Quotient rings of pseudonormed rings

S.A. Aleschenko, V.I. Arnautov

Abstract. The present article is devoted to the study of the connection between the restriction of a pseudonorm of a pseudonormed ring on various subrings and the pseudonorm of quotient ring. The basic results of this article were announced in [2].

Mathematics subject classification: 16W60, 13A18. Keywords and phrases: Pseudonorm, pseudonormed ring, isometric homomorphism, semi-isometric isomorphism, subring, quotient ring.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definition. A real function ξ on a ring R is called a pseudonorm if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $\xi(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in R$;
- 2. $\xi(x) = 0$ iff x = 0;
- 3. $\xi(x-y) \leq \xi(x) + \xi(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$;
- 4. $\xi(x \cdot y) \leq \xi(x) \cdot \xi(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

1.2 Remark. The condition 3 is equivalent to the following conditions: $\xi(x+y) \leq \xi(x) + \xi(y)$ and $\xi(-x) = \xi(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

1.3 Definition. The pseudonorm ξ is called a norm if the condition $\xi(x \cdot y) = \xi(x) \cdot \xi(y)$ is satisfied for all $x, y \in R$.

1.4 Remark. It is clear that any pseudonorm ξ defines some separated topology on a ring R. However, the same topology can be defined by various pseudonorms.

1.5 Definition. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings. A homomorphism $\varphi : R \to \bar{R}$ is called an isometric homomorphism if $\bar{\xi}(\varphi(x)) = \inf\{\xi(x+a) \mid a \in \in Ker\varphi\}$ for all $x \in R$.

If φ is also an isomorphism then the concept of isometric homomorphism coincides with the concept of isometric isomorphism in usual sense.

The following isomorphism theorem is frequently applied in algebra.

1.6 Theorem. Let R be a ring and B be a subring of the ring R. If N is an ideal of the ring R then the quotient rings $B/(B \cap N)$ and (B + N)/N are isomorphic.

1.7 Remark. In particular, if the condition $B \cap N = \{0\}$ is satisfied in the theorem 1.6 then the rings B and (B + N)/N are isomorphic.

[©] S.A. Aleschenko, V.I. Arnautov, 2006

1.8 Remark. Let (R,ξ) be a topological or pseudonormed ring. In order to formulate analogues of this theorem it is natural to demand that the isomorphism preserves the topology or the pseudonorm, respectively. So:

- if ξ is a topology then the isomorphism should be a homeomorphism;

– if ξ is a norm or a pseudonorm then the isomorphism should be an isometric isomorphism.

Therefore situation is more difficult in this case.

First, it is necessary to define the corresponding structure $\bar{\xi}$ (the topology or the pseudonorm, respectively) on the quotient ring R/A.

We shall consider one of the most natural definitions of $\overline{\xi}$ for the topology or the pseudonorm ξ .

A. If ξ is a topology then the topology $\overline{\xi}$ is defined by $\overline{\xi} = \sup\{\tau \mid \tau \text{ is a ring topology on } R/A \text{ and the canonical homomorphism } f_A : (R, \xi) \to (R/A, \tau) \text{ is a continuous homomorphism } in topological algebra.$

In this case $f_A : (R,\xi) \to (R/A,\bar{\xi})$ is a surjective, continuous and open homomorphism. Such homomorphisms are called topological homomorphisms.

B. If ξ is a pseudonorm then the pseudonorm $\overline{\xi}$ is defined by the equality $\overline{\xi}(x+A) = \inf\{\xi(x+a) \mid a \in A\}$ in the theory of the normed rings, i.e. the canonical homomorphism $f_A : (R,\xi) \to (R/A,\overline{\xi})$ is an isometric homomorphism (see Definition 1.5).

If ξ is a topology or a pseudonorm then the ring $(R/A, \overline{\xi})$ is designated by $(R, \xi)/A$ hereinafter.

Second, theorem 1.6 is not always true for the above mentioned topology or the pseudonorm on the quotient rings R/A.

This article is devoted to the study of analogues of Theorem 1.6 for pseudonormed rings. (Analogues of Theorem 1.6 for topological rings have been investigated in [1]).

1.9 Remark. If (R, ξ) and $(\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ are the pseudonormed rings, $f : (R, \xi) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ is a surjection and an isometric homomorphism then the mapping $\tilde{f} : (R, \xi)/(Kerf) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ defined by the equality $\tilde{f}(r + Kerf) = f(r)$ is an isometric isomorphism.

1.10 Remark. The topology or the pseudonorm $\overline{\xi}$ defined above on the quotient ring R/A is a separated topology or a separated pseudonorm if and only if A is a closed ideal in the topological ring (R, ξ) or (R, τ_{ξ}) , respectively.

If ξ is a pseudonorm then the topology $\tau_{\bar{\xi}}$ coincides with the topology on the topological ring $(R, \tau_{\xi})/A$.

2 Basic results

2.1 Theorem. Let (R,ξ) and $(\tilde{R},\tilde{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings, $\varphi: R \mapsto \tilde{R}$ be a ring isomorphism. The inequality $\tilde{\xi}(\varphi(x)) \leq \xi(x)$ is satisfied for all $x \in R$ iff there exists:

5

- A pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$ such that the pseudonormed ring (R, ξ) is a subring of the pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$;

- An isometric homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ such that $\hat{\varphi}$ is an extension of the isomorphism φ , i.e. $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ and $\tilde{\xi}(\varphi(x)) = \inf \left\{ \hat{\xi}(x+a) \mid a \in Ker\hat{\varphi} \right\}$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. Necessity. Let the inequality $\tilde{\xi}(\varphi(x)) \leq \xi(x)$ be valid for all $x \in R$. We shall consider the ring \hat{R} which is the direct product of rings R and \tilde{R} , i.e. $\hat{R} = \left\{ \hat{r} = \left(a, \tilde{b}\right) \mid a \in R, \ \tilde{b} \in \tilde{R} \right\}$ is a ring with operations of addition $\hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_2 = \left(a_1 + a_2, \tilde{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_2\right)$ and multiplication $\hat{r}_1 \cdot \hat{r}_2 = \left(a_1 \cdot a_2, \ \tilde{b}_1 \cdot \tilde{b}_2\right)$, where $\hat{r}_1 = \left(a_1, \tilde{b}_1\right)$ and $\hat{r}_2 = \left(a_2, \tilde{b}_2\right)$.

