 \notin V_i$ for each $i \leq n$.

We affirm that the set $q_n(U)$ is open in $L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$.

Fix a point $y \in q_n(U)$. By definition, $y = \alpha_1y_1 + \alpha_2y_2 + \dots + \alpha_ny_n$ and $q_n^{-1}(y)y = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, where $\alpha_i \in V_i \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$, $y_i \in W_i \subseteq X$ and $y_j \neq y_i$ for each $i \leq n$ and $j \neq i$. Now we fix $f_i \in C(X, E)$, $i \leq n$, such that $f_i(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1}a$ and $f_i(X \setminus V_i) = 0$. Then $y(f_i) = \alpha_1y_1(f_i) + \alpha_2y_2(f_i) + \dots + \alpha_ny_n(f_i) = \alpha_1f_i(y_1) + \alpha_2f_i(y_2) + \dots + \alpha_nf_i(y_n) = \alpha_if_i(y_i) = a$.

Since $\alpha_i \in V_i$, there exist two open subsets $D(1, i)$ and $D(2, i)$ such that $1 \in D(1, i)$, $\alpha_i^{-1} \in D(2, i)$, $0 \notin D(1, i) \cup D(2, i)$ and $D(1, i)D(2, i)^{-1} \subseteq V_i$. By construction, $p_E(f_i(y_i)) \in D(2, i)$. Hence, we can fix $g_i \in C(X, E)$ for which $g_i(y_i) = a$ and $g_i(X \setminus W_i \cap f_i^{-1}(p_E^{-1}(D(2, i)))) = 0$. For each $i \leq n$ there exists the unique continuous linear mappings $\overline{f}_i, \overline{g}_i \in \mathcal{L}_p((L_p(X, E), E))$ such that $f_i = \overline{f}_i|_X$ and $g_i = \overline{g}_i|_X$.

We put $V = \cap \{\overline{f}_i^{-1}(p_E^{-1}(D(1, i))) \cap \overline{g}_i^{-1}(E \setminus \{0\}) : i \leq n\}$. By construction, $\overline{f}_i(y) = a$ and $\overline{g}_i(y) \neq 0$. Hence, $y \in V$. As in the proof of Proposition 12 we establish that $V \cap L_{p,n-1}(X, E) = \emptyset$. Hence, $V \cap L_{p,n}(X, E) \subseteq L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$.

Fix some $z \in V \cap L_{p,n}(X, E)$. Then $z = \beta_1z_1 + \beta_2z_2 + \dots + \beta_nz_n$, where $\alpha_i \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $z_j \neq z_i$ for each $i \leq n$ and $j \neq i$. Since $\overline{f}_i(z) \neq 0$ for all $i \leq n$, we can assume that $\overline{f}_i(z_i) \neq 0$ and $z_i \in V_i$.

By construction, $\overline{g}_i(z) = \beta_ig_i(z_i)$ and $\overline{g}_i(z) \neq 0$. Since $p_E(\overline{f}_i(z_i)) \in D(2, i)$, $p_E(\overline{f}_i(z)) \in D(1, i)$ and $\overline{f}_i(z) = \beta_if_i(z_i)$, we have $p_E(f_i(z_i)) \in D(2, i)$ and $\beta_ip_E(f_i(z_i)) \in D(1, i)$. Therefore, $\beta_ip_E(f_i(z_i)) \cdot p_E(f_i(z_i))^{-1} \in D(1, i) \cdot D(2, i)^{-1} \subseteq V_i$ and $\beta_i \in V_i$. Hence, $z \in q_n(U)$ and $V \subseteq q_n(U)$, i.e. $q_n(U)$ is an open subset of $L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$ and the mapping p_n^{-1} is continuous. \square

Example 7. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ be the field of reals with the topology generated by the Euclidian distance. Denote by R the topological space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the following operations:

- the additive operation $(\alpha, \beta) + (\delta, \mu) = (\alpha + \delta, \beta + \mu)$;
- the inverse operation $-(\alpha, \beta) = (-\alpha, -\beta)$;
- the multiplicative operation $(\alpha, \beta) \cdot (\delta, \mu) = (\alpha \cdot \delta, \alpha\mu + \beta\delta)$.

Then R is a topological commutative ring with the unity $(1, 0)$. The ring R is a locally simple R -module. The multiplicative operation $\cdot : R \times E \rightarrow E$ is defined as follows $(\alpha, \beta) \cdot t = \alpha t$. In this case E is a simple \mathbb{R} -module and a simple R -module. Obviously, we have the same subspaces $L_{p,n}(X, E)$ when E is considered an \mathbb{R} -module or an R -module.

Since \mathbb{R} is a topological field and E is an \mathbb{R} -closed topological \mathbb{R} -module, the mapping $q_n = p_n|_{H_{p,n}(X, E)} : H_{p,n}(X, E) \rightarrow L_{p,n}^c(X, E)$ is a homeomorphism if E is considered as an \mathbb{R} -module. Hence $\text{ind}L_{p,n}^c(X, E) = n$ provided $\text{ind}X = 0$.

Now we consider E as an R -module. In this case $\text{ind}H_{p,n}(X, E) \geq \text{ind}R^n = 2n$. Hence the mapping q_n is not one-to-one if E is considered as an R -module. Moreover, the fibers $q_n^{-1}(y)$ have the dimension equal to n and are homeomorphic to the space \mathbb{R}^n . Hence the assumption that R is a simple ring in the conditions of Proposition 14 is essential.

Remark 6. For any topological ring R and a space X the mapping $q_n = p_n|_{H_{p,n}(X, R)} : H_{p,n}(X, R) \rightarrow L_{p,n}^c(X, R)$ is one-to-one.

Lemma 2. Let X be an R -Tychonoff space, Z be a closed subspace of X , E be a topological R -module and $g : X \rightarrow E$ be a continuous mapping. For any finite subset F of $X \setminus Z$ and any function $f : F \rightarrow E$ there exists a continuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow E$ such that $f = \varphi|_F$ and $\varphi|_Z = g|_Z$.

Proof. Fix a family $\{U_x : x \in F\}$ of open subsets of X such that $x \in U_x \subseteq X \setminus Z$ for each $x \in F$ and $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$ for each distinct points $x, y \in F$. For each $x \in F$ fix a continuous function $f_x : X \rightarrow R$ such that $f_x(x) = 1$ and $f_x(X \setminus U_x) = 0$. We put $\varphi_x(y) = f_x(y) \cdot f(x)$ for each $x \in F$ and $y \in X$. Let $\varphi_F(y) = \sum\{\varphi_x(y) : x \in F\}$. By construction, the function φ_F is continuous, $\varphi_F|_F = f$ and $\varphi_F(Z) = 0$. Let $g_x(y) = 1 - f_x(y)$ for any $x \in F$ and $y \in X$. We put $g_F(y) = \prod\{g_x(y) : x \in F\}$ for each $y \in X$. The function g_F is continuous, $g_F(F) = 0$ and $g_F(Z) = 1$. Let $\varphi_Z(y) = g_F(y) \cdot g(y)$ for each $y \in Y$. By construction, the function φ_Z is continuous, $\varphi_Z(F) = 0$ and $\varphi_Z|_Z = g|_Z$. Obviously, $\varphi = \varphi_F + \varphi_Z$ is the desired function. \square

For any subspace Y of a space X we put $C_p(Y|X, E) = \{f|_Y : f \in C(X, E)\}$.

