

## On Wallman compactifications of $T_0$ -spaces and related questions

L. I. Calmuțchi, M. M. Choban

**Abstract.** We study the compactification of the Wallman-Shanin type of  $T_0$ -spaces. We have introduced the notion of compressed compactification and proved that any compressed compactification is of the Wallman-Shanin type. The problem of the validity of the equality  $\omega(X \times Y) = \omega X \times \omega Y$  is examined. Two open questions have arisen.

**Mathematics subject classification:** 54D35, 54B10, 54C20, 54D40.

**Keywords and phrases:** Compactification, ring of sets, sequential character.

### 1 Introduction. Preliminaries

Any space is considered to be a  $T_0$ -space. We use the terminology from [6, 9]. By  $|A|$  we denote the cardinality of a set  $A$ ,  $w(X)$  be the weight of a space  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . The intersection of  $\tau$  open sets is called a  $G_\tau$ -set. For any space  $X$  denote by  $P_\tau X$  the set  $X$  with the topology generated by the  $G_\tau$ -sets of the space  $X$ .

Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal. A space  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -subtle if on  $X$  the closed  $G_\tau$ -sets form a closed base.

Let  $X$  be a dense subspace of a space  $Y$ . The space  $Y$  is called a *compressed extension* of the space  $X$  if for some infinite cardinal  $\tau$  the set  $X$  is dense in the space  $P_\tau Y$  and  $Y$  is  $\tau$ -subtle. The cardinal  $\tau$  is called the *index of compressing* of the extension  $Y$  of  $X$  and put  $ic(X \subset Y) \leq \tau$ .

Any completely regular space is  $\aleph_0$ -subtle, i.e. is  $\tau$ -subtle for any infinite cardinal  $\tau$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\tau$  be an uncountable cardinal,  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $L$  be a dense subset of  $I^\tau$  of the cardinality  $\leq \tau$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_1$  the topology of the Tychonoff cube  $I^\tau$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the topology generated by the open base  $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \{U \setminus L : U \in \mathcal{T}_1\}$ . Denote by  $X$  the set  $I^\tau$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . The set  $L$  is closed in  $X$ . If  $m < \tau$ ,  $H$  is a  $G_m$ -set of  $X$  and  $L \subseteq H$ , then the set  $H$  is dense in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  is a Hausdorff space which is not  $m$ -subtle for any  $m < \tau$ .

**Example 1.2.** A space  $X$  is called feebly compact if any locally finite family of open non-empty sets is finite. Let  $Y$  be an  $\aleph_0$ -subtle extension of the feebly compact space  $X$ . Then  $Y$  is a compressed extension of the space  $X$  and  $ic(X \subset Y) \leq \tau$ .

**Example 1.3.** A completely regular space  $X$  is feebly compact if and only if it is pseudocompact. Thus any completely regular extension  $Y$  of a pseudocompact space  $X$  is compressed and  $ic(X \subseteq Y) = \aleph_0$ .

**Example 1.4.** Let  $Y$  be the one-point Alexandroff compactification of an uncountable discrete space  $X$ . Then  $ic(X \subseteq Y) = \aleph_0$ .

**Definition 1.5.** A family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is called a *WS-ring* if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $X$  and  $F \cap H, F \cup H \in \mathcal{L}$  for any  $F, H \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 1.6.** A family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is called a *WF-ring* if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *WS-ring* and  $X \setminus F = \cup\{H \in \mathcal{L} : H \cap F = \emptyset\}$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{L}$ .

The family  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  of closed subsets of a space  $X$  is a *WS-ring*. The family  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is a *WF-ring* if and only if  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space.

**Definition 1.7.** A *g-compactification* of a space  $X$  is a pair  $(Y, f)$ , where  $Y$  is a compact  $T_0$ -space,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping, the set  $f(X)$  is dense in  $Y$  and for any point  $y \in Y \setminus f(X)$  the set  $\{y\}$  is closed in  $Y$ . If  $f$  is an embedding, then we say that  $Y$  is a compactification of  $X$  and consider that  $X \subseteq Y$ , where  $f(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ .

Fix a *WS-ring*  $\mathcal{L}$  of a space  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$  we put  $\xi(x, \mathcal{L}) = \{F \in \mathcal{L} : x \in F\}$ . Denote by  $M(\mathcal{L}, X)$  the family of all ultrafilters  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X = M(\mathcal{L}, X) \cup \{\xi \subseteq \mathcal{L} : \xi = \xi(x, \mathcal{L}) \text{ for some } x \in X\}$ . Consider the mapping  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}} : X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ , where  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}x = \xi(x, \mathcal{L})$  for any  $x \in X$ . On  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  consider the topology generated by the closed base  $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = \{\langle H \rangle = \{\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X : H \in \xi\} : H \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

**Theorem 1.8** (M. Choban, L. Calmuțchi [5]). *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a WS-ring of a space  $X$ , then:*

1.  $(\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{\mathcal{L}})$  is a *g-compactification* of the space  $X$ .
2.  $\langle H \rangle = cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X} \omega_{\mathcal{L}}(H)$ ,  $H = \omega_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(\langle H \rangle)$  and  $\langle H \rangle \cap \omega_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \omega_{\mathcal{L}}(H)$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{L}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *WF-ring* if and only if  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  is a  $T_1$ -space.