Let's define the pseudonorm $\hat{\xi}$ on the ring \hat{R} as follows: $\hat{\xi}(\hat{r}) = \max \left\{ \xi(a), \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{b}) \right\}$, where $\hat{r} = (a, \tilde{b})$. It is clear that the function $\hat{\xi}$ satisfies the axioms of pseudonorm.

Let's consider the subring $R' = \{ a' = (a, \varphi(a)) \mid a \in R \}$ of the ring \hat{R} . It follows from the inequality $\tilde{\xi}(\varphi(a)) \leq \xi(a)$ that

$$\xi'(a') = \hat{\xi}((a,\varphi(a))) = \max\left\{\xi(a), \tilde{\xi}(\varphi(a))\right\} = \xi(a).$$

If we put in correspondence to an element $a \in R$ the element $(a, \varphi(a)) \in R'$ then the mapping defined by this rule is an isometric isomorphism of the pseudonormed rings (R,ξ) and (R',ξ') . Therefore we shall identify any element $a \in R$ with the element $(a,\varphi(a)) \in R'$. Hence, we shall not distinguish the pseudonormed rings (R,ξ) and (R',ξ') , i.e. we can assume that the pseudonormed ring (R,ξ) is a subring of the pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R},\hat{\xi})$.

We shall consider as mapping $\hat{\varphi} : (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ the mapping defined by the equality $\hat{\varphi}((a, \tilde{b})) = \tilde{b}$. Then $\hat{\varphi}(a) = \hat{\varphi}((a, \varphi(a))) = \varphi(a)$ for any $a \in R$, i.e. the mapping $\hat{\varphi}$ is an extension of the isomorphism φ .

mapping $\hat{\varphi}$ is an extension of the isomorphism φ . Then $Ker\hat{\varphi} = \left\{ \hat{r} \in \hat{R} \mid \hat{\varphi}(\hat{r}) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \left(a, \tilde{b}\right) \in \hat{R} \mid \tilde{b} = 0 \right\} = \{ (a, 0) \mid a \in R \}$ is an ideal of the ring \hat{R} and

$$\begin{split} &\inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+\hat{a}\right)\mid\hat{a}\in Ker\hat{\varphi}\right\}=\inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\left(r,\tilde{r}\right)+\left(a,0\right)\right)\mid a\in R\right\}=\\ &=\inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\left(r+a,\tilde{r}\right)\right)\mid a\in R\right\}=\inf_{a\in R}\left\{\max\left\{\xi\left(r+a\right),\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)\right\}\right\}\leqslant\\ &\leqslant\max\left\{\xi\left(0\right),\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)\right\}=\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)=\tilde{\xi}\left(\hat{\varphi}\left(\left(r,\tilde{r}\right)\right)\right)=\tilde{\xi}\left(\hat{\varphi}\left(\hat{r}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{\xi}\left(\hat{\varphi}\left(\hat{r}\right)\right) \ge \inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+\hat{a}\right) \mid \hat{a} \in Ker\hat{\varphi}\right\}.$$
(1)

On the other hand, for any $a \in Ker\varphi$ and $\hat{r} = (r, \tilde{r}) \in \hat{R}$ the inequality

$$\max\left\{ \xi\left(r+a\right),\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)\right\} \geqslant \tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)$$

also takes place.

The set $\left\{ \max \left\{ \xi \left(r+a\right), \tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right) \right\} | a \in \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\varphi} \right\}$ is bounded below by the number $\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)$, therefore $\inf \left\{ \max \left\{ \xi \left(r+a\right), \tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right) \right\} | a \in \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\varphi} \right\} \ge \tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}\right)$. We have $\inf \left\{ \hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+\hat{a}\right) | \hat{a} \in \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\varphi} \right\} = \inf \left\{ \hat{\xi}\left(\left(r+a,\tilde{r}\right)\right) | a \in R \right\} =$

$$= \inf_{a \in Ker\hat{\varphi}} \left\{ \max \left\{ \xi \left(r+a \right), \tilde{\xi} \left(\tilde{r} \right) \right\} \right\} \ge \tilde{\xi} \left(\tilde{r} \right) = \tilde{\xi} \left(\hat{\varphi} \left(\hat{r} \right) \right)$$

Hence,

$$\inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+\hat{a}\right) \mid \hat{a} \in Ker\hat{\varphi}\right\} \geqslant \tilde{\xi}\left(\hat{\varphi}\left(\hat{r}\right)\right).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

From inequalities (1) and (2) we shall receive the required equality:

$$\tilde{\xi}\left(\hat{\varphi}\left(\hat{r}\right)\right) = \inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+\hat{a}\right) \mid \hat{a} \in Ker\hat{\varphi}\right\},\tag{3}$$

i.e. $\hat{\varphi}: (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ is an isometric homomorphism.

Sufficiency. Let $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$ be a pseudonormed ring and $\hat{\varphi} : (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ be an isometric homomorphism such that the pseudonormed ring (R, ξ) is a subring of the pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$ and the homomorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ is an extension of the isomorphism φ . Then

$$\xi(x) = \hat{\xi}(x) \ge \inf \left\{ \hat{\xi}(x+a) \mid a \in Ker\hat{\varphi} \right\} = \tilde{\xi}(\varphi(x)),$$

i.e. the inequality $\tilde{\xi}(\varphi(x)) \leq \xi(x)$ is valid for any $x \in R$.

The theorem is proved.