A subspace Y of X is E -full if $C(Y|X, E) = C(Y, E)$.

A space X is called *compactly E -full* if $C(Y|X, E) = C(Y, E)$ for any compact subspace Y of X .

Lemma 3. Let X be a zero-dimensional space and E be a metrizable space. Then X is a compactly E -full space. Moreover, for any compact subset Y of X and any $f \in C(Y, E)$ there exists $g \in C(X, E)$ such that $g(X) \subseteq f(Y)$ and $f = g|_Y$, i.e. X is compactly E -full.

Proof. Fix a metric d on E . Let Y be a non-empty compact subspace of the space X . Fix $f \in C(Y, E)$. For each point $y \in Y$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we fix a clopen subset $U_n y$ of X such that $y \in U_n y$ and $d(f(y), f(z)) < 2^{-n-1}$ for each $z \in U_n y \cap Y$.

There exists a sequence $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite subsets of Y and a sequence $\{\gamma_n = \{V_n y : y \in Y_n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of families of clopen subsets of the space X such that:

- $Y_n \subseteq Y_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $y \in V_{n+1} y \subseteq V_n y \subseteq U_n y$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $y \in Y_n$;
- $Y \subseteq \cup \{V_n y : y \in Y_n\} = \cup \gamma_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $y \in Y_{n+1}$ there exists a unique $z(y) \in Y_n$ such that $V_{n+1} y \subseteq V_n z(y)$;
- if $y_1, y_2 \in Y_n$, $y_1 \neq y_2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $V_n y_1 \cap V_n y_2 = \emptyset$.

We put $V_n = \cup \{V_n y : y \in Y_n\}$. Fix $a \in f(Y)$. We will construct a sequence $\{g_n : X \rightarrow E : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of continuous mappings with the next properties:

- $d(g_n(y), f(y)) < 2^{-n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $y \in Y$;
- $d(g_n(x), g_{n+k}(x)) < 2^{-n}$ for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in X$;
- $g_n(X) \subseteq f(Y)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We put $f_1(x) = a$ for each $x \in X \setminus V_1$ and $f_1(V_1 y) = f(y)$ for each $y \in Y_1$.

Assume that $n \geq 1$ and the function g_n is constructed. We put $g_{n+1}|_{(X \setminus V_{n+1})} = g_n|_{(X \setminus V_{n+1})}$ and $g_{n+1}(V_{n+1} y) = f(y)$ for each $y \in Y_{n+1}$. The sequence $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is constructed. Since $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a fundamental sequence and $(f(Y), d)$ is a compact metric space, there exists the continuous limit $g = \lim g_n$. By construction, we have $f = g|_Y$. The proof is complete. \square

3 About theorem of Nagata

Let R be a simple topological ring. We consider only R -Tychonoff spaces. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A functional $\mu : C(X, R) \rightarrow R^n$ is called *multiplicative* if it is linear and $\mu(fg) = \mu(f)\mu(g)$ for any $f, g \in C(X, R^n)$.

Denote by $I_{(p,n)}(X, R) = \{\mu \in L_p(X, R, R^n) : \mu \neq 0, \mu \text{ is multiplicative}\}$.

Theorem 2. *The spaces X^n and $I_{(p,n)}(X, R)$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ be the unity of the ring R^n . For each $i \leq n$ we put $R_i = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n : x_j = 0 \text{ for any } j \neq i\}$. Then R_i is a subring of R^n with the unity $1_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in R_i$. The ring R and R_i are topologically isomorphic. The mapping $p_i : R^n \rightarrow R_i$, where $p_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1_i \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ is open, continuous, linear and multiplicative. If $\mu \in I_{(p,n)}(X, R)$, then we put $\pi_i(\mu) = \mu_i \circ p_i$. Then $\pi_i(\mu) \in I_{(p,1)}(X, R)$ and $\mu(f) = (\pi_1(\mu)(f), \pi_2(\mu)(f), \dots, \pi_n(\mu)(f))$ for all $f \in C(X, R)$. Hence $I_{(p,n)}(X, R) = I_{(p,1)}(X, R)^n$.

Now is sufficient to prove that $I_p(X, R) = I_{(p,1)}(X, R)$ and X are homeomorphic.

Obviously, $\xi_x \in I_p(X, R)$ for any $x \in X$. Assume that $\mu \in I_p(X, R)$. Then there exists $n \geq 1$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mu = \alpha_1 \xi_{x_1} + \alpha_2 \xi_{x_2} + \dots + \alpha_n \xi_{x_n}$. Since R is a simple ring, we have $\alpha_i \beta_i = 1$ for some

$\beta_i \in R$. For each $i \leq n$ fix $f_i \in C(X, R)$ such that $f_i(x_i) = \beta_i$ and $f_i(x_j) = 0$ for each $j \neq i$. By construction, $\mu(f_i) = \alpha_i f_i(x_i) = \alpha_i \beta_i = 1$ for each $i \leq n$. Assume that $n \geq 2$. Then $f_i \cdot f_2 = 0$ and $0 = \mu(0) = \mu(f_1 \cdot f_2) = \mu(f_1)\mu(f_2) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$, a contradiction. Hence $n = 1$ and $\mu = \alpha_1 \xi_{x_1}$.

Assume that $\alpha_1 \neq 1$. Then $\beta_1 \neq 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1 \cdot \beta_1 = \alpha_1 \beta_1 \beta_1 = \alpha_1 (f_1 \cdot f_1)(x_1) = \mu(f_1 \cdot f_1) = \mu(f_1) \cdot \mu(f_1) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$, a contradiction. Hence $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 = 1$ and $\mu = \xi_{x_1}$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2. *If the rings $C_p(X, R)$ and $C_p(Y, R)$ are topologically isomorphic, then the spaces X and Y are homeomorphic.*

The Corollary 2 for the ring \mathbb{R} of reals was proved by Nagata (see [1], Theorem 0.6.1).

4 Algebraical classes of spaces

Fix a topological ring R . Assume that R is an R -Tychonoff space. A class \mathcal{P} of topological spaces is called *an algebraical R -class* of spaces if:

- (i) any space $X \in \mathcal{P}$ is R -Tychonoff and $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ for any closed subspace Y of X ;
- (ii) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping of X onto Y , $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and Y is an R -Tychonoff space, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$;
- (iii) if $\{X_n \in \mathcal{P} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of closed subspaces of an R -Tychonoff space X and $X = \cup\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $X \in \mathcal{P}$;
- (iv) if $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$, then $X \times Y \in \mathcal{P}$;
- (v) $R \in \mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{P} be an algebraical R -class of spaces, $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of subspaces of an R -Tychonoff space X , $X = \cup\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $X_n \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $X \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Let $Y_n = X_n \times \{n\}$ and Y is the discrete sum of the spaces $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Obviously Y is an R -Tychonoff space, $Y_n \in \mathcal{P}$ and Y_n is closed in Y for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ and X is a continuous image of Y . \square

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{P} be an algebraical R -class of spaces, R be a topological ring and E be a topological R -module. Assume that E is an R -Tychonoff space. For an R -Tychonoff space X the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $X \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (ii) $L_p(X, E) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{P}$. We consider the mapping $\varphi_n : X^n \times R^n \rightarrow L_p(X, E)$ where $\varphi_n((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)) = \alpha_1 \xi_{x_1} + \alpha_2 \xi_{x_2} + \dots + \alpha_n \xi_{x_n}$. The mapping φ_n is continuous and we put $L_{p,n}(X, E) = \varphi_n(X^n \times R^n)$.

