

Free topological universal algebras and absolute neighborhood retracts

Taras Banakh, Olena Hryniv

Abstract. We prove that for a complete quasivariety \mathcal{K} of topological E -algebras of countable discrete signature E and each submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space X its free topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ in the class \mathcal{K} is a submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space.

Mathematics subject classification: 08B20; 22A30; 54C55.

Keywords and phrases: Topological universal algebra, free topological universal algebra, a quasivariety of topological algebras, absolute neighborhood retract, absolute neighborhood extensor, k_ω -space.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the construction of a free topological universal algebra and show that this construction preserves the class of submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -spaces.

To give a precise formulation of our main result, we need to recall some definitions related to topological universal algebras. For more detailed information, see [5–7].

Definition 1. Let $(E_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint topological spaces. The topological sum $E = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is called a *continuous signature*. The signature is called *discrete (countable)* if so is the space E .

A *topological universal algebra of signature E* or briefly, a *topological E -algebra* is a topological space X endowed with a family of continuous maps $e_{n,X} : E_n \times X^n \rightarrow X$, $n \in \omega$.

A topological E -algebra $(X, \{e_{n,X}\}_{n \in \omega})$ is called *Tychonoff* if the underlying topological space X is Tychonoff.

Homomorphisms between E -algebras are defined as follows.

Definition 2. A function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ between two topological E -algebras $(X, \{e_{n,X}\}_{n \in \omega})$ and $(Y, \{e_{n,Y}\}_{n \in \omega})$ is called an *E -homomorphism* if

$$e_{n,Y}(z, h(x_1), \dots, h(x_n)) = h(e_{n,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

for any $n \in \omega$, $z \in E_n$, and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Such a function h is called an *algebraic isomorphism (topological isomorphism)* if h is bijective and both functions h and h^{-1} are (continuous) E -homomorphisms of the E -algebras.

Next, we define some operations over E -algebras.

Definition 3. For topological E -algebras X_α , $\alpha \in A$, the Tychonoff product $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ is a topological E -algebra endowed with the structure mappings

$$e_{n,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (e_{n,X_\alpha}(z, \text{pr}_\alpha(x_1), \dots, \text{pr}_\alpha(x_n)))_{\alpha \in A}$$

where $n \in \omega$, $z \in E_n$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, and $\text{pr}_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the α -coordinate projection.

Definition 4. A subset $A \subset X$ of a topological E -algebra $(X, \{e_n\}_{n \in \omega})$ is called a *subalgebra* if $e_n(E_n \times A^n) \subset A$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Since for any subalgebras $A_i \subset X$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$, of a topological E -algebra X the intersection $A = \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ is a subalgebra of X , for each subset $Z \subset X$ there is a minimal subalgebra $\langle Z \rangle$ of X that contains Z . This is the subalgebra generated by the set Z . The structure of this subalgebra $\langle Z \rangle$ can be described as follows.

Given a subset $L \subset E$ and a subset Z of a topological E -algebra $(X, \{e_n\}_{n \in \omega})$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z \rangle_0^L &= Z, \\ \langle Z \rangle_{n+1}^L &= \langle Z \rangle_n^L \cup \bigcup_{k \in \omega} e_{k,X}((E_k \cap L) \times (\langle Z \rangle_n^L)^k) \text{ for } n \in \omega, \text{ and} \\ \langle Z \rangle_\omega^L &= \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \langle Z \rangle_n^L. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, one can check that for compact subspaces $L \subset E$ and $Z \subset X$ the subset $\langle Z \rangle_n^L$ of X is compact for every $n \in \omega$. Consequently, $\langle Z \rangle_\omega^L$ is a σ -compact subset of X .

Writing the signature E and the space Z as the unions $E = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} L_n$ and $Z = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Z_n$ of non-decreasing sequences of subsets, we see that

$$\langle Z \rangle = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \langle Z_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$$

is the subalgebra of X , generated by Z . If the spaces Z_n and L_n , $n \in \omega$, are compact (finite), then each subset $\langle Z_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$, $n \in \omega$, of X is compact (finite) and hence the algebraic hull $\langle Z \rangle$ of Z in X is σ -compact (at most countable).