2.2 Definition. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings. An isomorphism $f: R \to \bar{R}$ is said to be a semi-isometric isomorphism if there exists a pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R},\hat{\xi})$ such that the following conditions are valid:

- the ring R is an ideal in the ring \hat{R} ;

$$-\hat{\xi}|_{\mathbf{D}} = \xi;$$

- the isomorphism f can be extended up to an isometric homomorphism $\hat{f}: (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ of the pseudonormed rings, i.e.

$$\bar{\xi}\left(\hat{f}\left(\hat{r}\right)\right) = \inf\left\{\left.\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+i\right) \; \middle| \; i \in Ker\hat{f}\right.\right\} \text{ for all } \hat{r} \in \hat{R}.$$

2.3 Theorem. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings and $f: R \to \bar{R}$ be a ring isomorphism. Then the following statements are equivalent:

I. The isomorphism $f: (R,\xi) \to (\overline{R},\xi)$ is a semi-isometric isomorphism of the pseudonormed rings;

 $II. \ \frac{\xi(a \cdot b)}{\xi(b)} \leqslant \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leqslant \xi(a) \quad and \quad \frac{\xi(b \cdot a)}{\xi(b)} \leqslant \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leqslant \xi(a) \quad for \ any \ a \in R$ and $b \in R \setminus \{0\};$

III. There exist a pseudonormed ring $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ and a homomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{R} \to \bar{R}$ such that:

a) R is an ideal in the ring \tilde{R} , $\tilde{\xi}\Big|_{R} = \xi$ and $\tilde{f}\Big|_{R} = f$;

b) $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \min\left\{\tilde{\xi}(r+a) \mid a \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\}$ for every $r \in R$, i.e. for every $r \in R$ there exists an element $a_r \in Ker\tilde{f}$ such that $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \tilde{\xi}(r+a_r)$.

Proof $I \Rightarrow II$.

1. Let $f : (R,\xi) \to (\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be a semi-isometric isomorphism. Then it follows from Definition 2.2 that there exist a pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R},\hat{\xi})$ and an isometric homomormism $\hat{f} : (\hat{R},\hat{\xi}) \to (\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ such that R is an ideal of the ring $\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}|_{R} = \xi$ and $\hat{f}|_{R} = f$.

Since \hat{f} is an isometric homomorphism then $\bar{\xi}(\hat{f}(\hat{r})) = \inf\{\hat{\xi}(\hat{r}+i) \mid i \in Ker\hat{f}\}$ for any $\hat{r} \in \hat{R}$. It means that this equality is valid also for $r \in R$, i.e.

$$\bar{\xi}\left(\hat{f}\left(r\right)\right) = \inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(r+i\right) \mid i \in Ker\hat{f}\right\}.$$

Since $\hat{\xi}\Big|_{R} = \xi$ and $\hat{f}\Big|_{R} = f$ then we have

$$\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right) = \bar{\xi}\left(\hat{f}\left(r\right)\right) = \inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(r+i\right) \middle| i \in \operatorname{Ker}\hat{f}\right\} \leqslant \hat{\xi}\left(r+0\right) = \hat{\xi}\left(r\right) = \xi\left(r\right).$$

Thus the inequality $\overline{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \xi(r)$ is valid for any $r \in R$.

2. Let's show in the beginning that $R \cap Ker\hat{f} = \{0\}$. Since $\hat{f}\Big|_R = f$ and $f : R \to \overline{R}$ is a ring isomorphism then $R \cap Ker\hat{f} = \{i \in R \mid \hat{f}(i) = 0\} = \{i \in R \mid f(i) = 0\} = \{0\}.$

3. Let's verify the inequality $\frac{\xi(r \cdot a)}{\xi(a)} \leq \overline{\xi}(f(r))$ for any $r \in R$, $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Let $j \in Ker\hat{f}$ and $\hat{r} = r + j \in \hat{R}$. Then $\hat{r} \cdot a = (r + j) \cdot a = r \cdot a + j \cdot a$.

Since $R \cap Ker\hat{f} = \{0\}$ then $(a \cdot j) \in R \cap Ker\hat{f} = \{0\}$. It means that $\hat{r} \cdot a = r \cdot a + 0 = r \cdot a \in R$. Then

$$\xi(r \cdot a) = \hat{\xi}(r \cdot a) = \hat{\xi}(\hat{r} \cdot a) \leqslant \hat{\xi}(\hat{r}) \cdot \hat{\xi}(a) = \hat{\xi}(\hat{r}) \cdot \xi(a) = \hat{\xi}(r+j) \cdot \xi(a)$$

Hence

$$\frac{\xi(r \cdot a)}{\xi(a)} \leqslant \hat{\xi}(r+j) \text{ for any } j \in Ker\hat{f}.$$

The set $\left\{ \hat{\xi}(r+j) \mid j \in Ker\hat{f} \right\}$ is bounded below by the number $\frac{\xi(r \cdot a)}{\xi(a)}$. It means that the number $\frac{\xi(r \cdot a)}{\xi(a)}$ is one of the lower bounds of that set. Therefore

$$\frac{\xi\left(r\cdot a\right)}{\xi\left(a\right)} \leqslant \inf\left\{ \left. \hat{\xi}\left(r+i\right) \right. \left| \left. i \in Ker\hat{f} \right. \right\} = \bar{\xi}\left(\hat{f}\left(r\right)\right) = \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right).\right.$$

The inequality $\frac{\xi(a \cdot r)}{\xi(a)} \leq \bar{\xi}(\hat{f}(r)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r))$ is similarly proved.

Hence $I \Rightarrow II$ is proved.

Proof $II \Rightarrow III$.

Let the mapping $f: (R,\xi) \to (\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ possesses the following properties:

f is an isomorphism;

$$\bar{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a) \text{ for any } a \in R; \\
\frac{\xi(a \cdot b)}{\xi(b)} \leq \bar{\xi}(f(a)) \text{ and } \frac{\xi(b \cdot a)}{\xi(b)} \leq \bar{\xi}(f(a)) \text{ for any } a \in R \text{ and } b \in R \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let's prove that the statement III is valid.

Let's consider the ring $\tilde{R} = R \oplus \bar{R} = \{ (r, \bar{r}) \mid r \in R, \bar{r} \in \bar{R} \}$ which is the direct sum of the rings R and \bar{R} . Let's define the real-valued function $\tilde{\xi}$ on \tilde{R} as follows:

$$\tilde{\xi}\left((r,\bar{r})\right) = \xi\left(r - f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right) + \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right).$$

Let's define the mapping $\tilde{f}: \left(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi}\right) \to \left(\bar{R}, \bar{\xi}\right)$ by the equality $\tilde{f}((r, \bar{r})) = f(r)$.