Since $L_p(X, E) \subseteq E^{C(X, E)}$, the space $L_p(X, E)$ is R -Tychonoff. Hence $X^n \times R^n$, $L_{p,n}(X, E) \in \mathcal{P}$ for each n . From Proposition 13 we have $L_p(X, E) = \cup\{L_{p,n}(X, E) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus $L_p(X, E) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume now that $L_p(X, E) \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $X = L_{p,1}(X, E)$, by virtue of Proposition 13, X is a closed subspace of the space $L_p(X, E)$. Then $X \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let \mathcal{P} be an algebraical R -class of spaces, R be a topological ring and E be a topological R -module. Assume that E is an R -Tychonoff space. If $C_p(X, E)$ and $C_p(Y, E)$ are topologically homeomorphic and $X \in \mathcal{P}$, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Remark 7. For the ring \mathbb{R} of reals and $E = \mathbb{R}$ the above assertion is proved in [1], Proposition 0.5.13.

5 The support mapping

Fix a topological ring R and a non-trivial locally simple topological R -module E .

Consider a space X and a functional $\mu \in M_p(X, E)$. We put $\mathcal{S}(\mu) = \{B \subseteq X : \text{if } B \subseteq f^{-1}(0), \text{ then } \mu(f) = 0\}$. Obviously, $X \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$. Thus the set $\mathcal{S}(\mu)$ is non-empty.

The set $\text{supp}_X(\mu)$ is the family of all points $x \in X$ such that for each neighbourhood U of x in X there exists $f \in C_p(X, E)$ such that $f(X \setminus U) = 0$ and $\mu(f) \neq 0$ (see [2, 8] for $E = R = \mathbb{R}$, and [3, 10] for $R = \mathbb{R}$).

If $f \in C_p(X, E)$ and U is an open neighbourhood of 0 in E , then we put $A(f, L, U) = \{g \in C_p(X, E) : f(x) - g(x) \in U \text{ for any } x \in L\}$. The family $\{A(f, L, U) : f \in C_p(X, E), L \text{ is a finite subset of } X, U \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } 0 \text{ in } E\}$ is an open base of the space $C_p(X, E)$.

Theorem 4. *Let X be an R -Tychonoff space, E be a non-trivial locally simple topological E -module, $\mu \in M_p(X, E)$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then:*

1. *There exists a finite set $K \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$ such that $\text{supp}_X(\mu) \subseteq K$.*
2. *$\text{supp}_X(\mu) \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$ and $\text{supp}_X(\mu)$ is a finite subset of X .*

Proof. Fix an open subset V_0 of E such that $0 \in V_0$ and V_0 does not contain non-trivial R -submodules of E .

There exists a finite subset K of X such that $\mu(f) \in V_0$ for each $f \in A(0, K, V_0)$. Let $f \in C_p(X, E)$ and $f(K) = 0$. Then $\alpha f \in A(0, K, V_0)$ for each $\alpha \in R$. Hence $\mu(\alpha f) \in V_0$ for each $\alpha \in R$. Thus $E \cdot \mu(f) \subseteq V_0$ and $E \cdot \mu(f)$ is the trivial R -submodule. Thus $\mu(f) = 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$. In this case $\text{supp}_X(\mu) \subseteq K$. Hence $\text{supp}_X(\mu)$ is a finite set and K is a finite set from $\mathcal{S}(\mu)$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$ be a finite set and $x_0 \in L \setminus \text{supp}_X(\mu)$. Then $L_1 = L \setminus \{x_0\} \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$. Really, since $x_0 \notin \text{supp}_X(\mu)$, there exists an open subset H of X such that $x_0 \in H$ and $\mu(f) = 0$ provided $f(X \setminus H) = 0$. Fix $h \in C(X, R)$ such that $h(x_0) = 1$ and $h(X \setminus H) = 0$. Let $f \in C_p(X, E)$ and $f(L_1) = 0$. We put $f_1(x) = h(x)f(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. Since $f_1(X \setminus H) = 0$, we have $\mu(f_1) = 0$. By construction, $f_2(L) = 0$ and $\mu(f_2) = 0$. Hence $f = f_1 + f_2$ and $\mu(f) = \mu(f_1 + f_2) = \mu(f_1) + \mu(f_2) = 0$. Hence $L_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$. Since $K \setminus \text{supp}_X(\mu)$ is a finite set, we have $\text{supp}_X(\mu) \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$. \square

Proposition 15. *If $x \in X$ and $\xi_x(f) = f(x)$ for each $f \in C_p(X, E)$, then $\xi_x \in L_p(X, E)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $\xi_x = \alpha x(f)$, where $\alpha \in R$ and $\alpha = 1$. Thus $\xi_x \in L_p(X, E)$. \square

Remark 8. Let R be a locally simple ring, X be an R -Tychonoff space, $\mu \in M_p(X, R)$ and $\text{supp}(\mu) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Then, by virtue of Proposition 9, there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mu = \Sigma\{\alpha_i \xi_{x_i} : i \leq n\}$.

As was mentioned in Remark 4 and Example 6, as a rule $M_p(X, E) \neq L_p(X, E)$. The following result specifies the form of linear functionals for locally simple R -modules.

Theorem 5. *Let X be an R -Tychonoff space, E be a non-trivial topological E -module, $\mu \in M_p(X, E)$, $\mu \neq 0$, $\text{supp}_X(\mu) \in \mathcal{S}(\mu)$ and $\text{supp}_X(\mu)$ is a finite subset of X . Then $\mu = \varphi \circ \eta$ for some $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(E)$ and $\eta \in L_p(X, E)$.*

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $\text{supp}_X(\mu) = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, where $b_i \neq b_j$ for $i \neq j$. We put $\eta_i = b_i \in L_p(X, E)$ and $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_n$. Obviously, $\eta \in L_p(X, E)$ and $\text{supp}_X(\eta) = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\} = \text{supp}_X(\mu)$.

We can fix the non-empty open subsets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n of X and the functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in C(X, R)$ such that:

- $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ provided $i \neq j$;
- $b_i \in V_i$, $f_i(b_i) = 1$ and $f_i(X \setminus V_i) = 0$ for each $i \leq n$.

Fix $i \leq n$. For each $y \in E$ we put $\varphi_i(y) = \mu(f_i \cdot y)$, where $(f_i \cdot y)(x) = f_i(x)y$ for each $x \in X$. If $g \in C(X, E)$, then $\mu_i(g) = \mu(f_i \cdot g)$.

Claim 1. $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}(E)$ for each $i \leq n$.

The mapping $\psi_i : E \rightarrow C_p(X, E)$, where $\psi_i(y) = f_i \cdot y$ for each $y \in E$, is continuous and linear. The equality $\varphi_i = \mu \circ \psi_i$ completes the proof of the Claim 1.

Claim 2. $\mu_i \in M_p(X, E)$ and $\text{supp}_X(\mu_i) = \{b_i\}$ for each $i \leq n$.