**Definition 1.9.** A *g-compactification*  $(Y, f)$  of a space  $X$  is called a *Wallman-Shanin g-compactification* of the space  $X$  if  $(X, f) = (\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{\mathcal{L}})$  for some *WS-ring*  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 1.10.** A *g-compactification*  $(Y, f)$  of a space  $X$  is called a *Wallman-Frink g-compactification* of the space  $X$  if  $(X, f) = (\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{\mathcal{L}})$  for some *WF-ring*  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The compactifications of the Wallman-Shanin type were introduced by N. A. Shanin [10] and studied by many authors (see [1, 5, 7, 11–14] and the references in these articles). Any Wallman-Frink *g-compactification* is a Wallman-Shanin *g-compactification*. The Wallman compactification  $\omega X = \omega_{\mathcal{F}(X)}X$  is a Wallman-Shanin compactification of  $X$ . The compactification  $\omega X$  is a Wallman-Frink compactification if and only if  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space. There exists Hausdorff compactifications of discrete spaces which are not Wallman-Shanin compactifications [11, 13].

## 2 Comparison of the $WS$ -rings

Following [7] and [14] on the family  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  of closed subsets of a space  $X$  consider the binary relation  $\sim$ :  $A \sim B$  if and only if the set  $A\Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$  is relatively compact in  $X$ , i.e. its closure in  $X$  is compact.

For any family  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$  we put  $m\mathcal{L} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \sim A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . A family  $\mathcal{L}$  is called maximal if  $\mathcal{L} = m\mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $WS$ -ring of subsets of a space  $X$ , then  $m\mathcal{L}$  is a  $WS$ -ring too.*

*Proof.* Follows from the relations  $(A \cap B)\Delta(F \cap H) \subseteq (A\Delta F) \cup (B\Delta H)$  and  $(A \cup B)\Delta(F \cup H) \subseteq (A\Delta F) \cup (B\Delta H)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be  $WS$ -rings of closed subsets of a space  $X$ . We put  $\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{M}$  if  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and for each  $\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  we have  $\xi \cap \mathcal{L} \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be  $WS$ -rings of closed subsets of a space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{M}$ . Then there exists a unique continuous mapping  $\varphi : \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  such that  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{M}}(x))$  for any  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \varphi \circ \omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition for any  $\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  we have  $\xi \cap \mathcal{L} \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ . We put  $\varphi(\xi) = \xi \cap \mathcal{L}$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a mapping of  $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  into  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ . Obviously,  $\varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X) = \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ .

If  $x \in X$ , then  $\xi(x, \mathcal{L}) = \xi(x, \mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{M}}(x))$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X : F \in \xi\}) = \{\eta \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X : F \in \eta\}$ . Thus the mapping  $\varphi$  is continuous.

If  $f : \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  is a continuous mapping and  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}} = f \circ \omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ , then  $f^{-1}(\{\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X : F \in \xi\}) = \{\eta \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X : F \in \eta\}$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{L}$ . Thus  $f = \varphi$ . The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $WS$ -ring and a closed base of a space  $X$  and  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  for any closed compact subset  $F$  of  $X$ . Then  $(\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{\mathcal{L}}) = (\omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{m\mathcal{L}})$ . Moreover,  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  is a compactification of the space  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  we put  $\varphi(\xi) = \xi \cap \mathcal{L}$ .

Claim 1.  $\varphi(\xi) \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ .

Let  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $F \notin \varphi(\xi)$ . Then there exists  $H \in \xi$  such that  $F \cap H = \emptyset$ . Since  $H \in m\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $H \sim \Phi$  for some  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ . Hence, there exists a closed compact subset  $\Phi_1 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $H\Delta\Phi\Delta\Phi_1$ .

Case 1.  $\Phi_1 \in \varphi(\xi)$ .

In this case  $\varphi(\xi) \neq \emptyset$  and there exists a point  $x \in \varphi(\xi) \subseteq X$  such that  $\xi = \xi(x, m\mathcal{L})$ .

In this case  $\varphi(\xi) = \xi(x, \mathcal{L}) \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ .

Case 2.  $\Phi_1 \notin \varphi(\xi)$ .