1. Let's show that ξ is a pseudonorm on the ring \tilde{R} .

1.1. It is obvious that $\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r})) \ge 0$ for all $r \in R$ and $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$ because the pseudonorms ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ accept non-negative values, i.e. the condition 1 of the definition of a pseudonorm is valid.

1.2. Since
$$\xi(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$$
 and $\bar{\xi}(\bar{y}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bar{y} = 0$ then $\bar{\xi}((r,\bar{r})) = 0$
 $= 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \xi(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})) = 0 \\ \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}) = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} r - f^{-1}(\bar{r}) = 0 \\ \bar{r} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} r = 0 \\ \bar{r} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow (r, \bar{r}) = 0.$

Thus, the condition 2 of the definition of a pseudonorm is valid, i.e. $\tilde{\xi}((r, \bar{r})) = 0$ iff $(r, \bar{r}) = 0$. 1.3. Since the inequalities $\xi(x_1 - x_2) \leq \xi(x_1) + \xi(x_2)$ and $\overline{\xi}(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2) \leq \overline{\xi}(\overline{y}_1) + \overline{\xi}(\overline{y}_2)$ are valid for any $x_1, x_2 \in R$ and $\overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2 \in \overline{R}$ then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\xi} \left((r-q,\bar{r}-\bar{q}) \right) &= \xi \left(r-q-f^{-1} \left(\bar{r}-\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r}-\bar{q} \right) = \\ &= \xi \left(r-q-f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) + f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r}-\bar{q} \right) = \\ &= \xi \left(\left(r-f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) - \left(q-f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r}-\bar{q} \right) \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \xi \left(r-f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \xi \left(q-f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) = \\ &= \left(\xi \left(r-f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \left(\xi \left(q-f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) = \tilde{\xi} \left((r,\bar{r}) \right) + \tilde{\xi} \left((q,\bar{q}) \right) \end{split}$$

We have shown that the condition 3 of the definition of a pseudonorm is valid, i.e. $\tilde{\xi}((r-q,\bar{r}-\bar{q})) \leq \tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r})) + \tilde{\xi}((q,\bar{q}))$ for all $r, q \in R$ and $\bar{r}, \bar{q} \in \bar{R}$.

1.4. Let's verify the inequality $\tilde{\xi}((r \cdot q, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{q})) \leq \tilde{\xi}((r, \bar{r})) \cdot \tilde{\xi}((q, \bar{q}))$ for any $r, q \in R$ and $\bar{r}, \bar{q} \in \bar{R}$.

Really,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\xi} \left((r \cdot q, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{q}) \right) &= \xi \left(r \cdot q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) = \\ &= \xi \left(r \cdot q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \cdot f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) = \\ &= \xi \left(\left(r \cdot q - r \cdot f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \left(r \cdot f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \cdot f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) . \end{split}$$

Since the inequality $\xi(x_1 + x_2) \leq \xi(x_1) + \xi(x_2)$ is valid for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\begin{split} \xi \left(\left(r \cdot q - r \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) + \left(r \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) - f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right) \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) \leqslant \\ \leqslant \xi \left(r \cdot q - r \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) + \xi \left(r \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) - f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right) \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) = \\ = \xi \left(r \cdot \left(q - f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) \right) + \xi \left(\left(r - f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right) \right) \cdot f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q} \right) . \end{split}$$

Since the inequalities $\xi(x_1 \cdot x_2) \leq \overline{\xi}(f(x_1)) \cdot \xi(x_2)$ and $\xi(x_1 \cdot x_2) \leq \xi(x_1) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(x_2))$ are valid for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\xi \left(r \cdot \left(q - f^{-1}(\bar{q}) \right) \right) + \xi \left(\left(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r}) \right) \cdot f^{-1}(\bar{q}) \right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q}) \leqslant \leqslant \bar{\xi}(f(r)) \cdot \xi \left(q - f^{-1}(\bar{q}) \right) + \xi \left(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r}) \right) \cdot \bar{\xi} \left(f \left(f^{-1}(\bar{q}) \right) \right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q}) .$$

The inequality $\bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1 \cdot \bar{y}_2) \leq \bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1) \cdot \xi(\bar{y}_2)$ is valid for any $\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in \bar{R}$. Therefore

$$\bar{\xi}(f(r)) \cdot \xi\left(q - f^{-1}(\bar{q})\right) + \xi\left(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})\right) \cdot \bar{\xi}(\bar{q}) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{q}) \leqslant \\ \leqslant \bar{\xi}(f(r)) \cdot \xi\left(q - f^{-1}(\bar{q})\right) + \xi\left(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})\right) \cdot \bar{\xi}(\bar{q}) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}) \cdot \bar{\xi}(\bar{q}) = \\ = \bar{\xi}\left(\left(f(r) - \bar{r}\right) + \bar{r}\right) \cdot \xi\left(q - f^{-1}(\bar{q})\right) + \xi\left(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})\right) \cdot \bar{\xi}(\bar{q}) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}) \cdot \bar{\xi}(\bar{q}).$$

Since the inequality $\bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2) \leq \bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1) + \xi(\bar{y}_2)$ is valid for any $\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in \bar{R}$ then

$$\bar{\xi}\left(\left(f\left(r\right)-\bar{r}\right)+\bar{r}\right)\cdot\xi\left(q-f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right)\right)+\xi\left(r-f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right)\cdot\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right)+\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\cdot\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right)\leqslant \\ \leqslant\left(\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)-\bar{r}\right)+\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right)\cdot\xi\left(q-f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right)\right)+\xi\left(r-f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right)\cdot\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right)+\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\cdot\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right)=$$

$$= \left(\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right) - \bar{r}\right) + \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right) \cdot \xi\left(q - f^{-1}\left(\bar{q}\right)\right) + \left(\xi\left(r - f^{-1}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right) + \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right) \cdot \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right).$$

