A lower bound for a quotient of roots of factorials

Cristinel Mortici

Abstract. With the aid of asymptotic properties of polygamma functions a new lower bound is established for the quotient $\phi(r+1)/\phi(r)$ where $\phi(r) = (r!)^{1/r}$.

Mathematics subject classification: 33B15; 57Q55; 15A15. Keywords and phrases: Gamma function; polygamma function; factorial function; complete monotonicity; approximations; permanent; (0, 1)-matrix.

1 Introduction

In 1965, H. Minc and L. Sathre [12] have given one of the first estimations of the expression

$$\phi\left(r\right) = \left(r!\right)^{1/r}.$$

Inequalities involving the function $\phi(r)$ are of interest in themselves, but they also have important applications in the theory of (0, 1)-matrices.

The permanent of an *n*-by-*n* matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{Per}(A) = \sum a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)}\cdot\ldots\cdot a_{n\sigma(n)},$$

where the sum goes over every permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Although it looks similar to the determinant of matrices, the permanent is much harder to be computed. The literature on bounds for permanents is quite extensive. It was first conjectured by H. Minc [10], then proved by L.M. Brégman [4] that for a (0, 1)-matrix with row sums r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n , the following upper bound holds:

$$\operatorname{Per}\left(A\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left(r_{i}\right)$$

This kind of bounds and some others, see [5, 9, 11, 14], motivated many authors [12, 15, 16, 17] to introduce new inequalities involving $(r!)^{1/r}$, or the ratio $\phi(r+1)/\phi(r)$.

H. Minc and L. Sathre [13, Cor. 2] proved that for every positive integer r:

$$1 < \frac{\phi(r+1)}{\phi(r)} < 1 + \frac{1}{r}.$$
(1.1)

[©] Cristinel Mortici, 2009

One of the main results of this paper is the following new inequality, for every $x \ge 1$,

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(x+2\right)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma\left(x+1\right)^{1/x}} \ge \frac{(4x+4)^{1/(x+1)}}{(4x)^{1/x}} \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) > 1.$$

Since $\Gamma(r+1) = r!$ for the positive integer r, this improves the estimation from the left-hand side of (1.1).

2 The Results

In the early 18th century, famous Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler (1707 - 1783), introduced the function

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt , \quad x > 0,$$

now known as the Euler's gamma function. It is the natural extension of the factorial function to every positive real number (or more exactly to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{-}$), since $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$, for every counting number n. The famous Bohr-Mollerup theorem [2, 3] states that the gamma function extends uniquely the factorial function, as $f = \Gamma$ is the only solution of the functional equation

$$f(x+1) = xf(x), \quad f(1) = 1$$

in the class of log-convex functions $f: (0,1) \to (0,1)$. (Another result of this kind says, that $f = \Gamma$ also in the case where there is such a $g: (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ that the function $g \circ f$ is convex in an interval $(\gamma, 1), \gamma > 0$, and $g(x) = a \ln x + b, x \to \infty$, with some a > 0 and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, cf. [6]). The psi or digamma function is defined as

$$\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$$

while the derivatives $\psi', \psi'', \psi''', \ldots$ are called the tri-, tetra-, pentagamma functions, or simply the polygamma functions. In what follows, we use the following integral representations [1, 13, 18]

$$\psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-tx} dt$$
(2.1)

and for every $\omega > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{x^{\omega}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\omega)} \int_0^\infty t^{\omega-1} e^{-tx} dt.$$
(2.2)

Recall that a function z is said to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if it has derivatives of all orders and for every positive integer k and $x \ge 0$, we have

$$(-1)^k \, z^{(k)} \, (x) \ge 0.$$

This notion was introduced in 1921 by F. Hausdorff [8], under the name 'total monoton'. J. Dubourdieu [7] proved that every non-constant, completely monotonic function satisfies $(-1)^k z^{(k)}(x) > 0$. According with the well-known Hausdorff-Bernstein-Widder theorem in [18, Theorem 12a, p. 160], a function z on $(0,\infty)$ is completely monotonic if and only if there exists a non-negative measure $\mu(t)$ such that for every $x \ge 0$,

$$z(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-xt} d\mu(t), \qquad (2.3)$$

such that the integral converges for all x > 0. Completely monotonic functions involving the gamma function are very useful, since they produce sharp bounds for the polygamma functions. They also play a basic role in probability theory, or asymptotic and numerical analysis and in physics.

Motivated by the right-hand inequality of (1.1), we introduce the function $h:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$, by the formula

$$h(x) = x(x+1)\ln\frac{x\Gamma(x+1)^{1/(x+1)}}{(x+1)\Gamma(x)^{1/x}}.$$

Theorem 2.1. The function h' is completely monotonic.

Proof. We have

$$h(x) = x \ln \Gamma (x+1) - (x+1) \ln \Gamma (x) - (x^2 + x) \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Then

$$h'(x) = 2 + \ln x - (2x+1)\ln\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) - \psi(x)$$
(2.4)

and

$$h''(x) = \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} - 2\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) - \psi'(x)$$
(2.5)

and

$$h'''(x) = \frac{2}{x} - \frac{2}{x+1} - \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} - \psi''(x).$$
 (2.6)

Using (2.1)-(2.2), we have

$$\begin{split} h'''\left(x\right) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} 2e^{-tx} dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} 2e^{-t(x+1)} dt - \\ &- \int_{0}^{\infty} 2te^{-tx} dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} te^{-t(x+1)} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2}}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-tx} dt, \\ &h'''\left(x\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(t\right) \frac{e^{-t(x+1)}}{e^{t} - 1} dt, \end{split}$$

or

$$h'''(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) \frac{e^{-t(x+1)}}{e^t - 1} dt$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = t^2 e^{2t} - (e^t - 1) (2 + t - 2e^t + 2te^t) =$$
$$= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n-2} (n^2 - 5n + 8) + n - 4}{n!} t^n > 0.$$