In this case there exists  $H_1 \in \xi$  such that  $H_1 \subseteq H$  and  $H_1 \cap \Phi_1 = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a base, there exists  $H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $H_1 \subseteq H_2$  and  $H_2 \cap \Phi_1 = \emptyset$ . Then  $H_2 \in \xi$  and  $H_2 \cap F = \emptyset$ . Thus  $H_2 \in \varphi(\xi)$  and  $H_2 \cap F = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\varphi(\xi)$  is a maximal filter in  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.  $\varphi(\xi) \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ . Claim 1 is proved.

By virtue of Lemma 2.2,  $\varphi : \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  is the unique continuous mapping for which  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \varphi \circ \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}$ .

Claim 2. If  $\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ ,  $F \in \xi$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\varphi(\xi) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $F \sim H$ , then  $H \in \varphi(\xi)$ .

There exists a compact subset  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $F \Delta H \subseteq \Phi$ . Let  $H \notin \xi$ . Then there exists  $L \in \xi$  such that  $L \subseteq F, L \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $L \cap \Phi = \emptyset$ . Then  $F \subseteq H \cup \Phi$  and  $L \cap (H \cup \Phi) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Claim 3.  $\varphi : \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  is a homeomorphism.

Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X$ ,  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  and  $\eta = \varphi(\xi_1) = \varphi(\xi_2)$ . In this case  $\cap\eta = \emptyset$ . Thus there exist  $H_1 \in \xi_1 \setminus \xi_2$  and  $H_2 \in \xi_2 \setminus \xi_1$  such that  $H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $H_1 \cap H_2 \in m\mathcal{L}$ , there exist  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  and a compact subset  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $F_1 \sim H_1, F_2 \sim H_2, F_1 \Delta H_1 \subseteq \Phi, F_2 \Delta H_2 \subseteq \Phi$ . By virtue of Claim 2, we have  $F_1 \in \xi_1$  and  $F_2 \in \xi_2$ . Then  $F_1, F_2 \in \eta$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 \subseteq \Phi$ , i.e.  $\Phi \in \eta$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\varphi$  is a one-to-one mapping. Let  $H \in m\mathcal{L}$  and  $H_1 = \{\xi \in \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X : H \in \xi\}$ . Assume that  $\eta \in \omega_{m\mathcal{L}}X$  and  $H \notin \eta$ .

Case 1.  $\cap\eta \neq \emptyset$ .

In this case  $\eta = \xi(x, m\mathcal{L})$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $x \notin H$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a base of  $X$ , there exists  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x \notin F$  and  $H \subseteq F$ . Thus  $\varphi(\eta) \notin cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}\varphi(H_1)$ .

Case 2.  $\cap\eta = \emptyset$ .

In this case there exists  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $H \sim F$ . We can assume that  $H \subseteq F$ . Then  $F \notin \eta$  and  $\varphi(\eta) \notin cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}\varphi(H_1) \subseteq \{\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X : F \in \xi\}$ . Therefore the set  $\varphi(H_1)$  is closed for any  $H \in m\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\{H_1 : H \in m\mathcal{M}$  is a closed base of  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ , the mapping  $\varphi$  is closed. Hence  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism. The proof is complete.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be  $WS$ -rings of closed subsets of a space  $X$ . We put  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$  if for any two sets  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ , with the empty intersection  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ , there exist two sets  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is a compact subset of  $X$ ,  $F_1 \subseteq H_1$  and  $F_2 \subseteq H_2$ . If  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \ll \mathcal{L}$ , then we put  $\mathcal{L} \approx \mathcal{M}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$  be two  $WS$ -rings and closed bases of a space  $X$  and  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{M}$  for any closed compact subset  $F$  of  $X$ . The next assertions are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ .
2.  $m\mathcal{L} \ll m\mathcal{M}$ .
3.  $m\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ . Assume that  $F_1, F_2 \in m\mathcal{L}$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ . By virtue of Theorem 2.3, we have  $cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_1 \cap cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_2 = \emptyset$ . Then there exist two sets  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset, F_1 \subseteq L_1$  and  $F_2 \subseteq L_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ , there exist two sets  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is a compact subset of  $X$ ,  $F_1 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq H_1$  and  $F_2 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq H_2$ . Therefore  $m\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$  and  $m\mathcal{L} \ll m\mathcal{M}$ . The implications  $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$  are proved. Theorem 2.3 completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  be Hausdorff compactifications of a space  $X$  and  $F \in \mathcal{M}$  for any compact subset  $F$  of  $X$ . The next assertions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi : \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  such that  $\varphi(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ .*
2.  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ .
3.  $m\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ .
4.  $m\mathcal{L} \ll m\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  be a continuous mapping and  $\varphi(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . Fix  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ . Then  $cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_1 \cap cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a

continuous mapping, then  $cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_1 \cap cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X}F_2 = \emptyset$ . The family  $\{cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}H : H \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is a closed base of a compact space  $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$ . Thus there exists  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset, F_1 \subseteq H_1, F_2 \subseteq H_2$ . Implication  $1 \rightarrow 2$  is proved.

