

## Resolvability of some special algebras with topologies

Liubomir Chiriac

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid. We construct a disjoint family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that the sets  $\{B_\mu\}$  are dense in all Choban's totally bounded topologies on  $G$ ,  $|M| = |G|$ ,  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  and  $\cup_{k=1}^n \Delta_\varphi \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subsets  $K$  of  $G$ . In particular, we continue the line of research from [6, 9].

**Mathematics subject classification:** 22A05, 54H11 .

**Keywords and phrases:** Resolvability,  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid, bounded topology.

### 1 Introductory notions

A space  $X$  is called resolvable if in  $X$  there exist two disjoint dense subsets. In [6] M. Choban and L. Chiriac has proved the following assertion.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite group of cardinality  $\tau$ . Then there exists a disjoint family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
3.  $(G \setminus B_\mu) \cdot K \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $G$ .
4. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in all totally bounded topologies on  $G$ .

This fact is a generalization of one Protasov's result [9]. In this paper the assertions of Theorem are proved for the special algebras –  $I_nP_k$ - $n$ -groupoids. We shall use the notation and terminology from [1–4, 7, 8]. In particular,  $|X|$  is the cardinality of a set  $X$ ,  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $R$  is the space of reals. By  $\omega_0$  we denote the first infinite cardinal. If  $\tau$  is an infinite cardinal, then  $\tau^+$  is the first cardinal larger than  $\tau$ . If  $\tau \geq 1$  is a cardinal, then the space  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -resolvable if there exists a family of pairwise disjoint dense subsets  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| = \tau$ . Every space is 1-resolvable. If the space  $X$  is 2-resolvable, then we say that  $X$  is resolvable.

Denote by  $a_1^m$  a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ . If  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m$ , then we denote this sequence by  $a^m$ . For every space  $X$  we put

$$m(X) = \min\{|U| : U \neq \emptyset, U \subseteq X, U \in \tau\}.$$

A space  $X$  is maximal resolvable if it is  $m(X)$ -resolvable. It is clear that if  $X$  is  $\tau$ -resolvable then  $\tau \leq m(X)$ . If  $m(X) = |X| > 1$  and  $X$  is maximal resolvable, then we say that  $X$  is superresolvable.

For every mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  we put  $f' = f$  and  $f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$  for any  $n \in N$ . We can consider that  $f^0 : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity mapping.

The problem of resolvability of totally bounded topological groups was solved by V.I. Malykhin, W.W. Comfort, S. Van Mill [5], I.V. Protasov [9] and M.M. Choban, L.L. Chiriach [6].

## 2 Groupoids with invertibility properties

Fix a sequence  $\{E_n : n \in N\}$  of pairwise disjoint spaces. The discrete sum  $E = \cup\{E_n : n \in N\}$  is called a signature or a set of fundamental operations. A universal algebra of signature  $E$ , or briefly, an  $E$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $G$  and a sequence of mappings  $e_G = \{e_{nG} : E_n \times G^n \rightarrow G : n \in N\}$ . The set  $G$  is called a support of the  $E$ -algebra  $G$  and the mappings  $e_G$  are called the algebraical structure on  $G$ . Let  $G$  be an  $E$ -algebra. If  $u \in E_0$ , then the element  $u_G = e_{0G}(\{u\} \times G^0)$  is called a constant of  $G$  and we put  $u(x) = u_G$  for all  $x \in G$ . If  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u \in E_n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ , then we put  $u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e_{nG}(u, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . A pair  $(G, \omega)$  is said to be a  $n$ -groupoid if  $G$  is a non-empty set and  $\omega : G^n \rightarrow G$  is a mapping.

**Definition 1.** Let  $k \leq n$ . An  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, \omega)$  is called:

1. an  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid if there exist the mappings  $r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $\omega(r_1(x_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(x_{k-1}), \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), r_{k+1}(x_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = y$  or  $\omega(r_1^{k-1}(x_1^{k-1}), \omega(x_1^{k-1}, y, x_{k+1}^n), r_{k+1}^n(x_{k+1}^n)) = y$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n, y \in G$ . The mapping  $r_i(x)$  is called  $k$ -involution,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$ .
2. an  $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoid in the large sense if it is  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid for all  $k = \overline{1, n}$ . In this case the mapping  $r_i(x)$  is called involution,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
3. an  $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoid, or  $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoid in strong sense, if there exist the mappings  $\{r_i : G \rightarrow G : i = \overline{1, n}\}$  such that  $\{r_i : i \leq n, i \neq k\}$  is a family of  $k$ -involutions for any  $k = \overline{1, n}$ .
4. an  $I_0 P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid if there exist the mappings  $r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  such that  $\omega(r_1(x), \dots, r_{k-1}(x), \omega(x^{k-1}, y, x^{n-k}), r_{k+1}(x), \dots, r_n(x)) = y$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .
5. an  $I_0 P$ - $n$ -groupoid if it is  $I_0 P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid for all  $k = \overline{1, n}$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a topological non commutative group with the identity  $e$ . If we put  $\omega(x, y, z, u) = y \cdot x \cdot u \cdot z$ , then  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0 P$ -4-quasigroup. Indeed:

1.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0 P_1$ -4-quasigroup for  $r_2(y) = y^{-1}$ ,  $r_3(z) = z^{-1}$ ,  $r_4(u) = u^{-1}$ . We have  $\omega(\omega(x, t, t, t), r_2(t), r_3(t), r_4(t)) = r_2(t) \cdot t \cdot x \cdot t \cdot t \cdot r_4(t) \cdot r_3(t) = t^{-1} \cdot t \cdot x \cdot t \cdot t \cdot t^{-1} \cdot t^{-1} = e \cdot x \cdot t \cdot e \cdot t^{-1} = x \cdot t \cdot t^{-1} = x$ .
2.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0 P_2$ -4-quasigroup for  $r_1(x) = x^{-1}$ ,  $r_3(z) = z^{-1}$ ,  $r_4(u) = u^{-1}$ . Really,  $\omega(r_1(t), \omega(t, y, t, t), r_3(t), r_4(t)) = y \cdot t \cdot t \cdot t \cdot r_1(t) \cdot r_4(t) \cdot r_3(t) = y$ .
3.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0 P_3$ -4-quasigroup for  $r_1(x) = x^{-1}$ ,  $r_2(y) = y^{-1}$ ,  $r_4(u) = u^{-1}$ . Really,  $\omega(r_1(t), r_2(t), \omega(t, t, z, t), r_4(t)) = r_2(t) \cdot r_1(t) \cdot r_4(t) \cdot t \cdot t \cdot t \cdot z = z$ .
4.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0 P_4$ -4-quasigroup for  $r_1(x) = x^{-1}$ ,  $r_2(y) = y^{-1}$ ,  $r_3(z) = z^{-1}$ . Really,  $\omega(r_1(t), r_2(t), r_3(t), \omega(t, t, t, u)) = r_2(t) \cdot r_1(t) \cdot t \cdot t \cdot u \cdot t \cdot r_3(t) = u$ .

In this case  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0P_i$ -4-quasigroup for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Hence,  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0P$ -4-quasigroup.

**Example 2.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a topological group with the identity  $e$ . We put  $\omega(x, y, z) = x \cdot y \cdot z$ . In this case:

1.  $(G, \omega)$  is a 3-groupoid;
2.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_0P_i$ -3-groupoid for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and for  $r_1(x) = r_2(x) = r_3(x) = x^{-1}$ ;
3.  $(G, \omega)$  is an  $I_3P_2$ -3-groupoid for  $r_1(x) = x^{-1}, r_3(x) = z^{-1}$ . Indeed,  $\omega(r_1(x), \omega(x, y, z), r_3(z)) = x^{-1} \cdot x \cdot y \cdot z \cdot z^{-1} = e \cdot y \cdot e = y$ ;
4. If the group  $G$  is non commutative, then  $(G, \omega)$  is not an  $I_3P_i$ -3-groupoid for  $i = \{1, 3\}$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $C$  be the field of the complex numbers,  $R$  be the field of the reals numbers. Let  $A = C \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $B = R \setminus \{0\}$  and  $G = \{r \in R : r > 0\}$ . Then  $(A, \cdot)$ ,  $(B, \cdot)$  and  $(G, \cdot)$  are commutative multiplicative groups. We put  $\omega(x, y, z) = x \cdot y^n \cdot z$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

1. If  $n = 1$ , then  $(A, \omega)$ ,  $(B, \omega)$  and  $(G, \omega)$  are  $I_3P$ -3 quasigroups.
2. If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $(A, \omega)$ , is a 3-groupoid with divisions. The equation  $\omega(a, y, c) = d$  has  $n$  solutions.
3. If  $n > 1$  and  $n$  is odd, then  $(B, \omega)$  and  $(G, \omega)$  are 3-quasigroups.
4. If  $n \geq 2$  and  $n$  is even, then  $(B, \omega)$  is not a 3-groupoid with divisions and  $(G, \omega)$  is a 3-quasigroup.
5.  $(A, \omega)$ ,  $(B, \omega)$ ,  $(G, \omega)$  are  $I_3P_1$ -3-groupoids and  $I_3P_3$ -3-groupoids. If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $(A, \omega)$ ,  $(B, \omega)$  and  $(G, \omega)$  are not  $I_3P_2$ -3-groupoids.

**Example 4.** Let  $C$  be the field of the complex numbers and  $A = C \setminus \{0\}$ . We fix  $k \in A$  and put  $\omega_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ , ( $n \geq 2$ ). In this case:

1.  $(A, \omega_n)$  is a commutative quasigroup.
2.  $(A, \omega_n)$  is an  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid in strong sense. Denote  $r_i(x_i) = n^{-1} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{k^2}} \cdot x_i^{-1}$ . Hence,  $\omega_n(r_1(x_1), \dots, r_{i-1}(x_{i-1}), \omega_n(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n), r_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = k \cdot (n^{-1} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{k^2}})^{i-1} \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1}^{-1} \cdot k \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1} \cdot x_i \cdot x_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n \cdot (n^{-1} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{k^2}})^{n-i} \cdot x_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{-1} = k^2 (n^{-1} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{k^2}})^{n-1} \cdot x_i = k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot x_i = x_i$ . In strong sense there are  $n - 1$  complete involutions.

3. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $m = 2 + (n - 1)$ . There is  $k \in A$  such that  $k^m = 1$  and  $k^i \neq 1$  for  $i < m$ . If  $r_i(x_i) = k \cdot x_i^{-1}$  then  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$  are involutions in strong sense. Hence,  $\omega_n(r_1(x_1), \dots, r_{i-1}(x_{i-1}), \omega_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = k^{n-1} \cdot k^2 \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1}^{-1} \cdot x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1} \cdot x_i \cdot x_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n \cdot x_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{-1} = k^{2+n-1} \cdot x_i = k^m \cdot x_i = x_i$ .

4. Let  $n = 2$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k^m = 1$  and  $k^i \neq 1$  for  $i < m$ . We put  $\omega(x, y) = k \cdot x \cdot y$ ,  $r_1(x) = k^{m-2} x^{-1}$ ,  $r_2(y) = k^{m-2} y^{-1}$ . In this case  $\{r_1(x), r_2(x)\}$  are unique involutions in strong sense and  $r_i^2(x_i) = k^{m-2} (r_i(x_i))^{-1} = k^{m-2} ((k^{m-2} \cdot x^{-1})^{-1}) = k^{m-2} \cdot \frac{1}{k^{m-2}} \cdot x_i = x_i$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a topological group with the identity. If we put  $\omega(x, y) = x \cdot y$ , then:

1.  $(G, \omega)$  is a 2-groupoid or, briefly, groupoid;
  2.  $(G, \omega)$  is an *RIP*-groupoid for  $r_2(x) = x^{-1}$ . Indeed,  $\omega(\omega(y, x), r_2(x)) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1} = y$ ;
  3.  $(G, \omega)$  is an *LIP*-groupoid for  $r_1(x) = x^{-1}$ . Indeed,  $\omega(r_1(x_1), \omega(x, y)) = x^{-1}(x \cdot y) = y$ ;
  4.  $(G, \omega)$  is an *IP*-groupoid if it is both an *RIP*-groupoid and an *LIP*-groupoid.
- The notions *LIP*, *RIP* in the class of groupoids were introduced by R. H. Bruck [4].

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $I_n P_1$ - $n$ -groupoid and  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  be 1-involutions. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1.  $\omega(\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = y$ ;
2.  $\omega(\omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), x_2, \dots, x_n) = y$  for all  $x_2^n \in G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$\omega(\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = y \quad (1)$$

for all  $x_2^n, y \in G$ . From (1) we have

$$\omega(\omega(\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)) = \omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\omega(\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)) = \\ = \omega(y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Using (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)). \quad (4)$$

Let in (4)  $y = \omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n))$ . Therefore from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), x_2, \dots, x_n) = \\ = \omega(\omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

The implication  $1 \rightarrow 2$  is proved. Suppose that

$$\omega(\omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), x_2, \dots, x_n) = y. \quad (5)$$

From (5) it follows that

$$\omega(\omega[y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)], r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = y. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\omega[\omega[y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)], r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)], x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \\ &= \omega(y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From (6) we obtain

$$\omega(\omega[\omega[y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)], r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)], x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n). \quad (8)$$

Using (7) and (8) we have

$$\omega(y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)) = \omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n). \quad (9)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\omega(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) &= \\ = \omega(\omega[y, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)], r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) &= y. \end{aligned}$$

The implication  $2 \rightarrow 1$  is proved. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** An  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, \omega)$  is called:

1. a  $k$ -cancellative  $n$ -groupoid if for every  $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \in G$  we have  $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, a, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, b, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$  if and only if  $a = b$ .
2. a cancellative  $n$ -groupoid if it is  $k$ -cancellative groupoid for all  $k = \overline{1, n}$
3. an  $n$ -quasigroup if the equation  $\omega(a_1^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^n) = b$  has unique solution for every  $a_i^n, b$  and each  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

**Definition 3.** An element  $e$  from  $(G, \omega)$  is called:

1. a  $k$ -identity of  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, \omega)$  if  $\omega(e^{k-1}, x, e^{n-k}) = x$  for every  $x \in G$ .
2. an identity of  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, \omega)$  if  $\omega(e^{i-1}, x, e^{n-i}) = x$  for every  $x \in G$  and each  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

If  $n$ -quasigroup  $(G, \omega)$  contains at least one identity, then  $(G, \omega)$  is called  $n$ -loop.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $I_n P_1$ - $n$ -groupoid and  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  be 1-involutions. Then:

1.  $\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(x_1, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n))$  for all  $x_1^n \in G$ .
2.  $\omega(\omega(y, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)), x_2, \dots, x_n) = y$  for all  $x_2^n, y \in G$ .
3.  $(G, \omega)$  is 1-cancellative .
4. For every  $b, a_2^n \in G$ , the equation  $\omega(y, a_2, \dots, a_n) = b$  has a unique solution.