It is clear that $\mu_i \in M_p(X, E)$. If $g \in C(X, E)$, then $g(b_i) = (f_i \cdot g)(b_i)$ and $(f_i \cdot g)(b_j) = 0$ provided $i \neq j$. Hence $\mu_i(g) = \mu_i(f_i \cdot g) = \mu(f_i \cdot g)$. Claim 2 is proved.

Claim 3. $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n$.

Let $g \in C(X, E)$ and $h = f_1g + f_2g + \dots + f_ng$. Then $g|_{\text{supp}_X(\mu)} = h|_{\text{supp}_X(\mu)}$. Hence $\mu(g) = \mu(h) = \mu(f_1g + f_2g + \dots + f_ng) = \mu(f_1g) + \mu(f_2g) + \dots + \mu(f_ng) = \mu_1(g) + \mu_2(g) + \dots + \mu_n(g)$. Claim 3 is proved.

Claim 4. $\mu = \varphi \circ \eta$.

By construction, $\text{supp}_X(\mu) = \text{supp}_X(\varphi \circ \eta)$. If $g \in C(X, E)$ and $h = f_1g + f_2g + \dots + f_ng$, then $(\varphi \circ \eta)(g) = (\varphi \circ \eta)(h)$. Since $(\varphi \circ \eta)(f_i g) = ((\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 + \dots + \varphi_n) \circ (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_n))(f_i g) = \Sigma\{(\varphi_i \circ \eta_j)(f_i g) : i, j \leq n\} = (\varphi_i \circ \eta_i)(f_i g) = \varphi_i(\eta_j(f_i g)) = \varphi_i(g(b_i)) = \mu(f_i g)$, we have $(\varphi \circ \eta)(g) = (\varphi \circ \eta)(h) = (\varphi \circ \eta)(f_1g + f_2g + \dots + f_ng) = \Sigma\{(\varphi \circ \eta)(f_i g) : i \leq n\} = \Sigma\{\mu(f_i g) : i \leq n\} = (\mu(f_1g + f_2g + \dots + f_ng) = \mu(g)$. Claim is proved. The proof is complete. \square

6 Topological properties of the mapping supp_X

Fix a topological ring R , a non-trivial locally simple R -module E and an R -Tychonoff space X .

Recall that a set-valued mapping $f : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is lower semicontinuous (l. s. c) if for every open subset U of Y the inverse image of U , $f^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X : f(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X .

Proposition 16. *The set-valued mapping $\text{supp}_X : M_p(X, E) \rightarrow X$ is l. s. c.*

Proof. We follow very closely the proof of [3], Property 4.2, and [8], Lemma 6.8.2(4).

Let U be an open subset of X , and put $V = \text{supp}_X^{-1}(U)$, i.e., $V = \{\mu \in M_p(X, E, F) : \text{supp}_X(\mu) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $\mu \in V$, and take $x \in \text{supp}_X(\mu) \cap U$. Fix an open subset W of X such that $x \in W \subseteq \text{cl}_X W \subseteq U$. Then there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(X \setminus W) = \{0\}$ and $\mu(f) \neq 0$.

Let $H = \{\eta \in M_p(X, E, F) : \eta(f) \neq 0\}$. Since the set $\{0\}$ is closed in E , H is the prebasic open set $W(f, E \setminus \{0\}) = \{\eta \in M_p(X, E) : \eta(f) \in E \setminus \{0\}\}$ and $\mu \in W(f, E \setminus \{0\})$.

We claim that $H \subseteq V$. By contradiction, suppose that $\eta \in H \setminus V$, i.e. $\eta(f) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp}_X(\eta) \cap U = \emptyset$. Then $X \setminus \text{cl}_X W$ is an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp}_X(\eta)$ and since $f(X \setminus \text{cl}_X W) = \{0\}$, applying Theorem 4, we get that $\eta(f) = 0$. A contradiction, hence V is open in $M_p(X, E)$. \square

A subset L of a space X is *bounded* if any continuous real-valued function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on L .

A subset L of a topological R -module E is called:

(i) *precompact* or *totally a -bounded* if for any neighbourhood U of 0 in E there exists a finite subset A of E such that $L \subseteq A + U = U + A$;

(ii) *a -bounded* if for any neighbourhood U of the 0 in E there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \subseteq nU$.

Any bounded set is precompact. In a topological vector space over field of reals any precompact set is a -bounded.

A topological R -module E is called *locally bounded* if there exists an a -bounded neighbourhood U of 0 in E such that $E = \cup\{nU : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and for any $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$, and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t \in R$ such that $ta \notin nU$. In this case the set U does not contain R -submodules of E and E is a locally simple R -module.

Example 8. Let E be a normed vector space over reals \mathbb{R} . Then E is a locally bounded \mathbb{R} -module.

Example 9. Let E be a topological vector space over reals \mathbb{R} and there exists a number $q > 0$ and a functional $\|\cdot\| : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

1. $0 < q \leq 1$.
2. $\|x\| \geq 0$ for any $x \in E$.
3. If $\|x\| = 0$, then $x = 0$.
4. $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$.

5. $\|\lambda x\| \leq |\lambda|^q \|x\|$ for all $x \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
6. If $x \neq 0$ then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\lambda x\| = +\infty$.

The functional $\|\cdot\|$ is called a q -norm if the family $\{V(0, r) = \{x : \|x\| < r\} : r > 0\}$ is a base of E at 0. Any q -normed space is locally bounded.

Theorem 6. *Let E be a non-trivial locally bounded topological R -module, X be an R -Tychonoff space and for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded. Then:*

- (i) *The set $\text{supp}_X(H)$ is bounded in X for any a -bounded subset H of $M_p(X, E)$.*
- (ii) *The set $\text{supp}_X(H)$ is bounded in X for any totally a -bounded subset H of $M_p(X, E)$.*
- (iii) *The set $\text{supp}_X(H)$ is bounded in X for any bounded subset H of $M_p(X, E)$.*

Proof. Fix an a -bounded open neighbourhood W_1 of 0 in E such that $E = \bigcup\{nW_1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and for any $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t \in R$ such that $ta \notin nW_1$.

Now fix two open neighbourhoods W and W_0 of 0 in E such that $W_0 + W_0 + W_0 \subseteq W = -W \subseteq W_1$ and $W_0 = -W_0$.

By construction, $W_1 \subseteq kW_0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence the sets W and W_0 have the following properties:

- W and W_0 are a -bounded subsets of E ;
- $E = \bigcup\{nW : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup\{nW_0 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$;
- if L is a bounded or a precompact subset of E , then $L \subseteq nW_0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- if $a \in E$, $a \neq 0$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t \in R$ such that $ta \notin nW$.

Suppose that the set H is a -bounded or precompact in $L_p(X, E)$ and the set $\text{supp}_X(H)$ is not bounded in X . Fix $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(\text{supp}_X(H))$ is not a -bounded in E .

By induction, we shall construct a sequence $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq H$, a sequence $\{U_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets of X , a sequence $\{x_n \in \text{supp}_X(\mu_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a sequence $\{h_k \in C(X, E) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with properties:

1. $x_i \in U_i$, $h_i(X \setminus U_i) = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$;
2. $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a discrete family of subsets of X ;
3. $\mu_n(h_n) \notin nW$;
4. $\text{supp}_X\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\} \cap \text{cl}_X U_{n+1} = \emptyset$;
5. $f(U_n) \subseteq f(x_n) + W_0$ and $f(x_{n+1}) \notin \bigcup\{f(x_i) + W : i \leq n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $\mu_1 \in H$ and $x_1 \in \text{supp}_X(\mu_1)$. There exists an open subset U_1 of X and $g_1 \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(U_1) \subseteq W_0 + f(x_1)$, $g_1(X \setminus U_1) = 0$ and $\mu_1(g_1) \neq 0$. There exists $\alpha_1 \in R$ such that $\alpha_1 \mu_1(g_1) \notin W$. We put $h_1 = \alpha_1 g_1$.