Next we use the fact that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\psi \left(x \right) - \ln x \right) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \psi' \left(x \right) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \psi'' \left(x \right) = 0,$$

as it results from the asymptotic expansions of the polygamma functions, e.g., [1, p. 259 – Rel. 6.3.18; p. 260 – Rel. 6.4.12 and 6.4.13]. Thus, from (2.4)–(2.6), we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} h'(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} h''(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} h'''(x) = 0.$$

Now, from h''' > 0, it results that h'' is strictly increasing. As $\lim_{x\to\infty} h''(x) = 0$, we have h'' < 0. Further, h' is strictly decreasing, with $\lim_{x\to\infty} h'(x) = 0$, so h' > 0. Finally, from (2.3) it results that h' is completely monotonic.

Corollary 2.1. For every $x \ge 1$, we have:

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(x+1\right)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma\left(x\right)^{1/x}} \ge 4^{\frac{-1}{x(x+1)}} \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) > 1,\tag{2.7}$$

where the constant 4 is best possible.

Proof. The function h' is positive, so h is strictly increasing. In consequence, for every $x \ge 1$, we have $h(1) \le h(x)$. As $h(1) = -\ln 4$, we obtain

$$-\ln 4 \le x (x+1) \ln \frac{x \Gamma (x+1)^{1/(x+1)}}{(x+1) \Gamma (x)^{1/x}}.$$

By exponentiating, we get

$$4^{\frac{-1}{x(x+1)}} \le \frac{x}{x+1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(x+1)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma(x)^{1/x}},$$

which is the conclusion.

By using the recurrence $\Gamma(y+1) = y\Gamma(y)$ in (2.7), we can state the following **Corollary 2.2.** For every $x \ge 1$, we have:

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(x+2\right)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma\left(x+1\right)^{1/x}} \ge \frac{(4x+4)^{1/(x+1)}}{(4x)^{1/x}} \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) > 1,$$

where the constant 4 is best possible.

As a consequence, this inequality can be used as a good approximation

$$\frac{\Gamma \left(x+2\right)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma \left(x+1\right)^{1/x}} \approx \frac{\left(4x+4\right)^{1/(x+1)}}{\left(4x\right)^{1/x}} \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

as we can see from numerical computations:

x	$\frac{\Gamma(x+2)^{1/(x+1)}}{\Gamma(x+1)^{1/x}}$	$\frac{(4x+4)^{1/(x+1)}}{(4x)^{1/x}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)$
10	1.084021393	1.072979624
50	1.019047171	1.018278181
125	1.007818486	1.007666066
350	1.002829804	1.002806159
500	1.001985892	1.001973593
2500	1.000399307	1.000398686

References

- ABRAMOWITZ M., STEGUN I.A. Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover publications, New York, 1965.
- [2] ARTIN E. The Gamma Function, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1964 (translation by M. Butler of Einführung in der Theorie der Gammafunktion, Hamburger Mathematische Einzelschriften 1, Teubner, Leipzig, 1931).
- [3] BOHR Y., MOLLERUP J. Laerebog i matematisk Analyse: Afsnit III, Funktioner af flere reelle Variable, Jul. Gjellerups Forlag, Copenhagen, 1922.
- [4] BRÉGMAN L.M. Some properties of nonnegative matrices and their permanents, Soviet Math. Dokl., 1973, 14, 945–949.
- [5] BRUALDI R.A., RYSER Y.J. Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [6] CHOCZEWSKI D., WACH-MICHALIK A. A difference equation for q-gamma functions, Folia Facultatis Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Mathematica, 2003, 13, 71–75.
- [7] DUBOURDIEU J. Sur un théorème de M. S. Bernstein relatif à la transformation de Laplace-Stieltjes, Compositio Math., 1939, 7, 96–111.
- [8] HAUSDORFF F. Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen I, Math. Z., 1921, 9, 74–109.
- [9] LIANG H., BAI F. An upper bound for the permanent of (0,1)-matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 2004, 377, 291–295.
- [10] MINC H. Upper bound for permanents of (0,1)-matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1963, 69, 789–791.
- [11] MINC H. Permanents, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., 1978, 6.
- [12] MINC H., SATHRE L. Some inequalities involving (r!)^{1/r}, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 1964/1965, 14, No. 2, 41–46.
- [13] MORTICI C. An ultimate extremely accurate of the factorial function, Arch. Math. (Basel), 2009, 93, No. 1, 137–144.

- [14] RASMUSSEN L.E. Approximating the permanent: a simple approach, Random Structures Algorithms, 1994, 5, 349–361.
- [15] SCHRIJVER A. Bounds on permanents, and the number of 1-factors and 1-factorizations of bipartite graphs, London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Ser., 1983, 82, 107–134.
- [16] VALLIANT L. The complexity of computing the permanent, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 1979, 8, 189–201.
- [17] ZAGAGLIA-SALVI N. Permanents and determinants of circulant (0;1)-matrices, Matematiche (Catania), 1984, 39, 213–219.
- [18] WIDDER D.V. The Laplace Transform, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1941.

CRISTINEL MORTICI Valahia University of Târgovişte Department of Mathematics Bd. Unirii 18, 130082 Târgovişte Romania

E-mail: cmortici@valahia.ro

Received June 15, 2009