*Proof.* The proof of the assertion 1 is contained in the proof of Proposition 1. The assertion 2 follows from Proposition 1. Let  $a, b, x_2^n \in G$  and  $\omega(a, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(b, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $a = \omega(\omega(a, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = \omega(\omega(b, x_2, \dots, x_n), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = b$ . The assertion 3 is proved. We consider the equation  $\omega(y, a_2, \dots, a_n) = b$ . Then from Proposition 1 we have  $y = \omega(b, r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n))$ . Hence the equation  $\omega(y, a_2, \dots, a_n) = b$  has a unique solution. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid in the large sense and  $r_i : G \rightarrow G, i = \overline{1, n}$ , are the involutions on  $G$ . Then  $(G, \omega)$  is cancellative.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Proposition 2.  $\square$

Academician M.M. Choban observed the following interesting fact.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid in the large sense and  $r_i : G \rightarrow G, i = \overline{1, n}$ , are the involutions on  $G$ . Then  $x_i = r_i^{2(n-1)}(x_i)$ , for every  $i = \overline{1, n}$  and  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that  $x_1 = r_1^{2(n-1)}(x_1)$  for any  $x_1 \in G$ . Fix  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$ . From Proposition 2 we have  $\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(x_1, r_2^2(x_2), \dots, r_n^2(x_n)) = \omega(r_1^2(x_1), r_2^2(x_2), r_3^4(x_3), \dots, r_n^4(x_n)) = \dots = \omega(r_1^{2i}(x_1), \dots, r_{i+1}^{2i}(x_{i+1}), r_{i+2}^{2(i+1)}(x_{i+2}), \dots, r_n^{2(i+1)}(x_n)) = \dots = \omega(r_1^{2(n-1)}(x_1), r_2^{2(n-1)}(x_2), \dots, r_n^{2(n-1)}(x_n))$ , i.e. It is obvious that  $\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(x_1, r_2^{2m}(x_2), \dots, r_n^{2m}(x_n))$  for any  $m \geq 1$ . Hence for  $m = n - 1$ , we have  $\omega(x_1, r_2^{2(n-1)}(x_2), \dots, r_n^{2(n-1)}(x_n)) = \omega(r_1^{2(n-1)}(x_1), r_2^{2(n-1)}(x_2), \dots, r_n^{2(n-1)}(x_n))$ . Therefore  $x_1 = r_1^{2(n-1)}(x_1)$  for any  $x_1 \in G$  and  $x_i = r_i^{2(n-1)}(x_i)$ , for every  $i = \overline{1, n}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid in the large sense and  $r_i : G \rightarrow G, i = \overline{2, n}$ , are the involutions on  $G$ . If  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in G$ ,  $e_i = r_i^{2m}(e_i)$ , for all  $i = \overline{2, n}$ , then  $x_i = r_i^{2m}(x_i)$ , for every  $x_i \in G$  and  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* From Proposition 2 it follows that  $\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \omega(x_1, r_2^{2m}(x_2), \dots, r_n^{2m}(x_n))$ . Fix  $i = \overline{2, n}$ . Then  $\omega(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, x_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) = \omega(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, r_i^{2m}(x_i), e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n)$ . Hence,  $x_i = r_i^{2m}(x_i)$ , for every  $x_i \in G, i = \overline{2, n}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3 Topologies on algebras

We consider arbitrary topologies on universal algebras. There are a lot of types of bounded topology. We fix  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \leq n$ . Consider a mapping  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We will use Choban's bounded topology.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -groupoid and  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  be a family of subsets of  $G$ . Then:

1. The sets  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  are  $k$ - $\alpha$ -associated with the mapping  $\varphi$  and denote  $(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$  if  $L_i = L_j$  provided  $\varphi(i) = \varphi(j)$  and  $i \neq k, j \neq k$ .
2. If  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$  and  $(\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \dots, \{x_n\})\alpha(k)\varphi$ , then we put  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$ .
3. We put  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n) = \{\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_1 \in L_1, x_2 \in L_2, \dots, x_n \in L_n \text{ and } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\alpha(k)\varphi\}$ .

*Remark 1.* Let  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  be subsets of  $G$ , and  $L'_k = L_k$  and  $L'_i = \bigcap \{L_j : j \leq n, \varphi(j) = \varphi(i)\}$  for any  $i \neq k$ . Then  $(L'_1, L'_2, \dots, L'_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$  and  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L'_1, L'_2, \dots, L'_n) = \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $k \leq n$ . An  $n$ -groupoid  $(G, \omega)$  is called an  $I_\varphi P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid if there exist the mappings  $r_i : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\omega(r_1(x_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(x_{k-1}), \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), r_{k+1}(x_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = y$  provided  $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n, y \in G$ .