Since the inequality $\xi(f(x)) \leq \xi(x)$ is valid for any $x \in R$ then

$$\left(\bar{\xi} \left(f \left(r \right) - \bar{r} \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \xi \left(q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) \leq$$

$$\leq \left(\xi \left(f^{-1} \left(f \left(r \right) - \bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \xi \left(q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) =$$

$$= \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \xi \left(q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) =$$

$$= \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\xi \left(q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) = \tilde{\xi} \left(\left(r, \bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \tilde{\xi} \left(\left(q, \bar{q} \right) \right) .$$

Thus, the condition 4 of the definition of a pseudonorm is valid, i.e. $\tilde{\xi}((r \cdot q, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{q})) \leq \tilde{\xi}((r, \bar{r})) \cdot \tilde{\xi}((q, \bar{q}))$ for any $r, q \in R$ and $\bar{r}, \bar{q} \in \bar{R}$.

We have shown that the function $\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r})) = \xi(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r})$ defines a pseudonorm on the ring \tilde{R} .

2. Let's identify the ring R with the set of pairs $\{(r,0) | r \in R\}$. It is obvious that R is an ideal of the ring \hat{R} .

Let's consider the restrictions of the pseudonorm $\tilde{\xi}$ and the homomorphism \tilde{f} on the ring $R = \{ (r,0) \mid r \in R \}$, i.e. $\tilde{\xi}((r,0)) = \xi \left(r - f^{-1}(0) \right) + \bar{\xi}(0) = \xi (r-0) + 0 =$ $= \xi (r)$ and $\tilde{f}((r,0)) = f(r)$. We have that $\tilde{\xi} = -\xi$ and $\tilde{f} = -f$

We have that $\tilde{\xi}\Big|_R = \xi$ and $\tilde{f}\Big|_R = f$.

3. Let's show that $\tilde{f}: (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi}) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ is an isometric homomorphism. 3.1. Since f is an isomorphism and $\tilde{f}\Big|_{R} = f$ then

$$Ker\tilde{f} = \left\{ \left. \tilde{r} \in \tilde{R} \right| \tilde{f}(\tilde{r}) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \left. (r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R} \right| \tilde{f}((r, \bar{r})) = 0 \right\} = \\ = \left\{ \left. (r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R} \right| f(r) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \left. (r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R} \right| r = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \left. (0, \bar{r}) \right| \bar{r} \in \bar{R} \right\}.$$

It means that the kernel of the homomorphism is $Ker\tilde{f} = \{ (0, \bar{r}) \mid \bar{r} \in \bar{R} \}$. 3.2. Let's take any $(r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R}$ and $(0, \bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f}$. Then

$$\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r})+(0,\bar{j})) = \tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}+\bar{j})) = \xi\left(r-f^{-1}(\bar{r}+\bar{j})\right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) = \\ = \xi\left(r-f^{-1}(\bar{r})-f^{-1}(\bar{j})\right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) \ge \bar{\xi}(f(r)-\bar{r}-\bar{j}) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) \ge \bar{\xi}(f(r)).$$

Thus, the inequality $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}))$ is valid for the element $(r,\bar{r}) \in \tilde{R}$ and any element $(0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f}$. It means that $\bar{\xi}(f(r))$ is one of the lower bounds of the set $\left\{ \tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f} \right\}$. Therefore, the inequality

$$\bar{\xi}\left((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})\right) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\bar{j} \right\}. \text{ Therefore, the inequality}$$
$$\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right) \leqslant \inf\left\{\tilde{\xi}\left((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})\right) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\}$$
(4)

is valid for any $(r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R}$.

3.3. Let's take any element $(r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R}$. Let $\bar{j}_0 = f(r) - \bar{r} \in \bar{R}$. Then $(r, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j}_0) = (r, \bar{r}) + (0, f(r) - \bar{r}) = (r, f(r))$, that is

$$\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}_0)) = \tilde{\xi}((r,f(r))) = \xi(r - f^{-1}(f(r))) + \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \xi(r - r) + \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \xi(0) + \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = 0 + \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r)).$$

Thus, for any $(r, \bar{r}) \in \tilde{R}$ there exists $\bar{j}_0 = (0, f(r) - \bar{r}) \in Ker\tilde{f}$ such that $\tilde{\xi}((r, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j}_0)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r))$.

From here the inequality

$$\inf\left\{\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}+j\right) \middle| j \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\} \leqslant \tilde{\xi}\left(\left(r,\bar{r}\right)+\left(0,\bar{j}_{0}\right)\right) = \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right)$$
(5)

follows. From inequalities (4) and (5) the equality $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \inf\{\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f}\}$ follows. Besides it follows from the equality $\tilde{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}_0)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r))$ that

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}(f(r)) &= \min\left\{ \left. \tilde{\xi}\left((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}) \right) \ \right| (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f} \right\} = \\ &= \min\{ \tilde{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{i})) \ | \ (0,\bar{i}) \in Ker\tilde{f} \}, \text{ where } (0,\bar{i}) = (0,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\tilde{f} \end{split}$$

We have shown that there exist a pseudonormed ring $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ and a homomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{R} \to \bar{R}$ such that:

R is an ideal of the ring $\tilde{R}, \left. \tilde{\xi} \right|_R = \xi$ and $\left. \tilde{f} \right|_R = f;$

 $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \min\{\tilde{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{i})) \mid (0,\bar{i}) \in Ker\tilde{f}\}, \text{ for every } r \in R, \text{ i.e. for any } r \in R \text{ there exists an element } (0,\bar{a}_r) \in Ker\tilde{f} \text{ such that } \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \tilde{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{a}_r)).$ Hence $II \Rightarrow III$ is proved.

Proof $III \Rightarrow I$.