Definition 5. A class \mathcal{K} of topological E -algebras is called a *complete quasivariety* if

- 1) for each topological E -algebra $X \in \mathcal{K}$, each E -subalgebra of X belongs to the class \mathcal{K} ;
- 2) for any topological E -algebras $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, $\alpha \in A$, their Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ belongs to the class \mathcal{K} ;
- 3) a Tychonoff E -algebra belongs to \mathcal{K} if it is algebraically isomorphic to a topological E -algebra $Y \in \mathcal{K}$.

A complete quasivariety \mathcal{K} is *non-trivial* if it contains a topological E -algebra X that contains more than one point.

Finally, we recall the notion of a free topological E -algebra.

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{K} be a complete quasivariety of topological E -algebras. A *free topological E -algebra in \mathcal{K}* over a topological space X is a pair $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$ consisting of a topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \in \mathcal{K}$ and a continuous map $\eta : X \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ such that for any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a topological E -algebra $Y \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a unique continuous E -homomorphism $h : F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = h \circ \eta$.

The construction $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ of a free topological E -algebra has been intensively studied by M. M. Choban [6, 7]. In particular, he proved that for each complete quasivariety \mathcal{K} of topological E -algebras and any topological space X a free topological E -algebra $(F_{\mathcal{K}}(X), \eta)$ exists and is unique up to a topological isomorphism. Also he proved the following important result, see [6, 2.4]:

Theorem 1 (Choban). *If \mathcal{K} is a non-trivial complete quasivariety of topological E -algebras, then for each Tychonoff space X the canonical map $\eta : X \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is a topological embedding and $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ coincides with the subalgebra $\langle \eta(X) \rangle$ generated by the image $\eta(X)$ of X in $F(X, \mathcal{K})$.*

Since $\eta : X \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is a topological embedding, we can identify a Tychonoff space X with its image $\eta(X)$ in $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ and say that the free E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is algebraically generated by X .

In fact, the construction of a free topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ determines a functor $F_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ from the category \mathbf{Top} of topological spaces and their continuous maps to the category whose objects are topological E -algebras from the class \mathcal{K} and morphisms are continuous E -homomorphisms.

In [5–7] a lot of attention was paid to the problem of preservation of various topological properties by the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$. In particular, it was shown that the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ preserves (submetrizable) k_{ω} -spaces provided the signature E is a (submetrizable) k_{ω} -space, see [7, 4.1.2].

A Hausdorff topological space X is called a *k_{ω} -space* if $X = \varinjlim X_n$ is the *direct limit* of a non-decreasing sequence of compact subsets $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of X in the sense that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ and a subset $U \subset X$ is open if and only if $U \cap X_n$ is open in X_n for each $n \in \omega$. Such a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is called a *k_{ω} -sequence* for X .

An *s_{ω} -space* is a direct limit $\varinjlim X_n$ of a k_{ω} -sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ consisting of second countable compact subspaces of X . It is easy to see that a k_{ω} -space X is an s_{ω} -space if and only if it is *submetrizable* in the sense that X admits a continuous metric.

Theorem 2 (Choban). *Let \mathcal{K} be a complete quasivariety of topological E -algebras whose signature E is a (submetrizable) k_{ω} -space. Then for each (submetrizable) k_{ω} -space X the free topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is a (submetrizable) k_{ω} -space. Moreover, if $E = \varinjlim L_n$ and $X = \varinjlim X_n$ for some k_{ω} -sequences $(L_n)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$, then $(\langle \eta(X_n) \rangle_n^{L_n})_{n \in \omega}$ is a k_{ω} -sequence for $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ and thus $F_{\mathcal{K}}X = \varinjlim \langle \eta(X_n) \rangle_n^{L_n}$.*

The principal result of this paper asserts that the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ preserves $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -spaces.

Definition 7. A k_{ω} -space X is called an *absolute neighborhood retract in the class of k_{ω} -spaces* (briefly, an $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$) if X is a neighborhood retract in each k_{ω} -space that contains X as a closed subspace.