We say that the mapping  $r_i : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$  is called  $k$ - $\varphi$ -involution.

If  $\varphi(i) = \varphi(j)$  for all  $i, j \leq n$ , then  $I_\varphi P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid is an  $I_0 P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid.

**Definition 6.** Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -groupoid and  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G$  is called:

- a  $\lambda$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology if for every non-empty open set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  there exists a subset  $K \subseteq G$  such that  $|K| < \lambda$  and  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, U, K^{n-k}) = G$ .
- a  $\lambda$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology if it is  $\lambda$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology for every  $k = \overline{1, n}$ . An  $\omega_0$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology is called a  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology. The topology is said to be  $\varphi$ -totally bounded if it is a  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology for every  $k = \overline{1, n}$ .

*Remark 2.* If in Definition 6 the mapping  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, then a topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G$  is called respectively: a  $\lambda$ - $k$ -bounded topology, a  $\lambda$ -bounded topology, a  $\omega_0$ - $k$ -bounded topology, a  $k$ -totally bounded topology and totally bounded topology, for every  $k = \overline{1, n}$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a mapping,  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -groupoid with the properties:

1. The equation  $\omega(a^{k-1}, x, a^{n-k}) = b$  is solvable for every  $a, b \in G$ .
2. For every  $a, b \in G$  there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in G$  such that  $a_k = a$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$  and  $\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = b$ .

Then the minimal compact  $T_1$ -topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{G \setminus F : F \text{ is a finite subset of } G\}$  is a  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology on  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Then the set  $F = G \setminus U$  is finite. Fix  $a \in U$ . Then  $h_a : G \rightarrow G$ , where  $h_a(x) = \omega(a^{k-1}, x, a^{n-k})$  for any  $x \in G$  is a mapping of  $G$  onto  $G$ . Thus  $F' = G \setminus h_a(U) \subseteq h_a(F)$  is a finite set. For any  $x \in G$  there exist

$y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x) \in G$  such that  $y_k(x) = a$ ,  $(y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x))\alpha(k)\varphi$  and  $\omega(y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x)) = x$ . We put  $\Phi = \{a\} \cup \{\{y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x)\} : x \in F'\}$ . The set  $\Phi$  is finite. By construction,  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(\Phi^{k-1}, U, \Phi^{n-k}) = G$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a mapping,  $(G, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -groupoid with the properties:*

1. *For every  $a, b \in G$  there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in G$  such that  $a_k = a$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)\alpha(k)\varphi$  and  $\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = b$ .*
2. *There exists  $e \in G$  such that  $G \setminus \omega(e^{k-1}, G, e^{n-k})$  is a finite set (in particular,  $\omega(e^{k-1}, x, e^{n-k}) = x$  for every  $x \in G$ ).*

*Then the minimal compact  $T_1$ -topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{G \setminus F : F \text{ is a finite subset of } G\}$  is a  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Then the set  $F = G \setminus U$  is finite. Fix  $a \in U$ . Consider the mapping  $h_e : G \rightarrow G$ , where  $h_e(x) = \omega(e^{k-1}, x, e^{n-k})$  for any  $x \in G$ . The set  $G \setminus h_e(G)$  is finite. Thus the set  $F' = G \setminus h_e(U) \subseteq (G \setminus h_e(G)) \cup h_e(F)$  is a finite set. For any  $x \in F'$  fix  $\{y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x)\} \subseteq G$  such that  $y_k(x) = a$ ,  $(y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x))\alpha(k)\varphi$  and  $\omega(y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x)) = x$ . Let  $\Phi = \{e\} \cup \{\{y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x)\} : x \in F'\}$ . The set  $\Phi$  is finite. By construction,  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(\Phi^{k-1}, U, \Phi^{n-k}) = G$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a mapping,  $(G, \omega)$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $B \subseteq G$ ,  $m$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for every subset  $K$  of cardinality  $|K| < m$ . Then the set  $B$  is dense in every  $m$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $m$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology on  $G$  and  $U = G \setminus cl_G B \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \subseteq G \setminus B$ . By assumption there exists a subset  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, U, K^{n-k}) = G$  and  $|K| < m$ . Since  $U \subseteq G \setminus B$ , we have  $G \supseteq \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B, K^{n-k}) \supseteq \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, U, K^{n-k}) = G$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4 Decomposition of $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoids

We fix  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \leq n$ . Consider a mapping  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  be  $k$ -involutions,  $L$  and  $M$  be subsets of  $G$  and  $|L \cup M| < |G|$ . Then there exists an element  $a \in G$  such that  $\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$  and  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H = \{\omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), x, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) : x \in M, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n \in L\}$ . Thus  $|H| < |G|$  and there exists an element  $a \in G \setminus H$ .