From the condition 3 of the theorem there exist a pseudonormed ring $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi})$ and a homomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{R} \to \bar{R}$ such that:

R is an ideal of the ring \tilde{R} ;

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\xi}\Big|_{R} &= \xi, \ \tilde{f}\Big|_{R} = f; \\ \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right) &= \min\left\{\tilde{\xi}\left(r+a\right) \ \middle| \ a \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\} \text{ for every } r \in R. \end{split}$$

Let $\tilde{r} \in R$. As $f: R \to R$ is an isomorphism then there exists a unique element $r \in R$ such that $f(r) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{r})$. Since the isomorphism f is the restriction of the homomorphism \tilde{f} on the ring R then $f(r) = \tilde{f}(r)$. It means that $\tilde{f}(r) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{r})$. Then $\tilde{f}(r-\tilde{r}) = 0$. Hence, the element $r-\tilde{r}$ belongs to the kernel of the homomorphism \tilde{f} .

Then

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}\left(\tilde{f}\left(\tilde{r}\right)\right) &= \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right) = \min\left\{\left.\tilde{\xi}\left(r+a\right) \;\middle|\; a \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\} = \\ &= \min\left\{\left.\tilde{\xi}\left(r+a+\left(\tilde{r}-\tilde{r}\right)\right)\;\right|\; a \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\} = \end{split}$$

$$= \min\left\{ \tilde{\xi} \left(\tilde{r} + (a + (r - \tilde{r})) \right) \middle| a \in Ker\tilde{f} \right\} = \min\left\{ \tilde{\xi} \left(\tilde{r} + j \right) \middle| j \in Ker\tilde{f} \right\}.$$

Since for any set of real numbers S having the least element this element coincides with $\inf S$ then

$$\bar{\xi}\left(\tilde{f}\left(\tilde{r}\right)\right) = \inf\left\{\tilde{\xi}\left(\tilde{r}+j\right) \middle| j \in Ker\tilde{f}\right\}.$$

Thus, f can be extended up to the isometric homomorphism $\tilde{f}: (\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi}) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$, and $f: (R, \xi) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ is a semi-isometric isomorphism by Definition 2.2.

The theorem is proved.

2.4 Corollary. If (R,ξ) is a pseudonormed ring with the unit e and $\xi(e) = 1$ then any semi-isometric isomorphism of (R,ξ) is isometric.

Let's consider the inequality $\frac{\xi(a \cdot b)}{\xi(b)} \leq \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a)$ for b = e. We have $\xi(a) = \frac{\xi(a \cdot e)}{1} = \frac{\xi(a \cdot e)}{\xi(e)} \leq \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a)$. Therefore $\overline{\xi}(f(a)) = \xi(a)$.

2.5 Corollary. If (R,ξ) is a normed ring then any semi-isometric isomorphism of (R,ξ) is isometric.

Really, in normed rings the equality $\xi(a \cdot b) = \xi(a) \cdot \xi(b)$ is valid. From this equality it follows that $\xi(a) = \frac{\xi(a) \cdot \xi(b)}{\xi(b)} = \frac{\xi(a \cdot b)}{\xi(b)} \leq \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a)$. It means that $\overline{\xi}(f(a)) = \xi(a)$.

2.6 Corollary. Let R and \overline{R} be rings with zero multiplication (i.e. $a \cdot b = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$ and $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = 0$ for all $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \overline{R}$). If ξ and $\overline{\xi}$ are pseudonorms on R and \overline{R} , accordingly, and $f : R \to \overline{R}$ is a ring isomorphism such that $\overline{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \xi(r)$ for every $r \in R$ then the isomorphism f is semi-isometric.

Really, since $\frac{\xi(r \cdot q)}{\xi(q)} = 0 \leqslant \overline{\xi}(f(r)) \leqslant \xi(q)$ then from Theorem 2.3 it follows that $f: (R,\xi) \to (\overline{R},\overline{\xi})$ is a semi-isometric isomorphism.

2.7 Corollary. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings and $f:(R,\xi) \to (\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be a semi-isometric isomorphism. If $\tilde{\xi}$ is a pseudonorm on \bar{R} such that $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \tilde{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \tilde{\xi}(r)$ for every $r \in R$ then $f:(R,\xi) \to (\bar{R},\tilde{\xi})$ is a semi-isometric isomorphism.

Really, $\frac{\xi(r \cdot q)}{\xi(q)} \leq \overline{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \widetilde{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \xi(q)$. It means that $f: (R,\xi) \to (\overline{R},\overline{\xi})$ is a semi-isometric isomorphism.

2.8 Theorem. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings and $f: R \to \bar{R}$ be a

ring isomorphism. Then the following statements are equivalent: I. $\xi(a) \ge \overline{\xi}(f(a))$ and $\xi(a \cdot b) \le \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(b))$ for any $a, b \in R$.

II. There exist a pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$ and a homomorphism $\hat{f} : \hat{R} \to \bar{R}$ such that:

 $\begin{aligned} R \text{ is an ideal in the ring } \hat{R}, \ \hat{\xi}\Big|_{R} &= \xi \text{ and } \hat{f}\Big|_{R} = f;\\ \bar{\xi}(f(r)) &= \min\left\{ \hat{\xi}(r+a) \ \Big| \ a \in \operatorname{Ker} \hat{f} \right\} \text{ for every } r \in R, \text{ i.e. for every } r \in R\\ \text{there exists an element } a_{r} \in \operatorname{Ker} \hat{f} \text{ such that } \bar{\xi}(f(r)) &= \hat{\xi}(r+a_{r});\\ \text{The exist is an element } \hat{R} \text{ exists in } \hat{R} \text{ exists in } K = \hat{f} \text{ or } \hat{f$

The annihilator of the ring \hat{R} contains $Ker\hat{f}$, i.e. $Ker\hat{f} \subseteq \left\{ a \in \hat{R} \mid a \cdot \hat{R} = \hat{R} \cdot a = 0 \right\}$ (in particular, $\left(Ker\hat{f} \right)^2 = 0$).

Proof $I \Rightarrow II$. Let the mapping $f : (R,\xi) \to (\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ possesses the following properties:

f is an isomorphism;

 $\bar{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a)$ for any $a \in R$; $\xi(a \cdot b) \leq \bar{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \bar{\xi}(f(b))$ for any $a \in R, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Let's prove that the statement II is valid.