In Theorem 10 we shall show that a submetrizable k_{ω} -space X is an $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -space if and only if each map $f : B \rightarrow X$ defined on a closed subspace of a (metrizable) compact space A extends to a continuous map $\bar{f} : N(B) \rightarrow X$ defined on a neighborhood $N(B)$ of B in A .

A topological space X is called *compactly finite-dimensional* if each compact subset of X is finite-dimensional.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3. *If \mathcal{K} is a complete quasivariety of topological E -algebras of countable discrete signature E , then for each submetrizable (compactly finite-dimensional) $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -space X so is its free topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ in the quasivariety \mathcal{K} .*

2 $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -spaces

In this section we collect some information about $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -spaces. Such spaces are tightly connected with ANE-spaces.

Following [11] we define a topological space X to be an *absolute neighborhood extensor* for a class \mathbf{C} of topological spaces (briefly, an $\text{ANE}(\mathbf{C})$ -space) if each map $f : B \rightarrow X$ defined on a closed subspace B of a topological space $C \in \mathbf{C}$ has a continuous extension $\bar{f} : N(B) \rightarrow X$ defined on some neighborhood $N(B)$ of B in C . If any such f can be extended to the whole space C , then X is called an *absolute extensor* for the class \mathbf{C} .

By the Dugundji-Borsuk Theorem [8],[4] each convex subset of a locally convex linear topological space, is an absolute extensor for the class of metrizable spaces. This theorem was generalized by Borges [3] who proved that a convex subset of a locally convex space is an absolute extensor for the class of stratifiable spaces. This class contains all metrizable spaces and all submetrizable k_{ω} -spaces, and is closed with respect to many countable topological operations, see [3],[10].

An important example of an $\text{ANR}(k_{\omega})$ -space is the space

$$Q^{\infty} = \{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty} : \sup_{i \in \omega} |x_i| < \infty\}$$

of bounded sequences, endowed with the direct limit topology $\varinjlim [-n, n]^{\omega}$ generated by the k_{ω} -sequence $([-n, n]^{\omega})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ consisting of the Hilbert cubes. Being a locally convex linear topological space, Q^{∞} is an absolute extensor for the class of stratifiable spaces.

A topological space X is called a Q^{∞} -manifold if X is Lindelöf and each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of Q^{∞} . The theory

of Q^∞ -manifolds was developed by K.Sakai [12],[13] who established the following fundamental results:

Theorem 4 (Characterization). *A topological space X is homeomorphic to (a manifold modeled on) the space Q^∞ if and only if X is a submetrizable k_ω -space such that each embedding $f : B \rightarrow X$ of a closed subset B of a compact metrizable space A can be extended to a topological embedding of (an open neighborhood of B in) the space A into X .*

Theorem 5 (Open Embedding). *Each Q^∞ -manifold is homeomorphic to an open subset of Q^∞ .*

Theorem 6 (Closed Embedding). *Each submetrizable k_ω -space is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of Q^∞ .*

Theorem 7 (Classification). *Two Q^∞ -manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if they are homotopically equivalent.*

Theorem 8 (Triangulation). *Each Q^∞ -manifold X is homeomorphic to $K \times Q^\infty$ for some countable locally finite simplicial complex K .*

Theorem 9 (ANR-Theorem). *For each submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space X the product $X \times Q^\infty$ is a Q^∞ -manifold.*

We shall use these theorems in the proof of the following (probably known as a folklore) characterization of submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -spaces.

Theorem 10. *For a submetrizable k_ω -space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) X is an $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space;
- 2) X is an ANE for the class of k_ω -spaces;
- 3) X is an ANE for the class of compact metrizable spaces;
- 4) X is an ANE for the class of stratifiable spaces;
- 5) X is a retract of a Q^∞ -manifold.

The equivalent conditions (1)–(5) hold if $X = \varinjlim X_n$ is the direct limit of a k_ω -sequence consisting of compact ANR's.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (5) Assume that X is an $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space. By the Closed Embedding Theorem 6, we can identify the submetrizable k_ω -space X with a closed subspace of Q^∞ . Being an $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$, X is a retract of an open neighborhood $N(X) \subset Q^\infty$. Since $N(X)$ is a Q^∞ -manifold, X is a retract of a Q^∞ -manifold.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) Assume that X is a retract of a Q^∞ -manifold M . By the Open Embedding Theorem 5, M can be identified with an open subspace of Q^∞ . By the

Borges' Theorem [3], the locally convex space Q^∞ is an absolute extensor for the class of stratifiable spaces. Then the open subspace M of Q^∞ is an ANE for this class and so is its retract X .