Assume that  $\mathcal{L} \ll \mathcal{M}$ . There exist two continuous mappings  $f : \beta X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  and  $g : \beta X \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  of the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta X$  of  $X$  such that  $f(x) = g(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\varphi(x) = f(g^{-1}(x))$  is a singleton for any  $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$ . Let  $y \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \varphi(y)$  be two distinct points of  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ . Obviously,  $y \in \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X \setminus X$  and  $\varphi(y) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ . There exists  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x_1 \in cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}}F_1, x_2 \in cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{L}}}F_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{M}, H = H_1 \cap H_2$  be a compact subset of  $X, F_1 \subseteq H_1, F_2 \subseteq H_2$ . Then  $H = cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}H_1 \cap cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}H_2$ . Let  $\Phi_1 = f^{-1}(x_1)$  and  $\Phi_2 = f^{-1}(x_2)$ . Then  $y \in g(\Phi_1) \cap g(\Phi_2)$ . Since  $\Phi_1 \subseteq cl_{\beta X}F_1$  and  $g(cl_{\beta X}F_1) = cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}F_1$  we have  $g(\Phi_1) \subseteq cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}F_1 \subseteq cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}H_1$  and  $g(\Phi_2) \subseteq cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}F_2 \subseteq cl_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X}H_2$ . Hence  $Y \in H \subseteq X$ , a contradiction. Implication  $2 \rightarrow 1$  is proved. Proposition 2.4 completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  be Hausdorff compactifications of a space  $X$ . Then  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X = \omega_{\mathcal{M}}X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L} \approx \mathcal{M}$ .*

### 3 On compressed compactification

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $(Y, f)$  is a compressed  $g$ -compactification of a space  $X$ , then  $(Y, f)$  is a Wallman-Shanin  $g$ -compactification of the space  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be a cardinal number for which:

- $f(X)$  is dense in  $P_{\tau}Y$ ;
- the closed  $G_{\tau}$ -subsets of  $Y$  form a closed base of the space  $Y$ .

We put  $Z = f(X)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)$  the family of all closed  $G_{\tau}$ -subsets of  $Y$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{L} = \{f^{-1}(H) : H \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)\}$  is a  $WS$ -ring of closed subsets of the space  $X$ .

Claim 1. If  $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)$ , then  $H = cl_Y(H \cap Z)$ .

Obviously,  $cl_Y(H \cap Z) \subseteq H$ . Let  $y \in H, U$  be an open subset of  $Y$  and  $y \in U$ . Then  $Y = U \cap H$  is a  $G_{\tau}$ -subset of  $Y$ . Since  $Z$  is  $G_{\tau}$ -dense in  $Y$ , we have  $V \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $U \cap (H \cap Z) \supseteq V \cap Z \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in cl_Y(H \cap Z)$ . Claim is proved.

Claim 2.  $(Y, f) = (\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X, \omega_{\mathcal{L}})$ .

Let  $\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$ .

Case 1.  $\cap \xi \neq \emptyset$ .

There exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\xi = \xi(x, \mathcal{L})$ . In this case we put  $\varphi(\xi) = f(x)$

Case 2.  $\cap \xi = \emptyset$ .

In this case  $\xi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -ultrafilter. Let  $\xi = \{L_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  there exists a unique  $H_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)$  such that  $L_{\alpha} = f^{-1}(H_{\alpha})$ . By construction,  $\eta = \{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)$ -ultrafilter and  $\cap \eta = \emptyset$ . There exists a unique point  $y \in Y \setminus Z$  such that  $y \in \cap \eta$ . We put  $\varphi(\xi) = y$ .

The mapping  $\varphi : \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}X$  onto  $Y$  is constructed. Obviously, the mapping  $\varphi$  is one-to-one. By construction,  $\varphi(\omega_{\mathcal{L}}(x)) = f(x)$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi(\{\xi \in \omega_{\mathcal{L}}X : f^{-1}(H) \in \xi\}) = H$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}(Y)$ . Hence the mapping  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism. The proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff compactification of a space  $X$  and the space  $X$  is  $G_\tau$ -dense in  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  is a Wallman-Frink compactification of the space  $X$ .*

**Corollary 3.3** (R. A. Alo, H. L. Shapiro [1], E. Wajch [14]). *Let  $X$  be a pseudo-compact space. Then any Hausdorff compactification  $Y$  of  $X$  is a Wallman-Frink compactification.*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(Y, f)$  be a Hausdorff  $g$ -compactification of a feebly compact space  $X$ . Then  $(Y, f)$  is a Wallman-Frink  $g$ -compactification of the space  $X$ .*