Let $(\bar{R}', \bar{\xi})$ be a ring with zero multiplication which elements belong to \bar{R} . We shall consider the ring $\hat{R} = R \oplus \bar{R}' = \{(r, \bar{r}) \mid r \in R, \bar{r} \in \bar{R}'\}$ which is the direct sum of the rings R and \bar{R}' . Let's define the real-valued function $\tilde{\xi}$ on \tilde{R} as in Theorem 2.3, i.e. $\hat{\xi}((r, \bar{r})) = \xi(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r})$.

Let's define the mapping $\tilde{f}: \left(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\xi}\right) \to \left(\bar{R}, \bar{\xi}\right)$ by the equality $\tilde{f}\left((r, \bar{r})\right) = f(r)$.

Let's show that $\hat{\xi}$ is a pseudonorm on the ring \hat{R} .

The conditions 1-3 of Definition 1.1 can be verified by analogy with Theorem 2.3.

Let's verify the condition 4 of the definition of a pseudonorm. Since \overline{R}' is a ring with zero multiplication then $\hat{\xi}((r, \overline{r}) \cdot (q, \overline{q})) = \hat{\xi}((r \cdot q, \overline{r} \cdot \overline{q})) = \hat{\xi}((r \cdot q, 0)) = \xi(r \cdot q)$ for any $r, q \in R$ and $\overline{r}, \overline{q} \in \overline{R}'$.

It follows from the inequality $\xi(a \cdot b) \leq \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(b))$ that

$$\xi(r \cdot q) \leqslant \overline{\xi}(f(r)) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(q)) = \overline{\xi}\left((f(r) - \overline{r}) + \overline{r}\right) \cdot \overline{\xi}\left((f(q) - \overline{q}) + \overline{q}\right).$$

Since the inequality $\bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2) \leq \bar{\xi}(\bar{y}_1) + \xi(\bar{y}_2)$ is valid for any $\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in \bar{R}'$ then

$$\bar{\xi}\left(\left(f\left(r\right)-\bar{r}\right)+\bar{r}\right)\cdot\bar{\xi}\left(\left(f\left(q\right)-\bar{q}\right)+\bar{q}\right)\leqslant\left(\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)-\bar{r}\right)+\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{r}\right)\right)\cdot\left(\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(q\right)-\bar{q}\right)+\bar{\xi}\left(\bar{q}\right)\right).$$

It follows from the inequality $\overline{\xi}(f(a)) \leq \xi(a)$ that

$$\left(\bar{\xi} \left(f\left(r\right) - \bar{r} \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\bar{\xi} \left(f\left(q\right) - \bar{q} \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) \le$$

$$\leq \left(\xi \left(r - f^{-1} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\xi \left(q - f^{-1} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) + \bar{\xi} \left(\bar{q} \right) \right) = \hat{\xi} \left(\left(r, \bar{r} \right) \right) \cdot \hat{\xi} \left(\left(q, \bar{q} \right) \right)$$

Thus, the condition 4 of the definition of a pseudonorm is valid, i.e. $\hat{\xi}((r \cdot q, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{q})) \leq \hat{\xi}((r, \bar{r})) \cdot \hat{\xi}((q, \bar{q}))$ for any $r, q \in R$ and $\bar{r}, \bar{q} \in \bar{R}'$.

We have shown that the function $\hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r})) = \xi(r - f^{-1}(\bar{r})) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r})$ defines a pseudonorm on the ring \hat{R} .

Like in Theorem 2.3 let's identify the ring R with the set of pairs $\{ (r,0) \mid r \in R \}$ which is an ideal in the ring \hat{R} . Let's consider the restrictions of the pseudonorm $\hat{\xi}$ and homomorphism \hat{f} on the ring $R = \{ (r,0) \mid r \in R \}$, i.e. $\hat{\xi}((r,0)) = \xi (r - f^{-1}(0)) + \bar{\xi}(0) = \xi (r - 0) + 0 = \xi (r)$ and $\hat{f}((r,0)) = f(r)$. We have that $\hat{\xi}\Big|_{R} = \xi$ and $\hat{f}\Big|_{R} = f$.

Let's show that the annihilator of the ring \hat{R} contains $Ker\hat{f}$. Since $f: R \to \bar{R}$ is an isomorphism then $Ker\hat{f} = \left\{ (r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R} | \ \hat{f}((r, \bar{r})) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ (r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R} | \ f(r) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ (0, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R} | \ \bar{r} \in \bar{R}' \right\}.$ Since $(0, \bar{r}) \cdot (a, \bar{a}) = (0 \cdot a, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{a}) = (0, 0)$ and $(a, \bar{a}) \cdot (0, \bar{r}) = (a \cdot 0, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{r}) = (0, 0)$ for any $(0, \bar{r}) \in Ker\hat{f}$ and $(a, \bar{a}) \in \hat{R}$ then $Ker\hat{f} \subset Ann\hat{R}$.

Let's show that $\hat{f}: (\hat{R}, \hat{\xi}) \to (\bar{R}, \bar{\xi})$ is an isometric homomorphism by analogy with Theorem 2.3. Let $(r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R}$ and $(0, \bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f}$. Then

$$\hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r})+(0,\bar{j})) = \hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r}+\bar{j})) = \xi\left(r-f^{-1}(\bar{r}+\bar{j})\right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) =$$
$$= \xi\left(r-f^{-1}(\bar{r})-f^{-1}(\bar{j})\right) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) \ge \bar{\xi}(f(r)-\bar{r}-\bar{j}) + \bar{\xi}(\bar{r}+\bar{j}) \ge \bar{\xi}(f(r)).$$

Thus, the inequality $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) \leq \hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j}))$ is valid for the element $(r,\bar{r}) \in \hat{R}$ and any element $(0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f}$. It means that $\bar{\xi}(f(r))$ is one of the lower bounds of the set $\left\{ \hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f} \right\}$. Therefore, the inequality

$$\bar{\xi}(f(r)) \leqslant \inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})\right) \middle| (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f}\right\}$$
(6)

is valid for any $(r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R}$.