Assume that $n \geq 1$ and the objects $\{h_i, x_i, U_i, \mu_i : i \leq n\}$ are constructed. We put $M_n = \bigcup\{\text{supp}_X(\mu_i) : i \leq n\}$. The set M_n is finite. Hence the set $f(\text{supp}_X(H)) \setminus f(M_n)$ is not a -bounded in E . For some $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f(M_n) \subseteq m_n W_0$.

Fix $\mu_{n+1} \in H$ and $x_{n+1} \in \text{supp}_X(H)$ such that $f(x_{n+1}) \in E \setminus m_n W$. There exists an open subset U_{n+1} of X and $g_{n+1} \in C(X, E)$ such that $x_{n+1} \in U_{n+1}$, $f(U_{n+1}) \subseteq f(x_{n+1}) + W_0$, $g_{n+1}(X \setminus U_{n+1}) = 0$, $\text{cl}_X U_{n+1} \cap M_n = \emptyset$ and $M_{n+1}(g_{n+1}) \neq 0$. There exists $\alpha_{n+1} \in R$ such that $\alpha_{n+1} \mu_{n+1}(g_{n+1}) \notin (n+1)W$. We put $h_{n+1} =$

$\alpha_{n+1}g_{n+1}$. That completes the inductive construction. The objects $\{x_m, \mu_n, h_n, U_n\}$ are constructed for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $h = \Sigma\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a discrete family and $h_n(X \setminus U_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $h \in C(X, E)$. By construction $\mu_n(h) = \mu_n(h_n) \notin nW_0$ for any n . Then $\{\mu_n(h) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a -bounded subset of E . Since the set H is a -bounded, the set $\mu(h) : \mu \in H$ is a -bounded too, a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 9. If R is the fields of real or complex numbers and E is a locally bounded R -module, then:

- E is a metrizable linear space;
- E is a locally simple R -module;
- any precompact set is a -bounded in E .

Remark 10. Any normed space is a locally bounded \mathbb{R} -module. If E is a non-trivial normed space, then for any non-bounded subset L of the space X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not bounded in E . For a normed space E Theorem 6 was proved by V. Valov in [10].

A space X is μ -complete if any closed bounded subset of X is compact.

A space X is Dieudonné complete if the maximal uniformity on X is complete. Any Dieudonné complete space is μ -complete.

Denote by PX the space X with the G_δ -topology generated by the G_δ -subsets of X . The set $\delta-cl_X H = cl_{PX} H$ is called the G_δ -closure of the set H in X . If $\delta-cl_X H = H$, then we say the set H is G_δ -closed.

If the space X is μ -complete, then any G_δ -closed subspace of X is μ -complete.

A tightness of a space X is the minimal cardinal number τ for which for any subset $L \subseteq X$ and any point $x \in cl_X L$ there exists a subset $L_1 \subseteq L$ such that $|L_1| \leq \tau$ and $x \in cl_X L_1$.

We denote by $t(X)$ and $l(X)$ the tightness and the Lindelöf numbers respectively of a space X .

The following assertion for $E = \mathbb{R}$ was proved by A. V. Arhangel'skii and E. G. Pytkeev (see [1], Theorem II.1.1).

Proposition 17. Assume that E is a metrizable space and $l(X^n) \leq \tau$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $t(C_p(X, E)) \leq \tau$.

Proof. The proof is as in [1]. We show only the scheme of the proof.

Fix a metric d on E . Let $A \subseteq C_p(X, E)$ and $f \in cl A$. Let $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-n}$. For any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ there exists $g_x \in A$ such that $d(g_x(x_i), f(x_i)) < \varepsilon_n$, $i \leq n$. Since g_x and f are continuous, there exists $O_x = \Pi\{O_{x_i} : i \leq n\}$ such that $d(g_x(y), f(y)) < \varepsilon_n$ for all $y \in O_x$. The $\{O_x : x \in X^n\}$ is a cover of X^n . Fix $B_n \subseteq X^n$, $|B_n| \leq \tau$ and $\bigcup\{O_x : x \in B_n\} = X^n$. Let $A_n = \{f_x : x \in B_n\} \subseteq A$. Then $f \in cl(\bigcup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. \square

Proposition 18. Let X and E be spaces and $t(X) \leq \aleph_0$. Then $C_p(X, E)$ is a G_δ -closed subspace of the space E^X . Moreover, if E is μ -complete, then the space $C_p(X, E)$ is μ -complete too.

Proof. Since the product of μ -complete spaces is μ -complete, the space E^X is μ -complete provided the space E is μ -complete.

Assume that $g \in E^X \setminus C(X, E)$. Then there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ and an open subset U of E such that $g(x_0) \in U$ and $x_0 \in cl_X\{x \in X : g(x) \notin U\}$. Since $t(X) \leq \aleph_0$ there exists a countable subset $L \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) \notin U\}$ such that $x_0 \in cl_X L$. Fix an open subset V of E such that $g(x_0) \in V \subseteq cl_E V \subseteq U$.

For each $y \in L$ we put $H_y = \{f \in E^X : f(x_0) \in V, f(y) \in E \setminus cl_E V\}$. The set H_y is open in E^X and $g \in H_y$. Let $H = \cap\{H_y : y \in L\}$. Then H is a G_δ -subset of E^X .

Assume that $f \in C(X, E)$. If $f(x_0) \notin V$, then $f \notin H_y$ for each $y \in L$. Suppose that $f(x_0) \in V$. There exists an open subset W of X and a point $y \in L$ such that $x_0 \in W$, $y \in L \cap W$ and $f(W) \subseteq V_0$. Then $f \notin H_y$. Hence $H \cap C(X, E) = \emptyset$ and $g \notin \delta-cl_{E^X} C(X, E)$. Therefore $C(X, E)$ is a G_δ -closed subset of E^X . Any G_δ -closed subset of a μ -complete space is μ -complete. \square

Proposition 19. *Let F and E be topological R -modules and $\mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$ be the space of all linear continuous mappings of F into E . Then $\mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$ is a closed subspace of the space $C_p(F, E)$.*

Proof. Fix $g \in C_p(F, E) \setminus \mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$. Then we have one of the following two cases.

Case 1. *There exist $a, b \in F$ such that $g(a + b) \neq g(a) + g(b)$.*

In this case there exist four open subsets V_1, V_2, V and W of E such that $g(a) \in V_1, g(b) \in V_2, g(a + b) \in W, V_1 + V_2 \subseteq V$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. The set $H = \{f \in C_p(F, E) : f(a + b) \in W, f(a) \in V_1, f(b) \in V_2\}$ is open in $C_p(F, E)$ and $H \cap \mathcal{L}_p(F, E) = \emptyset$.