For any discrete uncountable space the family of compressed Hausdorff compactifications is large. Moreover, this fact is valid for Hausdorff paracompact locally compact non-Lindelöf spaces.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally compact space which contains an uncountable discrete family of open non-empty subsets. Assume that  $\dim X = 0$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of all compressed Hausdorff compactifications of  $X$  is uncountable and  $\beta X = \sup \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 2$ . There exists a family  $\{X_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of open-and-closed subsets of  $X$  such that for any  $\mu \in M$  the set  $X_\mu$  is compact and there exist  $n$  distinct points  $b_{1\mu}, b_{2\mu}, \dots, b_{n\mu} \in X_\mu$ . The sets  $\{B_i = \{b_{i\mu} : \mu \in M\} : i \leq n\}$  are closed and disjoint. Fix  $n$  distinct points  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \beta X \setminus X$ . Since the sets  $\{cl_{\beta X} B_i : i \leq n\}$  are disjoint we can assume that  $b_{im} \notin \cup \{cl_{\beta X} B_j : j \leq n, j \neq i\}$  for any  $i \leq n$ . Fix  $n$  open-and-closed subsets  $\{H_i : i \leq n\}$  of  $\beta X \setminus X$  such that  $b_i \in H_i, H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset, H_i \cap cl_{\beta X} B_j = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $\beta X \setminus X = \cup \{H_i : i \leq n\}$ . Then there exists a compactification  $Y$  of  $X$  and a continuous mapping  $f : \beta X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $f^{-1}(f(b_i)) = H_i$  for any  $i \leq n$ . The compactification  $Y$  is compressed. By construction, the compressed compactifications  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $X$  separate the points of  $\beta X$ . Thus  $\beta X = \sup \mathcal{B}$ . The proof is complete.

## 4 Cartesian products of compactifications

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set,  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a family of non-empty spaces,  $X = \Pi\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $(b_\alpha X_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$  be a family of  $g$ -compactifications of given spaces  $X_\alpha$ . Then  $bX = \Pi\{b_\alpha X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  and the mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow bX$ , where  $\varphi((x_\alpha : \alpha \in A)) = (\varphi_\alpha(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in A)$  for any  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in A) \in X$ , is a  $g$ -compactification of the space  $X$ . If each  $b_\alpha X_\alpha$  is a compactification of the space  $X_\alpha$ , then  $bX$  is a compactification of the space  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  be a  $WS$ -ring of closed sets of the space  $X_\alpha$ . We put  $\mathcal{L}' = \{\Pi\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} : H_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \{H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n : H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \in \mathcal{L}', n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Now we put  $\mathcal{L} = \otimes \{\mathcal{L}_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The family  $\mathcal{L}$  of closed subsets of the space  $X$  is a  $WS$ -ring and  $\omega_{\mathcal{L}} X = \Pi\{\omega_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $WS$ -ring of closed subsets of the space  $X$ .

Let  $\xi$  be an  $\mathcal{L}'$ -filter. Obviously  $e(\xi) = \{H \cup F : H \in \xi, F \in \mathcal{L}\}$  is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -filter. Moreover,  $\xi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}'$ -ultrafilter if and only if  $e(\xi)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -ultrafilter.

Let  $x = (x_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ ,  $\xi_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\alpha$  and  $\xi = \{\Pi\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} : H_\alpha \in \xi_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ . Then:

- if any  $\xi_\alpha$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ -filter, then  $\xi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}'$ -filter;
- $\xi_\alpha$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ -ultrafilter if and only if  $\xi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}'$ -ultrafilter;
- $\xi_\alpha = \xi(x_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in A$  if and only if  $\xi = \xi(x, \mathcal{L}')$  and  $e(\xi) = \xi(x, \mathcal{L})$ .

These facts complete the proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $|A| \geq 2$ ,  $\omega X = \Pi\{\omega X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  and any  $X_\alpha$  is an infinite  $T_1$ -space, then any space  $X_\alpha$  is countably compact.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\beta \in A$ . Assume that the space  $X_\beta$  is not countably compact. Then  $X_\beta$  contains an infinite, discrete and closed subset  $F = \{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Since  $\omega Z_1 = cl_{\omega Z} Z_1$  for any closed subspace  $Z_1$  of a  $T_0$ -space  $Z$ , we can assume that  $X_\beta F$ .

We put  $Y_\beta = \Pi\{\omega X_\alpha : \alpha \in A \setminus \{\beta\}\}$ . Obviously,  $X = X_\beta \times Y_\beta$  and  $\omega X = \omega X_\beta \times \omega Y_\beta$ .