Suppose that  $\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M$ . Then  $x = \omega(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, a, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$  for some  $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n \in L$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), \omega(y_1^{k-1}, a, y_{k+1}^n), r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) = \\ &= \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), x, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \in \\ &\in \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), M, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \subseteq H, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. By construction,  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, M, L^{n-k}) \subseteq \omega(L^{k-1}, M, L^{n-k})$ . Hence,  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\mathcal{L}$  be a non-empty family of non-empty subsets of  $G$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}| \leq |G|$  and for every set  $A$  and mapping  $\Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  we have  $|\cup\{\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}| < |G|$  provided  $|A| < |G|$ . Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in M$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ .
5.  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Consider on  $G$  some  $k$ -involutions,  $r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$ . Let  $\tau = |G|$ . Denote by  $|\alpha|$  the cardinality of the ordinal number  $\alpha$ . We put  $\Omega_\tau = \{\alpha : 1 \leq |\alpha| < \tau\}$ . If  $K \subseteq G$ , then  $K_i^{-1} = \{r_i(x_i) : x_i \in K\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n$ , and  $K^{-1} = \cup\{K_i^{-1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_\infty = \{K^{-1} : K \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \mathcal{L}$ . It is clear that  $|\mathcal{L}_1| \leq \tau$ . Moreover, if  $A$  is a set,  $|A| < \tau$  and  $\Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  is a mapping, then  $|\cup\{\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}| < \tau$ . Fix a set  $M$  of the cardinality  $\tau$ . Since  $|\Omega_\tau| = |M \times \mathcal{L}_1| = \tau$  then there exists a bijection  $h : \Omega_\tau \rightarrow M \times \mathcal{L}_1$ . If  $\alpha \in \Omega_\tau$ , then we consider that  $h(\alpha) = (\mu_\alpha, K_\alpha) \in M \times \mathcal{L}_1$ . If  $\mu \in M$ , then we put  $A_\mu = h^{-1}(\{\mu\} \times \mathcal{L}_1)$ . It is obvious that  $A_\mu = \{\alpha \in \Omega_\tau : \mu_\alpha = \mu\}$  and  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\mu\} = \mathcal{L}_1$ . Now we affirm that there exists a transfinite sequence  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \Omega_\tau\} \subseteq G$  such that  $\omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) \cap \omega(K_\beta^{k-1}, a_\beta, K_\beta^{n-k}) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_\tau$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . We fix  $a_1 \in G$ . Let  $1 < \beta, \beta \in \Omega_\tau$  and the elements  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}$  are constructed. We put now  $H_\beta = \cup\{\omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) : \alpha < \beta\}$ . Since  $|\alpha \in \Omega_\tau : \alpha < \beta| \leq |\beta| < |G|$ , then  $|H_\beta| < |G|$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that there exists  $a_\beta \in G$  such that  $\omega(K_\beta^{k-1}, a_\beta, K_\beta^{n-k}) \cap H_\beta = \emptyset$ . By the transfinite induction it follows that the set  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \Omega_\tau\}$  is constructed. We put  $P_\mu = \cup\{\omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) : \alpha \in A_\mu\}$  for every  $\mu \in H$ . Fix  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ . Then  $A_\mu \cap A_\eta = \emptyset$ . Since  $\omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) \cap \omega(K_\beta^{k-1}, a_\beta, K_\beta^{n-k}) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in A_\mu$  and  $\beta \in A_\eta$ , then  $P_\mu \cap P_\eta = \emptyset$ . Fix  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $K^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $(\mu, K^{-1}) = (\mu_\alpha, K_\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in A_\mu$ . Suppose that  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu, K^{n-k}) = G$ . Then  $a_\alpha \in \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu, K^{n-k})$ , i.e.  $a_\alpha = \omega(y_1^{k-1}, x, y_{k+1}^n)$  for some  $x \in G \setminus P_\mu$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n \in K$ . By construction, we have  $r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n) \in K_\alpha$  and

$\omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), a_\alpha, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) \in \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) \subseteq P_\mu$ . By assumption, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), a_\alpha, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = \\ & = \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), \omega(y_1^{k-1}, x, y_{k+1}^{n-k}), r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) = x \in G \setminus P_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . Now we fix  $\mu_0 \in M$ . We put  $B_\mu = P_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M \setminus \{\mu_0\}$  and  $B_{\mu_0} = G \setminus \cup\{P_\mu : \mu \in M \setminus \{\mu_0\}\}$ . By construction, we have  $P_\mu \subseteq B_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ . If  $\mu \in M$ , then  $G \setminus B_\mu \subseteq G \setminus P_\mu$  and  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(G, \omega)$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\tau = |G|$ ,  $m$  be an infinite cardinal,  $\tau = \sum\{\tau^q : q < m\}$  and either  $m < \tau$ , or  $\tau$  be a regular cardinal. If  $\mathcal{L}_m = \{K \subseteq G : |K| < m\}$ , then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = \tau$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .
5.  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .
6. The sets  $B_\mu$  are dense in every  $m$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $m$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $B_\mu$  are dense in every  $m$ - $k$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every  $m$ - $k$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.