Let $(r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R}$ and $\bar{j}_0 = f(r) - \bar{r} \in \bar{R}$. Then $(r, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j}_0) = (r, \bar{r}) + (0, f(r) - \bar{r}) = (r, f(r))$. It means that

$$\widehat{\xi}((r,\bar{r})+(0,j_0)) = \widehat{\xi}((r,f(r))) = \xi(r-f^{-1}(f(r))) + \overline{\xi}(f(r)) =$$
$$= \xi(r-r) + \overline{\xi}(f(r)) = \xi(0) + \overline{\xi}(f(r)) = 0 + \overline{\xi}(f(r)) = \overline{\xi}(f(r)).$$

Thus for any $(r, \bar{r}) \in \hat{R}$ there exists $\bar{j}_0 = (0, f(r - \bar{r})) \in Ker\hat{f}$ such that $\hat{\xi}((r, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j}_0)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r)).$

From here the inequality

$$\inf\left\{\hat{\xi}\left(\hat{r}+j\right) \middle| j \in Ker\hat{f}\right\} \leqslant \hat{\xi}\left(\left(r,\bar{r}\right)+\left(0,\bar{j}_{0}\right)\right) = \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right)$$
(7)

follows.

From inequalities (6) and (7) the equality

$$\bar{\xi}\left(f\left(r\right)\right) = \inf\left\{ \hat{\xi}\left(\left(r,\bar{r}\right) + \left(0,\bar{j}\right)\right) \ \middle| \ (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f} \right\}$$

follows.

Besides it follows from the equality $\hat{\xi}((r, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j}_0)) = \bar{\xi}(f(r))$ that

$$\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \min\left\{ \hat{\xi}((r,\bar{r}) + (0,\bar{j})) \mid (0,\bar{j}) \in Ker\hat{f} \right\} =$$
$$= \min\left\{ \hat{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{i})) \mid (0,\bar{i}) \in Ker\hat{f} \right\},$$

where $(0, \bar{i}) = ((0, \bar{r}) + (0, \bar{j})) \in Ker \hat{f}$.

We have shown that there exist a pseudonormed ring $(\hat{R}, \hat{\xi})$ and a homomorphism $\hat{f}: \hat{R} \to \bar{R}$ such that:

R is an ideal of the ring \hat{R} , $\hat{\xi}\Big|_{R} = \xi$ and $\hat{f}\Big|_{R} = f$;

 $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \min\left\{\hat{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{i})) \mid (0,\bar{i}) \in Ker\hat{f}\right\} \text{ for every } r \in R, \text{ i.e. for any } r \in R \text{ there exist an element } (0,\bar{a}_r) \in Ker\hat{f} \text{ such that } \bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \hat{\xi}((r,0) + (0,\bar{a}_r));$

The annihilator of the ring \hat{R} contains $Ker\hat{f}$. Hence $I \Rightarrow II$ is proved.

Proof $II \Rightarrow I$. Let (R,ξ) and $(\bar{R},\bar{\xi})$ be pseudonormed rings, $f: R \to \bar{R}$ be a ring isomorphism. Let $(\hat{R},\hat{\xi})$ be a pseudonormed ring and $\hat{f}: \hat{R} \to \bar{R}$ be a homomorphism such that the following conditions are valid:

- a) R is an ideal in the ring \hat{R} ; $\hat{\xi}\Big|_{R} = \xi$ and $\hat{f}\Big|_{R} = f$;
- b) $\bar{\xi}(f(r)) = \min\left\{\hat{\xi}(r+a) \mid a \in Ker\hat{f}\right\}$ for every $r \in R$;
- c) The annihilator of a ring \hat{R} contains $Ker\hat{f}$.

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that the inequality $\xi(a) \ge \overline{\xi}(f(a))$ is valid for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let's show that the inequality $\xi(a \cdot b) \leq \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(b))$ is valid for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ as well.

The equalities $\bar{\xi}(f(a)) = \min\left\{\hat{\xi}(a+i) \mid i \in \operatorname{Ker}\hat{f}\right\} = \hat{\xi}(a+i_a) \text{ and } \bar{\xi}(f(b)) = \min\left\{\hat{\xi}(b+j) \mid j \in \operatorname{Ker}\hat{f}\right\} = \hat{\xi}(b+j_b) \text{ are valid for any } a, b \in R, \text{ where } i_a, j_b$ are some elements from $\operatorname{Ker}\hat{f}$. It means that

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(a\right)\right) \cdot \bar{\xi}\left(f\left(b\right)\right) &= \hat{\xi}\left(a+i_{a}\right) \cdot \hat{\xi}\left(b+j_{b}\right) \geqslant \hat{\xi}\left(\left(a+i_{a}\right) \cdot \left(b+j_{b}\right)\right) = \\ &= \hat{\xi}\left(a \cdot b + a \cdot j_{b} + i_{a} \cdot b + i_{a} \cdot j_{b}\right). \end{split}$$

Since $i_a, j_b \in Ker\hat{f} \subset Ann\hat{R}$ then $a \cdot j_b = i_a \cdot b = i_a \cdot j_b = 0$. Hence $\bar{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \bar{\xi}(f(b)) \ge \hat{\xi}(a \cdot b + a \cdot j_b + i_a \cdot b + i_a \cdot j_b) = \hat{\xi}(a \cdot b)$ for any $a, b \in R$.

Thus, $\xi(a) \ge \overline{\xi}(f(a))$ and $\xi(a \cdot b) \le \overline{\xi}(f(a)) \cdot \overline{\xi}(f(b))$ for any $a, b \in R$. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] ARNAUTOV V.I. A semitopological isomorphism of topological rings. Matematicheskie issledovaniya, vyp. 4:2(12), Kishinev, Shtiintsa, 1969, p. 3–16 (in Russian).
- [2] ALESCHENKO S.A., ARNAUTOV V.I. *Factor rings of pseudonormed rings*. Second Conference of the Mathematical Society of the Republic of Moldova, dedicated to the 40 anniversary of the foundation of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science of ASM, Chişinău, 2004.

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science Academy of Sciences of Moldova Academiei str. 5, MD-2028 Kishinev Moldova E-mail: arnautov@math.md Received May 18, 2006