The implication (4) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial since each metrizable space is stratifiable.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that X is an ANE for the class of compact metrizable spaces. First we prove that X is an ANE for the class of compact Hausdorff spaces. Let $f : B \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map defined on a closed subspace B of a compact Hausdorff space A . Embed the compact space A into a Tychonoff cube I^κ . The image $f(B)$, being a compact subspace of the submetrizable space X , is metrizable. By [9, 2.7.12], the function f depends on countably many coordinates, which means that there is a countable subset $C \subset \kappa$ such that $f = f_C \circ \text{pr}_C$ where $\text{pr}_C : I^\kappa \rightarrow I^C$ is the projection onto the face I^C of the cube I^κ and $f_C : \text{pr}_C(B) \rightarrow f(B) \subset X$ is a suitable continuous map. Since X is an ANE for compact metrizable spaces, the map f_C has a continuous extension $\tilde{f}_C : U \rightarrow X$ defined on an open neighborhood U of $\text{pr}_C(B)$ in the cube I^C . It follows that $V = \text{pr}_C^{-1}(U) \cap A$ is an open neighborhood of B in A and $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_C \circ \text{pr}_C|_V : V \rightarrow X$ is a continuous extension of the map f , witnessing that X is an ANE for the class of compact Hausdorff spaces.

Next, we show that X is an ANE for the class of k_ω -spaces. Let $f : B \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map defined on a closed subset B of a k_ω -space A . Then $A = \varinjlim A_n$ for some k_ω -sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of compact subsets of A . Let $A_{-1} = \emptyset$. By induction, for each $n \in \omega$ we can construct a continuous map $f_n : N_n(A_n \cap B) \rightarrow X$ defined on a closed neighborhood $N(B \cap A_n)$ of $B \cap A_n$ in A_n and such that

- $N_n(B \cap A_n) \supset N_{n-1}(B \cap A_{n-1})$,
- $f_n|_{B \cap A_n} = f|_{B \cap A_n}$ and
- $f_n|_{N_{n-1}(B \cap A_n)} = f_{n-1}$.

The inductive step can be done because X is an ANE for the class of compact Hausdorff spaces. After completing the inductive construction, consider the set $N(B) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} N_n(B \cap A_n)$ and the map $\tilde{f} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} f_n : N(B) \rightarrow X$, which is a desired continuous extension of f onto the open neighborhood $N(B)$ of B in A .

The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) trivially follows from the definitions of $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ and $\text{ANE}(k_\omega)$ -spaces.

Now assume that $X = \varinjlim X_n$ is the direct limit of a k_ω -sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ consisting of compact ANR's. We claim that X is an ANE for the class of compact metrizable spaces. Let $f : B \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map defined on a closed subspace B of a compact metrizable space A . Since X carries the direct limit topology $\varinjlim X_n$, the compact subset $f(B)$ lies in some set X_n , $n \in \omega$. Since X_n is an ANR, the map $f : B \rightarrow X_n$ has a continuous extension $\tilde{f} : N(B) \rightarrow X_n \subset X$ defined on a neighborhood $N(B)$ of B in A . \square

3 Some subfunctors of the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall apply a deep Basmanov's result on the preservation of compact ANR's by monomorphic functors of finite degree in the category **Comp** of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous maps. Let **C** be a full subcategory of the category **Top**, containing all finite discrete spaces.

We say that a functor $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$

- is *monomorphic* if F preserves monomorphisms (which coincide with injective continuous maps in the category **Top** and its full subcategory **C**);
- has *finite supports* (degree $\deg F \leq n$) if for each object X of the category **C** and each element $a \in FX$ there is a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ of a finite discrete space A (of cardinality $|A| \leq n$) such that $a \in Ff(FA)$;

The smallest number $n \in \omega$ such that $\deg F \leq n$ is called the *degree* of F and is denoted by $\deg F$. If no such number $n \in \omega$ exists, then we put $\deg F = \infty$.