If the space  $Y_\beta$  is not countably compact, then  $Y_\beta$  contains a discrete infinite space  $Z$  and  $\omega(X_\beta \times Z) = cl_{\omega X}(X_\beta \times Z) = (\omega X_\beta \times \omega Z)$ , a contradiction with the Glicksberg's theorem ([6], Problem 3.12.20(d)). Thus we can assume that the space  $Y_\beta$  is countably compact.

In the space  $Y_\beta$  fix a set  $L = \{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $c_n \neq c_m$  for distinct  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $\Phi = \{(b_n, c_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is closed and discrete in  $X$ . Projection  $p : X_\beta \times Y_\beta \rightarrow X_\beta$  is a continuous closed mapping. Fix an ultrafilter  $\xi$  of closed subsets of the space  $X$  for which  $\Phi \in \xi$  and  $\cap \xi = \emptyset$ . Then  $p(\xi) = \{p(H) : H \in \xi\}$  is an ultrafilter of closed subsets of the space  $X_\beta$ . If  $\cap p(\xi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique point  $b \in X_\beta$  for which  $\{b\} = \cap p(\xi)$ . In this case  $\{b\} \times Y_\beta \in \xi$  and  $\cap \xi = \emptyset$ . Since  $\Phi \in \xi$ , there exists a unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b = b_n$  and  $(b_n, c_n) \in H \cap (\{b\} \times Y_\beta)$  for each  $H \in \xi$ , a contradiction with  $\cap \xi = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\cap p(\xi) = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists a unique  $b \in \omega X_\beta \setminus X_\beta$  for which  $\{b\} = \cap \{cl_{X_\beta} H : H \in p(\xi)\}$ .

Since  $\omega X = \omega X_\beta \times \omega Y_\beta$ , there exists a unique  $c \in \omega Y_\beta \setminus Y_\beta$  such that  $(b, c) \in \cap \{cl_{\omega X} H : H \in \xi\}$ . In this case  $X_\beta \times \{c\} \in \xi$ . There exists a unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $H \in \xi$  such that  $(b_n, c_n) \in \Phi \cap (X_\beta \times \{c\})$  and  $H \cap (X_\beta \times \{c\}) = \emptyset$ . Then  $(b, c) \in cl_{\omega X} H \cap cl_{\omega X}(X_\beta \times \{c\})$  and  $cl_{\omega X} H \cap cl_{\omega X}(X_\beta \times \{c\}) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous closed mapping of a space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ . Then there exists a unique continuous mapping  $\omega f : \omega X \rightarrow \omega Y$  such that  $f = \omega f|X$ . Moreover, the mapping  $\omega f$  is closed.*

*Proof.* If  $\xi$  is an ultrafilter of closed subsets of  $X$ , then  $\omega f(\xi) = \{f(H) : H \in \xi\}$  is an ultrafilter of closed subsets of  $Y$ . The mapping  $\omega f$  is constructed.

Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number. A space  $X$  is called initial  $\tau$ -compact if any open cover  $\gamma$  of  $X$  of the cardinality  $\leq \tau$  contains a finite subcover.

We say that the sequential character  $s\chi(X) < \tau$  if for any non-closed subset  $H$  of  $X$  there exist a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  and a point  $x \in X \setminus H$  such that  $x \in Y$ ,  $x \in cl_X(H \cap Y)$  and  $\chi(Y, x) < \tau$ . A space  $X$  is sequential if and only if  $s\chi(X) \leq \aleph_0$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number,  $X$  be an initial  $\tau$ -compact space,  $Y$  be a compact space and  $s\chi(Y) \leq \tau$ . Then:*

1. *The projection  $p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ , where  $p(x, y) = y$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , is a continuous closed-and-open mapping.*

2. *There exists a continuous bijection  $\varphi : \omega(X \times Y) \rightarrow \omega X \times Y$  such that  $\varphi(x, y) = (x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that the projection  $p$  is continuous and open.

Let  $y_0 \in Y$ ,  $W$  be an open subset of  $X \times Y$  and  $p^{-1}(y_0) = X \times \{y_0\} \subseteq W$ . We put  $V = \{y \in Y : p^{-1}(y) \subseteq W\}$ . Obviously,  $y_0 \in V$ . We affirm that the set  $V$  is open in  $Y$ . Suppose that the set  $V$  is not open in  $Y$ . Then the set  $Y \setminus V$  is not closed in  $Y$ . Thus there exist a point  $z \in V$  and a subspace  $Z \subseteq Y$  such that  $z \in Z$ ,  $z \in cl_Z(Z \cap (Y \setminus V))$  and  $\chi(Z, z) \leq \tau$ . We fix an open base  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of the space  $Z$  at the point  $z$  such that  $|A| \leq \tau$ . For any  $\alpha \in A$  consider the set  $U_\alpha = \cup\{U : U \text{ is open } X, U \times V_\alpha \subseteq W\}$ . Obviously  $X = \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau$ -compact and  $|A| \leq \tau$ , there exists a finite set  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $X = \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$ . There exists an element  $\beta \in A$  for which  $V_\beta \subseteq \cap\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$ . Then  $U_\beta \supseteq \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} = X$ . Hence  $U_\beta = X$  and  $X \times V_\beta = U_\beta \times V_\beta \subseteq W$ . Therefore  $z \in V_\beta \subseteq V$  and  $z \notin cl_Y(Y \setminus V)$ , a contradiction. Assertion 1 is proved.