*Proof.* Since  $\tau = \sum\{\tau^q : q < m\}$ , we have  $m \leq \tau$ . Let  $A$  be a set,  $|A| < \tau$ ,  $\Psi : A \rightarrow L_m$  be a mapping and  $H = \cup\{\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ . If  $m < \tau$ , then  $|H| \leq \omega(m, \dots, m, |A|, m, \dots, m) = \omega(m^{k-1}, |A|, m^{n-k}) < \tau$ . If  $m = \tau$  and  $|H| = \tau$ , then  $cf(\tau) \leq |A| < \tau$  and the cardinal  $\tau$  is not regular. Hence  $|H| < \tau$ . Theorem 1 and Proposition 7 complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid. Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $G$ .
5.  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $G$ .
6. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $k$ - $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $k$ -totally bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every  $k$ -totally bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P_k$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\tau = |G|$ ,  $m$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\tau^m = \tau$ . Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4. If  $\mu \in M$ ,  $K \subseteq G$  and  $|K| < m$  then  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$ .
5. If  $\mu \in M$ ,  $K \subseteq G$  and  $|K| < m$  then  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$ .
6. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $m^+$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $m^+$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $m^+$ - $k$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every  $m^+$ - $k$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.

## 5 Decomposition of $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoids

We fix  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \leq n$ . Consider a mapping  $\varphi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P$ -groupoid,  $r_1, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$  be involutions,  $L$  and  $M$  be subsets of  $G$  and  $|L \cup M| < |G|$ . Then there exists an element  $a \in G$  such that:*

1.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ , where  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) = \omega(a, L^{n-1}) \cup \omega(L^1, a, L^{n-2}) \cup \dots \cup \omega(L^{n-1}, a)$ .
2.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ , where  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) = \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(a, L^{n-1}) \cup \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^1, a, L^{n-2}) \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{n-1}, a)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H = \{\omega(x, r_2(y_2), \dots, r_n(y_n)) : x \in M, y_2, \dots, y_n \in L\} \cup \{\omega(r_1(y_1), x, r_3(y_3), \dots, r_n(y_n)) : x \in M, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n \in L\} \cup \dots \cup \{\omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{n-1}(y_{n-1}), x) : x \in M, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in L\}$ . Since  $|H| < |G|$ , then there exists an element  $a \in G \setminus H$ . Let  $\omega(a, L, \dots, L) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $x \in \omega(a, L, \dots, L) \cap M$ . Then  $x = \omega(a, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  for some  $y_2, \dots, y_n \in L$ . Hence  $a = \omega(\omega(a, y_2, \dots, y_n), r_2(y_2), \dots, r_n(y_n)) = \omega(x, r_2(y_2), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \in \omega(M, r_2(y_2), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \leq H$ , a contradiction. In similar way we prove that  $\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$  for all  $k = \overline{1, n}$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ . By construction,  $\Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \subseteq \omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k})$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(L^{k-1}, a, L^{n-k}) \cap M = \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\mathcal{L}$  be a non-empty family of non-empty subsets of  $G$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}| \leq |G|$  and for every set  $A$  and mapping  $\Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  we have  $|\cup\{\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}| < |G|$  provided  $|A| < |G|$ . Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in M$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ .
5.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)}\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Consider on  $G$  involutions,  $r_1, \dots, r_n : G \rightarrow G$ . Let  $\tau = |G|$ . Denote by  $|\alpha|$  the cardinality of the ordinal number  $\alpha$ . We put  $\Omega_\tau = \{\alpha : 1 \leq |\alpha| < \tau\}$ . If  $K \subseteq G$ , then  $K_i^{-1} = \{r_i(x_i) : i = \overline{1, n}, x_i \in K\}$ . We put  $K^{-1} = \cup K_i^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{K^{-1} : K \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \mathcal{L}$ . It is clear that  $|\mathcal{L}_1| \leq \tau$ . Moreover, if  $A$  is a set,  $|A| < \tau$  and  $\Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  is a mapping, then  $|\cup\{\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}| < \tau$ . Fix a set  $M$  of the cardinality  $\tau$ . Since  $|\Omega_\tau| = |M \times \mathcal{L}_1| = \tau$ , then there exists a bijection  $h : \Omega_\tau \rightarrow M \times \mathcal{L}_1$ . Let  $A_\mu = h^{-1}(\{\mu\} \times \mathcal{L}_1) = \{\alpha \in \Omega_\tau : \mu_\alpha = \mu\}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Omega_\tau$ , then we consider that  $h(\alpha) = (\mu_\alpha, K_\alpha) \in M \times \mathcal{L}_1$ . It is obvious that  $A_\mu = \{\alpha \in \Omega_\tau : \mu_\alpha = \mu\}$  and  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\mu\} = \mathcal{L}_1$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 from Lemma 2 it follows that there exists a transfinite sequence  $\{a_\alpha \in G : \alpha \in \Omega_\tau\}$  such that  $(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k})) \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K_\beta^{k-1}, a_\beta, K_\beta^{n-k})) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_\tau$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Now we put  $P_\mu = \cup\{\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) : \alpha \in A_\mu\}$  for every  $\mu \in M$ . If  $P_\mu^k = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) : \alpha \in A_\mu$  for all  $k = \overline{1, n}$ , then  $P_\mu = \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_\mu^k$  and  $\omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu^k, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mu \in M$  and  $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu^k, K^{n-k})$ . For some  $\alpha \in A_\mu$  we have  $K_\alpha = \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i^{-1} = K^{-1}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) \subseteq P_\mu$  and  $a_\alpha \in G$ . Suppose that  $a_\alpha \in \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu^k, K^{n-k})$ . Then  $a_\alpha = \omega(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, x, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$  for some  $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n \in K$  and  $x \in G \setminus P_\mu^k$ . Therefore  $\omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), a_\alpha, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) = \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), \omega(y_1^{k-1}, x, y_{k+1}^{n-k}), r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) = x \in G \setminus P_\mu^k$ . Since  $r_i(y_i \in K_\alpha)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , we have  $x = \omega(r_1(y_1), \dots, r_{k-1}(y_{k-1}), a_\alpha, r_{k+1}(y_{k+1}), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \in \omega(K_\alpha^{k-1}, a_\alpha, K_\alpha^{n-k}) \subseteq P_\mu$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus P_\mu^k, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . Now we fix  $\mu_0 \in M$ . We put  $B_\mu = P_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M \setminus \{\mu_0\}$  and  $B_{\mu_0} = G \setminus \cup\{P_\mu : \mu \in M \setminus \{\mu_0\}\}$ . By construction, we have  $P_\mu \subseteq B_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in H\}$ . If  $\mu \in M$ , then  $G \setminus B_\mu \subseteq G \setminus P_\mu$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(G)$  be an infinite  $I_n P$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\tau = |G|$ ,  $m$  be an infinite cardinal,  $\tau = \sum\{\tau^q : q < m\}$  and either  $m < \tau$ , or  $\tau$  be a regular cardinal. If  $\mathcal{L}_m = \{K \subseteq G : |K| < m\}$ , then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:

1.  $|M| = \tau$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .
5.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)} \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .
6. The sets  $B_\mu$  are dense in every  $m$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $m$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology  $T$  on  $G$  the space  $(G, T)$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $B_\mu$  are dense in every  $m$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every  $m$ -bounded topology  $T$  on  $G$  the space  $(G, T)$  is super-resolvable.

*Proof.* Is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid. Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $G$ .
5.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)} \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$  for all  $\mu \in M$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $G$ .
6. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $\varphi$ -totally bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every totally bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every totally bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite  $I_nP$ - $n$ -groupoid,  $\tau = |G|$ ,  $m$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\tau^m = \tau$ . Then there exists a family  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $G$  such that:*

1.  $|M| = |G|$ .
2.  $B_\mu \cap B_\eta = \emptyset$  for all  $\mu, \eta \in M$  and  $\mu \neq \eta$ .
3.  $G = \cup\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ .
4. If  $\mu \in M$ ,  $K \subseteq G$  and  $|K| \leq m$  then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$ .
5. If  $\mu \in M$ ,  $K \subseteq G$  and  $|K| \leq m$  then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_{\varphi(k)} \omega(K^{k-1}, G \setminus B_\mu, K^{n-k}) \neq G$ .
6. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $m^+$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
7. Relative to every  $m^+$ - $k$ - $\varphi$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.
8. The sets  $\{B_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  are dense in every  $m^+$ - $k$ -bounded topology on  $G$ .
9. Relative to every  $m^+$ - $k$ -bounded topology  $G$  is super-resolvable.

**Acknowledgements.** I express my deepest gratitude to Academician M.M. Choban. Conversations with him inspired the author to write the present article.

## References

- [1] BELOUSOV V.D. *Foundation of the theory of quasigroups and loops*. Moscow, Nauka 1967 (in Russian).
- [2] BELYAVSKAIA G.B. *The left, right, middle nucleous and center of quasigroup*. Chisinau, Stiinta, 1988 (in Russian).
- [3] BIRKHOFF G. *Lattice Theory*, New York, 1967.
- [4] BRUCK R.H. *A survey of binary systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958.

- [5] COMFORT W.W., VAN MILL. *Groups with only resolvable group topologies*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, **120**, No. 3, 687–696.
- [6] CHOBAN M., CHIRIAC L. *Decomposition of some algebras with topologies and their resolvability*. Buletinul AS a Republica Moldova, Matematica, 2001, No. 3(37), 27–37.
- [7] ENGELKING R. *General topology*. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [8] GRATZER G. *Universal algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] PROTASOV I.V. *Resolvability of  $\tau$  bounded groups*. Matematychni Studii, 1995, **5**, 17–20.

Department of Mathematics  
Tiraspol State University  
str. Gh.Iablocichin 5, MD-2069 Chisinau  
Moldova  
E-mail: *lchiriac@gmail.com*

*Received April 25, 2008*