The following improvement of the classical Basmanov's theorem [2] was recently proved in [1].

Theorem 11. *Let $F : \mathbf{Comp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}$ be a monomorphic functor of finite degree $n = \deg F$ such that the space F^n is finite. Then the functor F preserves the class of compact finite-dimensional ANR-spaces.*

We shall apply this theorem to the subfunctors $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ of the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$. We recall that \mathcal{K} is a non-trivial complete quasivariety of topological E -algebras of countable discrete signature E . By Theorem 2, $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ can be thought as a functor $F_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbf{K}_{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\omega}$ in the category \mathbf{K}_{ω} of k_{ω} -spaces and their continuous maps. By Theorem 2.4 of [6], for each Tychonoff space X the free topological E -algebra $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is algebraically free in the sense that any bijective map $i : X_d \rightarrow X$ from a discrete topological space X_d induces an algebraic isomorphism $F_{\mathcal{K}}i : F_{\mathcal{K}}X_d \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}X$. This fact implies:

Lemma 1. *The functor $F_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbf{Tych} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ is monomorphic.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an injective continuous map between Tychonoff spaces and $f_d : X_d \rightarrow Y_d$ be the same map between these spaces endowed with the discrete topologies. Let $i_X : X_d \rightarrow X$ and $i_Y : Y_d \rightarrow Y$ be the identity maps. Let $r : Y_d \rightarrow X_d$ be any (automatically continuous) map such that $r \circ f_d = \text{id}_{X_d}$. Thus we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 i_X \uparrow & & \uparrow i_Y \\
 X_d & \xrightarrow{f_d} & Y \\
 & \xleftarrow{r} &
 \end{array}$$

Applying the functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ to this diagram we get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\mathcal{K}}X & \xrightarrow{F_{\mathcal{K}}f} & F_{\mathcal{K}}Y \\ F_{\mathcal{K}}i_X \uparrow & & \uparrow F_{\mathcal{K}}i_Y \\ F_{\mathcal{K}}X_d & \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{K}}r]{F_{\mathcal{K}}f_d} & F_{\mathcal{K}}Y \end{array}$$

The “vertical” maps $F_{\mathcal{K}}i_X : F_{\mathcal{K}}X_d \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ and $F_{\mathcal{K}}i_Y : F_{\mathcal{K}}Y_d \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}Y$ in this diagram are bijective because the algebras $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ and $F_{\mathcal{K}}Y$ are algebraically free. Taking into account that $F_{\mathcal{K}}r \circ F_{\mathcal{K}}f_d = F_{\mathcal{K}}(r \circ f_d) = F_{\mathcal{K}}\text{id}_{X_d} = \text{id}_{F_{\mathcal{K}}X_d}$, we conclude that the map $F_{\mathcal{K}}f_d$ is injective and so is the map $F_{\mathcal{K}}f : F_{\mathcal{K}}X \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}Y$ because of the bijectivity of the maps $F_{\mathcal{K}}i_X$ and $F_{\mathcal{K}}i_Y$. \square

Now for every compact subset $L \subset E$ and every $n \in \omega$ consider the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L : \mathbf{Comp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}$ which assigns to each compact Hausdorff space X the subspace $\langle X \rangle_n^L$ of $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$. The functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ assigns to each continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between compact Hausdorff spaces the restriction $\langle f \rangle_n^L = F_{\mathcal{K}}f|_{\langle X \rangle_n^L}$ of the homomorphism $F_{\mathcal{K}}f : F_{\mathcal{K}}X \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{K}}Y$.

Lemma 2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L : \mathbf{Comp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}$ is a well-defined monomorphic functor of finite degree in the category \mathbf{Comp} .*

Proof. First we check that for each continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between compact Hausdorff spaces, the morphism $\langle f \rangle_n^L = F_{\mathcal{K}}f|_{\langle X \rangle_n^L}$ is well-defined, which means that $F_{\mathcal{K}}f(\langle X \rangle_n^L) \subset \langle Y \rangle_n^L$. This will be done by induction on $n \in \omega$.