Consider the projection  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ . The mappings  $f$  and  $p$  are continuous open-and-closed. Then there exist two continuous closed mappings  $\omega f : \omega(X \times Y) \rightarrow \omega X$  and  $\omega p : \omega(X \times Y) \rightarrow \omega Y$  such that  $f = \omega f|X \times Y$  and  $p = \omega p|X \times Y$ . Consider the continuous mapping  $\varphi : \omega(X \times Y) \rightarrow \omega X \times Y$  for which  $\varphi(z) = (\omega f(z), \omega p(z))$  for each  $z \in \omega(X \times Y)$ . By construction, we have  $\varphi(z) = (f(x, y), p(x, y)) = (x, y) = z$  for each  $z = (x, y) \in X \times Y \subseteq \omega(X \times Y)$ . Fix  $z \in \omega(X \times Y) \setminus (X \times Y)$ . Then there exists a unique ultrafilter  $\xi$  of closed subsets of  $X \times Y$  for which  $\{z\} = \cap\{cl_{\omega(X \times Y)} H : H \in \xi\}$ . The family  $p(\xi) = \{g(H) : H \in \xi\}$  is an ultrafilter of closed subsets of the space  $Y$ . There exists a unique point  $y(z) = \omega g(z) \in \cap\{cl_Y g(H) : H \in \xi\}$ . In this case  $X(\xi) = X \times \{y(z)\} \in \xi$ . Thus  $\bar{\xi} = \{H \cap X(\xi) : H \in \xi\} \subseteq \xi$  is an ultrafilter of closed subsets of the subspace  $X(\xi)$  of  $X \times Y$ .

Let  $\xi, \eta$  be two ultrafilters of closed subsets of the space  $X \times Y$ ,  $z \in \cap\{cl_{\omega(X \times Y)} H : H \in \xi\}$  and  $z' \in \cap\{cl_{\omega(X \times Y)} H : H \in \eta\}$ . Assume that  $y(z) = y(z')$ . Then  $X(\xi) = X(\eta)$  and there there exist  $H \in \bar{\xi}$  and  $L \in \bar{\eta}$  such that  $H \cap L = \emptyset$ . Since  $f|X(\xi) : X(\xi) \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism,  $f(\xi) = f(\bar{\xi})$ ,  $f(\eta) = f(\bar{\eta})$  and  $f(H) \cap f(L) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $f(\xi) \neq f(\eta)$  and  $\omega f(z) = \cap\{cl_{\omega X} f(M) : M \in \xi\} \neq \cap\{cl_{\omega X} f(P) : P \in \xi\eta\} = \omega f(z')$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is a bijection. The proof is complete.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal number,  $X$  be an initial  $\tau$ -compact normal space,  $Y$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $s\chi(Y) \leq \tau$ . Then:*

1.  $\omega(X \times Y) = \omega X \times Y$ .

2.  $X \times Y$  is an initial  $\tau$ -compact normal space.

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $X$  be a first countable normal countably compact not paracompact space and  $Y = \beta X$ . By virtue of Tamano's Theorem (see [6], Theorem 5.1.38), the space  $X \times Y$  is not normal. Then  $\omega X = \beta X$  and  $\omega(X \times Y) \neq \beta(X \times Y) = \omega X \times Y$ . Thus the restriction  $s\chi(Y) \leq \tau$  in the above assertions is essential.



## 5 Remainders of compactifications

The main result of the section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** *For any space  $Y$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

1.  $Y$  is a  $T_1$ -space.
2. There exists a  $T_0$ -space  $X$  such that the spaces  $Y$  and  $\omega X \setminus X$  are homeomorphic.
3. There exists a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  such that the spaces  $Y$  and  $\omega X \setminus X$  are homeomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$ -space and  $Y = \omega X \setminus X$ . Any ultrafilter of closed sets  $\xi$  represents a point  $\xi \in \omega X$  for which the set  $\{\xi\}$  is closed in  $\omega X$ . Thus  $Y$  is a  $T_1$ -space. Implication 2  $\rightarrow$  1 is proved. Implication 3  $\rightarrow$  2 is obvious.