Case 2. *There exist $a \in F$ and $\lambda \in R$ such that $g(\lambda a) \neq \lambda g(a)$.*

In this case there exist three open subsets V_1, V and W of E such that $g(a) \in V_1, g(\lambda a) \in W, \lambda V_1 \subseteq V$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. The set $H = \{f \in C_p(F, E) : f(\lambda a) \in W, f(a) \in V_1\}$ is open in $C_p(F, E)$ and $H \cap \mathcal{L}_p(F, E) = \emptyset$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4. *Let E and F be topological R -modules and $t(F) \leq \aleph_0$. Then $\mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$ is a G_δ -closed subset of E^F . In particular, if E is μ -complete, then the space $\mathcal{L}_p(F, E)$ is μ -complete too.*

For any subspace Y of a space X we view $C_p(Y|X, E) = \{f|_Y : f \in C(X, E)\}$ as a subspace of the space $C_p(Y, E)$.

Proposition 20 ([1], Proposition 0.4.1 for $E = \mathbb{R}$). *Let Y be a subspace of the space X , E be a non-trivial topological R -module, X be an R -Tychonoff space and $p_Y(f) = f|_Y$ for each $f \in C_p(X, E)$. Then the mapping $p_Y : C_p(X, E) \longrightarrow C_p(Y|X, E)$ has the following properties:*

- (i) p_Y is a continuous mapping.
- (ii) If the set Y is closed in X , then the mapping p_Y is open.
- (iii) If Y is dense in X , then p_Y is a one-to-one correspondence.
- (iv) The subspace $C_p(Y|X, E)$ is dense in $C_p(Y, E)$.

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a finite subset of X . Then we can assume that there exists $k \leq n$ such that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} \in Y$ and $x_k, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus Y$. Let $f \in C(X, E)$ and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n be open subsets of E such that $f(x_i) \in U_i$ for each $i \leq n$. We put $W(f, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, \dots, U_n) = \{g \in C(X, E) : g(x_i) \in U_i \text{ for each } i \leq n\}$ and $W_Y(f, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}) = \{g|_Y : g \in W(f, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1})\}$. We have $p_Y(W(f, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, \dots, U_n)) \subseteq W_Y(f, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1})$. Thus the mapping p_Y is continuous. If Y is closed in X , then from Lemma 2 it follows that $p_Y(W(f, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, U_1, \dots, U_n)) = W_Y(f, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1})$. Hence the mapping p_Y is open.

Assertion (iii) is obvious. Assertion (iv) follows from Lemma 2. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 7. *Let E be a locally bounded metrizable R -module, X be an R -Tychonoff compactly E -full space and for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E . Then the space X is μ -complete if and only if the space $M_p(X, E)$ is μ -complete.*

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 5, we can assume that $X = e_X(X)$ is a subspace of the space $M_p(X, E)$. From Proposition 4 it follows that the subspace X is closed in $M_p(X, E)$.

Let $M_p(X, E)$ be a μ -complete space. Since X is a closed subspace of $M_p(X, E)$, the space X is μ -complete too.

Assume that X is a μ -complete space. Let Φ be a closed bounded subset of $M_p(X, E)$. Then the closure Y of the set $\cup\{supp_X(\mu) : \mu \in \Phi\}$ is a compact subset of X .

The restriction mapping $p_Y : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow C_p(Y, E)$ is an open continuous linear mapping of the R -module $C_p(X, E)$ onto the R -module $C_p(Y, E)$.

Claim 1. *The dual mapping $\varphi : E^{C(Y, E)} \rightarrow E^{C_p(X, E)}$ is a linear embedding and the set $\varphi(E^{C(Y, E)})$ is closed in $E^{C(X, E)}$.*

The proof of this fact is similar with the proof of Proposition 0.4.6 from [1].

By construction, we have $\Phi \subseteq \varphi(M_p(Y, E)) \subseteq M_p(X, E)$.

Claim 2. *$\varphi(M_p(Y, E))$ is a closed subset of the subspaces $M_p(X, E)$ and $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$ of the space $E^{C(X, E)}$.*

Follows from Claim 1 and Proposition 19.

Claim 3. *$\varphi(C_p(C_p(Y, E), E)) \subseteq C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$.*

Follows from the continuity of the mapping p_Y .

Claim 4. *The sets $\varphi(M_p(X, E))$ and $\varphi(C_p(C_p(Y, E), E))$ are G_δ -closed in $E^{C(X, E)}$.*

Since Y is compact, from Proposition 17 it follows that $t(C_p(Y, E)) = \aleph_0$. Then, from Proposition 18 it follows that $C_p(C_p(Y, E), E)$ is a G_δ -closed subset of the space $E^{C(Y, E)}$. From Claim 1 it follows that $\varphi(C_p(C_p(Y, E), E))$ is G_δ -closed in $E^{C(X, E)}$. Corollary 4 completes the proof of the claim.

Let G be the G_δ -closure of the set $C_p(C_p(X, E), E)$ in $E^{C(X, E)}$. We have $M_p(X, E) \subseteq G$. Hence Φ is a bounded subset of the space G .

Claim 5. *The sets $\varphi(M_p(X, E))$ and $\varphi(C_p(C_p(Y, E), E))$ are closed in G .*

Follows from Claim 4.

Since E is a metrizable space, E is a μ -complete space. Thus Φ is a closed bounded subset of the μ -complete space G . Therefore the set Φ is compact. The proof is complete. \square

7 Relations between spaces generated by a linear homeomorphism

Let R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial locally bounded topological R -module. Then the R -module E is locally simple.

Fix two non-empty R -Tychonoff spaces X and Y with the properties:

- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E ;
- for any non-bounded subset L of Y there exists $f \in C(Y, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

Fix now a continuous linear homeomorphism $u : C_p(X, E) \longrightarrow C_p(Y, E)$. Then the dual mapping $v : M_p(Y, E) \longrightarrow M_p(X, E)$, where $v(\eta) = \eta \circ u$ for each $\eta \in M_p(Y, E)$, is a linear homeomorphism. For each $x \in X$ we put $\varphi(x) = \text{supp}_Y(v^{-1}(\xi_x))$ and for any $y \in Y$ put $\psi(y) = \text{supp}_X(v(\xi_y))$.

Property 1. *$\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ are l.s.c. set-valued mappings and $\varphi(x)$, $\psi(y)$ are finite sets for all points $x \in X$, $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 16 and Theorem 4. \square

Property 2. *Let $y_0 \in Y$, $f \in C(X, E)$ and $f(\psi(y_0)) = 0$. Then $u(f)(y_0) = 0$.*

Proof. For any $\eta \in M_p(Y, E)$ and $g \in C(X, E)$ we have $v(\eta)(g) = \eta(u(g))$. Since $f(\text{supp}_X(v(\xi_{y_0}))) = f(\psi(y_0)) = 0$, we have $u(f)(y_0) = \xi_{y_0}(u(f)) = v(\xi_{y_0})(f) = f(\text{supp}_X(v(\xi_{y_0}))) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 5. *If $f, g \in C(X, E)$ and $f|_{\varphi(y)} = g|_{\varphi(y)}$, then $u(f)(y) = u(g)(y)$.*