For $n = 0$ the inclusion $F_{\mathcal{K}}(\langle X \rangle_0^L) = F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = f(X) \subset Y = \langle Y \rangle_0^L$ follows from the fact that the homomorphism $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ extends the map f (here we identify X and Y with the subspaces $\eta(X)$ and $\eta(Y)$ in $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ and $F_{\mathcal{K}}(Y)$, respectively).

Assume that the inclusion $F_{\mathcal{K}}f(\langle X \rangle_n^L) \subset \langle Y \rangle_n^L$ has been proved for some $n \in \omega$. By definition,

$$\langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L = \langle X \rangle_n^L \cup \bigcup_{k \in \omega} e_{k,X}((E_k \cap L) \times (\langle X \rangle_n^L)^k).$$

Fix any element $x \in \langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L$. If $x \in \langle X \rangle_n^L$, then

$$F_{\mathcal{K}}(x) \in F_{\mathcal{K}}(\langle X \rangle_n^L) \subset \langle Y \rangle_n^L \subset \langle Y \rangle_{n+1}^L$$

by the inductive assumption.

If $x \in \langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L \setminus \langle X \rangle_n^L$, then $x = e_{k,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ for some $k \in \omega$, $z \in E_k \cap L$, and points $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \langle X \rangle_n^L$. Since $F_{\mathcal{K}}f$ is an E -homomorphism, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{K}}f(x) &= F_{\mathcal{K}}f(e_{k,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_k)) = e_{k,Y}(z, F_{\mathcal{K}}f(x_1), \dots, F_{\mathcal{K}}f(x_k)) \in \\ &\in e_{k,Y}((E_k \cap L) \times (\langle Y \rangle_n^L)^k) \subset \langle Y \rangle_{n+1}^L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every $n \in \omega$ the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ is well-defined. It is monomorphic as a subfunctor of the monomorphic functor $F_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Next, we show that the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle$ has finite degree. This will be done by induction on $n \in \omega$. Since $\langle X \rangle_0^L = X$, $\deg \langle \cdot \rangle_0^L = 1$.

Assume that for some $n \in \omega$ the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ has finite degree d . Since L is a compact subset of E , there is $m \in \omega$ such that $L \cap E_k = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq m$. We claim that $\deg \langle \cdot \rangle_{n+1}^L \leq m \cdot d$. Take any element $x \in \langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L$. If $x \in \langle X \rangle_n^L$, then by the inductive assumption there is a subset $A \subset X$ of cardinality $|A| \leq d$ such that $x \in \langle A \rangle_n^L$ and we are done. If $x \in \langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L \setminus \langle X \rangle_n^L$, then $x = e_{k,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ for some $k \in \omega$, $z \in E_k \cap L$, and points $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \langle X \rangle_n^L$. Since $L \cap E_k \ni z$ is not empty, $k \leq m$. By the inductive assumption, for every $i \leq k$ there is a finite subset $A_i \subset X$ of cardinality $|A_i| \leq d$ such that $x_i \in \langle A_i \rangle_n^L$. Then the union $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ has cardinality $|A| \leq k \cdot d \leq m \cdot d$ and

$$x = e_{k,X}(z, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in e_{k,X}((L \cap E_k) \times (\langle A \rangle_n^L)^k) \subset \langle A \rangle_{n+1}^L$$

witnessing that the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_{n+1}^L$ has finite degree $\deg \langle \cdot \rangle_{n+1}^L \leq m \cdot d$. \square

Lemma 3. *If $L \subset E$ is finite, then for each $n \in \omega$ the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ preserves finite spaces.*

Proof. Let X be a finite space. By induction on $n \in \omega$ we shall show that the space $\langle X \rangle_n^L$ is finite. This is clear for $n = 0$. Assume that for some $n \in \omega$ the space $\langle X \rangle_n^L$ is finite. Since $L \subset E$ is finite there is $m \in \omega$ such that $L \cap E_k = \emptyset$ for all $k > m$. Then

$$\langle X \rangle_{n+1}^L = \langle X \rangle_n^L \cup \bigcup_{k \leq m} e_{k,X}((E_k \cap L) \times (\langle X \rangle_n^L)^k)$$

is finite as the finite union of finite sets. \square

Combining Lemmas 2, 3 with Theorem 11, we get

Corollary 1. *For any finite subset $L \subset E$ and every $n \in \omega$ the functor $\langle \cdot \rangle_n^L$ preserves (finite-dimensional) compact ANR's.*

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Without loss of generality, the quasivariety \mathcal{K} is non-trivial (otherwise, $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is a singleton and hence is an $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space for each non-empty space X).