Let  $Y$  be a non-empty  $T_1$ -space. If  $Y$  is compact, then we put  $Z = Y$ . Let  $Y$  be a non-compact space. Consider a point  $b \notin Y$ . In this case  $Y$  is an open subspace of the space  $Z = Y \cup \{b\}$ , where the base of the space  $Z$  at the point  $b$  is the family  $\{Z \setminus \Phi : \Phi \text{ is a closed compact subset of } Y\}$ . By construction  $Z$  is a compact  $T_1$ -space. Fix an infinite cardinal number  $\tau \geq w(Z)$ . Denote by  $W(\tau^+)$  the space of all ordinal numbers of the cardinality  $\leq \tau$  in the topology generated by the linear order. Then  $W(\tau^+)$  is a normal initial  $\tau$ -compact space and  $\omega W(\tau^+) \setminus (\tau^+) = \{c\}$  is a singleton.

If the space  $Y$  is compact, we consider the space  $X = W(\tau^+) \times Y$  as a subspace of the compact space  $\omega W(\tau^+) \times Z$ . Further, if the space  $Y$  is not compact, then we consider the space  $X = (W(\tau^+) \times Y) \cup \{(c, b)\}$  as a subspace of the compact space  $\omega W(\tau^+) \times Z$ .

Since the space  $X$  is initial  $\tau$ -compact and  $s\chi(Z) \leq \tau$ , the mapping  $g : X \rightarrow Z$ , where  $g(z, y) = y$  for any  $(z, y) \in X$ , is continuous and open-and-closed. Hence  $\omega X = \omega W(\tau^+) \times Z$ . By construction, the spaces  $\omega X \setminus X = \{c\} \times Y$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic. The proof is complete.

Any Hausdorff locally compact space is a Wallman remainder of some normal space.

**Question 1.** *Under which conditions a completely regular space is a Wallman remainder of some normal space?*

**Question 2.** *Under which conditions a  $T_1$ -space is a Wallman remainder of some completely regular (regular, Hausdorff) space?*

Other problems about remainders of spaces have been examined recently in [2–4].

## References

- [1] ALO R. A., SHAPIRO H. L. *Wallman compact and realcompact spaces*. Contribs. Extens. Theory Topol. Struct., Proceed. Sympos. Berlin 1967, Berlin, 1969, 9–14.
- [2] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V. *A study of remainders of topological groups*, Fund. Math. 2009, **203**, 165–178.

- [3] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V., CHOBAN M. M. *Remainders of rectifiable spaces*, Topology and Appl. 2010, **157**, 789–799.
- [4] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V., CHOBAN M. M. *Some addition theorems for rectifiable spaces*, Buletinul Acad. de Științe a Rep. Moldova, Matematica 2011, No. 2(66).
- [5] CHOBAN M. M., CALMUȚCHI L. I. *Some problems of the theory of compactifications of topological spaces*. ROMAI Journal, 2006, **2:1**, 25–51.
- [6] ENGELKING E. *General Topology*. PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [7] FAULKNER G. D., VIPERA M. C. *Remainders of compactifications and their relation to a quotient lattice of the topology*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1994, **122**, 931–942.
- [8] FRINK O. *Compactifications and semi-normal spaces*. Amer. J. Math. 1964, **86**, 602–607.
- [9] GILLMAN L., JERISON M. *Rings of continuous functions*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [10] SHANIN N. A. *On special extension of topological spaces*. Dokl. AN SSSR 1943, **38**, 7–11 (in Russian).
- [11] SHAPIRO L. B. *Reduction of the basic problem on bicomact extension of the Wallman type*. Soviet Math. Dokl. 1974, **15**, 1020–1023 (Russian original: Dokl. AN SSSR 1974, **217**, 38–41).
- [12] STEINER E. F. *Wallman spaces and compactifications*. Fund. Math. 1968, **61**, 295–304.
- [13] ULJANOV V. M. *Solution of a basic problem on compactification of Wallman type*. Soviet Math. Dokl. 1977, **18**, 567–571 (Russian original: Dokl. AN SSSR 1977, **233**, 1056–1059).
- [14] WAJCH E. *Complete rings of functions and Wallman-Frink compactifications*. Colloq. Math. 1988, **56:2**, 281–290.

L. I. CALMUȚCHI, M. M. CHOBAN  
Department of Mathematics  
Tiraspol State University  
5 Gh. Iablocichin str.  
Chișinău, MD–2069, Moldova.

*Received July 27, 2011*

E-mail: [lcalmutchi@gmail.com](mailto:lcalmutchi@gmail.com), [mmchoban@gmail.com](mailto:mmchoban@gmail.com)