Property 3. *$x \in \text{cl}_X \psi(\varphi(x))$ for every point $x \in X$ and $y \in \text{cl}_Y \varphi(\psi(y))$ for every point $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Assume that $x_0 \in X$ and $x_0 \notin \text{cl}_X \psi(\varphi(x_0)) = F$. Fix $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(x_0) = b \neq 0$ and $f(F) = f(\psi(\varphi(x_0))) = 0$. Since $\psi(y) \subseteq F$ and $f(F) = 0$ for any $y \in \varphi(x_0)$ by virtue of Property 2, we have $u(f)(y) = 0$ for each $y \in \varphi(x_0)$. Since $u(f)(y) = 0$ for each $y \in \varphi(x_0)$, by virtue of Property 2, we have $f(x_0) = u^{-1}(u(f))(x_0) = 0$. By construction, we have $f(x_0) \neq 0$, a contradiction. \square

Property 4. *$x \in \psi(\varphi(x))$ for every point $x \in X$.*

Proof. For every $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ is finite set and $\psi(\varphi(x))$ is compact. Property 3 completes the proof. \square

Property 5. *If H is a dense subset of Y , then $\psi(H)$ is a dense subset of X .*

Proof. Assume that $x_0 \notin cl_X \psi(H)$. Then there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$ and $f(\psi(H)) = 0$. Since $f(\psi(H)) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$, by virtue of Property 2, we have $u(f)(y) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$. Thus $u(f) = 0$. Hence $f = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 6. *The space X is separable if and only if the space Y is separable. In general, $d(X) = d(Y)$.*

Property 6. *$\varphi(F)$ is a bounded set of Y for each bounded set F of X .*

Proof. Let F be a bounded subset of X . Then F is a bounded subset of $M_p(X, E)$ and respectively $v^{-1}(F)$ is a bounded subset of $M_p(Y, E)$. By Theorem 6 the set $supp_Y(v^{-1}(F))$ is a bounded subset of Y . The proof is complete. \square

Property 7. *Let E be a metrizable space, X and Y be compactly E -full spaces. Then the space X is μ -complete if and only if the space Y is μ -complete.*

Proof. Let X be a μ -complete space. Then $M_p(X, E)$ and $M_p(Y, E)$, by virtue of Theorem 7, are μ -complete spaces. By Theorem 7 the space Y is μ -complete too. The proof is complete. \square

As in [3, 4] we say that the pair of set-valued mappings $\theta : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$ is called lower-reflective if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1l. θ and π are l.s.c.
- 2l. $\theta(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ are finite sets for all points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.
- 3l. $x \in \pi(\theta(x))$ and $y \in \theta(\pi(y))$ for all points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Also, as in [3, 4] we say that the pair of set-valued mappings $\theta : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$ is called upper-reflective if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1u. $\theta(F)$ is a bounded subset of Y for each bounded subset F of X .
- 2u. $\pi(\Phi)$ is a bounded subset of X for each bounded subset Φ of Y .
- 3u. $x \in cl_X \pi(\theta(x))$ and $y \in cl_Y \theta(\pi(y))$ for all points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

From the above properties follows

Corollary 7. *The space X is separable if and only if the space Y is separable. In general, $d(X) = d(Y)$.*

General conclusion: The set-valued mappings $\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \longrightarrow X$ form an equivalence of X and Y in sense of articles [3, 4]. Thus the general theorems from [3] can be extended for the mappings in topological R -modules. In the following sections we formulate the general theorems for that case.

8 Application to perfect properties

We say that the property \mathcal{P} is a *perfect property* if for any continuous perfect mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of X onto Y we have $X \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{P}$. We say that the property \mathcal{P} is a *strongly perfect property* if it is perfect and any space with property \mathcal{P} is μ -complete.

Example 10. By virtue of Example 6.2 from [3] (see also [4]), the following properties are perfect:

1. To be a compact space.
2. To be a paracompact p -space.
3. To be a paracompact space.
4. To be a metacompact space.
5. To be a k -scattered space.
6. To be a monotonically p -space.
7. To be a monotonically Čech complete space.
8. To be a Čech complete space.
9. To be a Lindelöf space.
10. To be a Lindelöf Σ -space.
11. To be a subparacompact space.
12. To be a locally compact space.

Example 11. The following properties are strongly perfect:

1. To be a compact space.
2. To be a paracompact p -space.
3. To be a paracompact space.
4. To be a μ -complete metacompact space.
5. To be a k -scattered μ -complete space.
6. To be a μ -complete monotonically p -space.
7. To be a μ -complete monotonically Čech complete space.
8. To be a μ -complete Čech complete space.

- 9. To be a Lindelöf space.
- 10. To be a Lindelöf Σ -space.
- 11. To be a μ -complete subparacompact space.
- 12. To be a μ -complete locally compact space.

A space X is called a *wq-space* if for any point $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets of X such that $x \in \bigcap \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and each set $\{x_n \in U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded in X .

A space X is *pseudocompact* if the set X is bounded in the space X . A pseudocompact space is a μ -complete space if and only if it is compact. Any pseudocompact space is a *wq-space*.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial locally bounded topological R -module. Fix two non-empty R -Tychonoff spaces X and Y with the properties:*

- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E ;
- for any non-bounded subset L of Y there exists $f \in C(Y, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

Assume that $u : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow C_p(Y, E)$ is a linear homeomorphism. Then:

- 1. X is a pseudocompact space if and only if Y is a pseudocompact space.
- 2. If \mathcal{P} is a perfect property and X, Y are μ -complete *wq-spaces*, then $X \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Consider the set-valued mappings $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ constructed in Section 7.

Let X be a pseudocompact space. Then X is a bounded subset of the space X . Hence $Y = \varphi(X)$ is a bounded subset of Y and Y is a pseudocompact space. Assertion 1 is proved.

Assume that \mathcal{P} is a perfect property and X, Y are μ -complete *wq-spaces*. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{P}$. By virtue of Theorem 2.5 from [3], there exist a space Z and two perfect single-valued mappings $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ onto X and Y , respectively. Hence, $Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}$. Assertion 2 is proved. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 9. *Let R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial metrizable locally bounded topological R -module. Fix two non-empty R -Tychonoff compactly E -full spaces X and Y with the properties:*

- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E ;
- for any non-bounded subset L of Y there exists $f \in C(Y, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

Assume that $u : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow C_p(Y, E)$ is a linear homeomorphism. Then:

- 1. The space X is μ -complete if and only if the space Y is μ -complete.

2. X is a compact space if and only if Y is a compact space.
3. If \mathcal{P} is a strongly perfect property and X, Y are wq -spaces, then $X \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Consider the set-valued mappings $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ constructed in Section 7. Assertion 1 follows from Property 7.

Assume that \mathcal{P} is a strongly perfect property and X, Y are wq -spaces. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{P}$. By definition of a strongly perfect property, X is a μ -complete space. From Assertion 1 it follows that Y is a μ -complete space too. By virtue of Theorem 2.5 from [3], there exist a space Z and two perfect single-valued mappings $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ onto X and Y , respectively. Hence, we have $Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}$. Assertion 3 is proved.

Let X be a compact space. By virtue of Theorem 8, Y is a pseudocompact space. Hence X and Y are wq -spaces. Assertion 3 completes proof of Assertion 2. The proof is complete. \square

9 Application to open properties

We say that the property \mathcal{P} is an *of-property* (*open-finite property*) if for any continuous open finite-to-one mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and any subspace Z of X we have $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $f(Z) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Example 12. From the results from [3],[4] and [5] the following properties are *of-properties*:

1. To be hereditarily Lindelöf.
2. To be σ -space.
3. To be hereditarily separable.
4. To be σ -metrizable.
5. To be σ -scattered.
6. To be σ -discrete space.