Let X be a submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space. By the ANR-Theorem 9, the product $X \times Q^\infty$ is a Q^∞ -manifold. By the Triangulation Theorem 8, $X \times Q^\infty$ is homeomorphic to $T \times Q^\infty$ for a countable locally finite simplicial complex T . This implies that $X \times Q^\infty$ can be written as the direct limit $X \times Q^\infty = \varinjlim X_n$ of a k_ω -sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of compact ANR's.

Write the countable discrete space E as the direct limit $E = \varinjlim L_n$ of a k_ω -sequence $(L_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of finite subsets of E . By Choban's Theorem 2, the space $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X \times Q^\infty)$ is the direct limit $\varinjlim \langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$ of the k_ω -sequence $\langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$. By Corollary 1, each space $\langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$, $n \in \omega$, is a compact metrizable ANR. Consequently, $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X \times Q^\infty) =$

$\varinjlim \langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$ is a submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space by Theorem 10. Since X is a retract of $X \times Q^\infty$, the space $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ is a retract of $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X \times Q^\infty)$ and hence $F_{\mathcal{K}}X$ is a submetrizable $\text{ANR}(k_\omega)$ -space.

Now assume that X is a compactly finite-dimensional s_ω -space. Then $X = \varinjlim X_n$ is the direct limit of finite-dimensional compact metrizable spaces. By the Choban's Theorem 2, the space $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X \times Q^\infty)$ is the direct limit $\varinjlim \langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$ of the k_ω -sequence $\langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$. Corollary 1 implies that each compact space $\langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$ is metrizable and finite-dimensional. Then the space $F_{\mathcal{K}}X = \varinjlim \langle X_n \rangle_n^{L_n}$ is compactly finite-dimensional, being the direct limit of finite-dimensional compact spaces.

References

- [1] BANAKH T., KLYMENKO M., ZARICHNYI M. *On monomorphic topological functors with finite supports*, preprint (arXiv:1004.0457).
- [2] BASMANOV V. *Covariant functors, retracts, and dimension*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1983, **271:5**, 1033–1036.
- [3] BORGES C. *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 1966, **17**, 1–16.
- [4] BORSUK K. *Über Isomorphie der Functionalräume*, Bull. Inst. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. A, 1933, 1–10.
- [5] CHOBAN M. M. *On the theory of topological algebraic systems*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch., 1985, **48**, 106–149.
- [6] CHOBAN M. M. *Some topics in topological algebra*, Topology Appl., 1993, **54:1-3**, 183–202.
- [7] CHOBAN M. M. *Algebraical equivalences of topological spaces*, Bul. Acad. Stiinte Repub. Mold., Mat., 2001, No. 1(35), 12–36.
- [8] DUGUNDJI J. *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math., 1951, **1**, 353–367.
- [9] ENGELKING R. *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] GRUENHAGE G. *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of set-theoretic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 423–501.
- [11] HU S.-T. *Theory of Retracts*. Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [12] SAKAI K. *On \mathbb{R}^∞ -manifolds and Q^∞ -manifolds*, Topology Appl., 1984, **18:1**, 69–79.
- [13] SAKAI K. *On \mathbb{R}^∞ -manifolds and Q^∞ -manifolds. II. Infinite deficiency*, Tsukuba J. Math., 1984, **8:1**, 101–118.

TARAS BANAKH
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine
Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland
E-mail: t.o.banakh@gmail.com

Received April 6, 2010

OLENA HRYNIV
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail: olena.hryniv@ukr.net