Example 13. Let τ be an infinite cardinal. Consider the properties:

1. $X \in e(\tau)$ if and only if $e(X) \leq \tau$;
2. $X \in d(\tau)$ if and only if $d(X) \leq \tau$;
3. $X \in hd(\tau)$ if and only if $hd(X) \leq \tau$;
4. $X \in hl(\tau)$ if and only if $hl(X) \leq \tau$.

Then $e(\tau), d(\tau), hd(\tau), hl(\tau)$ are *of*-properties.

Theorem 10. *Let R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial locally bounded topological R -module. Fix two non-empty R -Tychonoff spaces X and Y with the properties:*

- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E ;
- for any non-bounded subset L of Y there exists $f \in C(Y, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

*Assume that $u : C_p(X, E) \rightarrow C_p(Y, E)$ is a linear homeomorphism. If \mathcal{P} is an *of*-property, then $X \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Consider the set-valued mappings $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ constructed in the Section 7. As in [3] (see Theorem 2.1 from [3]) we put $Z = \cup\{\{x\} \times \varphi(x) : x \in X\}$ and $S = \cup\{\psi(y) \times \{y\} : y \in Y\}$ as subspaces of the spaces $X \times Y$, $f(x, y) = x$ and $g(x, y) = y$ for any point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Then $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : S \rightarrow Y$ are continuous open finite-to-one mappings. If $D = Z \cap S$, then from Property 4 it follows that $f(D) = X$ and $g(D) = Y$. Hence $X \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{P}$. The proof is complete. □

10 $l_p(E)$ -equivalence and metrizability

Theorem 11. *Let R be a topological ring and E be a non-trivial metrizable locally bounded topological R -module. Fix two non-empty R -Tychonoff compactly E -full spaces X and Y with the properties:*

- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E ;
- for any non-bounded subset L of Y there exists $f \in C(Y, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

Let X and Y be $l_p(E)$ -equivalent spaces. Then:

1. *X is a compact metrizable space if and only if Y is a compact metrizable space.*
2. *If X is a metrizable space, then the space Y is metrizable if and only if Y is a wq -space.*

Proof. Any metrizable space is a wq -space.

Let X be a metrizable space and Y be a wq -space. Since X is metrizable, by virtue of Theorem 8, Y is a paracompact p -space. From Theorem 10 it follows that Y is a σ -space. If a paracompact space Y is a σ -space and a p -space, then Y is metrizable [9]. Assertion 2 is proved.

Assertion 1 follows from the Assertion 2 and Theorem 8. The proof is complete. □

11 Final remarks and examples

The requirements on spaces R , E and X in the conditions of Theorems 8, 9 and 10 are essential.

First, the space X must have a sufficient number of continuous mappings into R and E . Moreover, these mappings must determine the topology of the space X and should feel certain properties of subsets relative to their position in the space X . These are explained the requirements:

- the space X is a non-empty R -Tychonoff space;
- for any non-bounded subset L of X there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that the set $f(L)$ is not a -bounded in E .

Obviously, the requirement " X is a non-empty R -Tychonoff space" may be changed by the requirement " X is a non-empty E -Tychonoff space". A space X is an E -Tychonoff space if for each closed set F of X , any point $a \in X \setminus F$ and any point $b \in E$, there exists $f \in C(X, E)$ such that $f(a) = 0$ and $f(F) = b$.

Second, the space E should have unbounded sets and some neighborhoods of zero should be able to distinguish boundedness and unboundedness of sets from E .

Example 14. Let \mathbb{Z} be the discrete ring of integers, $E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ be the unit circle with the binary operation $(x, y) + (u, v) = (xu - yv, xv + yu)$. Then any zero-dimensional space is \mathbb{Z} -Tychonoff and E is a locally simple compact \mathbb{Z} -module. Any Tychonoff space is an E -Tychonoff space. For any space X the \mathbb{Z} -modules $C_p(X, E)$ and $M_p(X, E)$ are a -bounded. In this case the assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 6 are not true.

Example 15. Let R be a finite ring, $0 \neq 1$, and $E = R^A$, where A is an infinite discrete space. Then the ring \mathbb{R} is locally simple and compact. The R -module E is compact and not locally simple. Any zero-dimensional space is R -Tychonoff. The spaces $C_p(X, E)$, $C_p(X \times A, E)$, $C_p(X, E)^A$, $C_p(X, R)^A$, $C_p(X, E)^A$, and $C_p(X \times A, R)$ are linearly homeomorphic. The space X may be compact and the space $X \times A$ is not pseudocompact. If the space A is countable, the module E is metrizable.

Example 16. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^A$, where A is an infinite discrete space. The ring of reals \mathbb{R} is a locally simple and locally compact topological field. The \mathbb{R} -module E is not locally simple and not locally a -bounded. Any Tychonoff space is an \mathbb{R} -Tychonoff space. The spaces $C_p(X, E)$, $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$, $C_p(X, E)^A$, $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})^A$, $C_p(X, E)^A$, and $C_p(X \times A, \mathbb{R})$ are linearly homeomorphic. The space X may be compact and the space $X \times A$ is not pseudocompact. If the space A is countable, the module E is metrizable.

Acknowledgements. The authors express their gratitude to Professors Alexander V. Arhangel'skii and Vesco Valov for useful conversations.

The article was supported by the project RM 15.817.02.18F.

References

- [1] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V. *Topological Function Spaces*. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 78, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V. *On linear homomorphisms of function spaces*. Doklady Acad. Nauk SSSR, 1982, **264**, No. 6, 1289–1292. English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl., 1982, **25**, 852–855.
- [3] CHOBAN M. M. *General theorems on functional equivalence of topological spaces*. Topology Appl., 1998, **89**, 223–239.
- [4] CHOBAN M. M. *Algebraical equivalence of topological spaces*. Bul. Acad. de Ştiinţe Repub. Moldova, Mat., 2001, No. 1(35), 12–36.
- [5] CHOBAN M. M. *Finite-to-one open mappings*. Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 1967, **174**, 41–44.
- [6] CHOBAN M. M. *On the theory of topological algebraic systems*. Trudy Moskovskogo Mat. Obshchestva, 1985, **48**, 106–149. English translation: Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 1986, **48**, 115–159.
- [7] CHOBAN M. M. *Some topics in topological algebra*. Topology Appl., 1993, **54**, 183–202.
- [8] VAN MILL J. *The infinite-dimensional topology of function spaces*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Amsterdam, vol. 64, 2001.
- [9] OKUYAMA A. *A survey of the theory of σ -spaces*. General Topology Appl., 1971, **1**, 57–63.
- [10] VALOV V. *Function spaces* Topology Appl., 1997, **81**, No. 1, 1–22.
- [11] WARNER S. *Topological rings*. North-Holland mathematics studies. Elsevier, vol. 178, 1993.

MITROFAN M. CHOBAN
Department of Mathematics
Tiraspol State University
MD-2069, Chişinău
Moldova
E-mail: mmchoban@gmail.com

Received December 9, 2014

RADU N. DUMBRĂVEANU
Department of Mathematics
Bălţi State University
MD-3121, Bălţi
Moldova
E-mail: vundicind@gmail.com