Totally bounded rings and their groups of units

M.I. Ursul, A. Tripe

Abstract. We will present here some recent results concerning totally bounded topological rings. Most results will be presented but not proved.

Mathematics subject classification: 16W80.

Keywords and phrases: Pseudo-compact space, countably compact space, precompact group, the pointwise topology, atomic boolean ring, Bohr compactification of a topological ring.

All topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff. Topological groups are assumed to be Hausdorff. Topological rings are assumed to be associative and Hausdorff. The Jacobson radical of a ring R will be denoted J(R). The symbol $R = A \oplus B$ means that the group R is a topological direct sum of its subgroups A and B.

A topological space X is called:

pseudo-compact provided each real-valued function on it is bounded;

countably compact provided each countable open cover has a finite subcover.

The closure of a subset A of a topological space X will be denoted by \overline{A} . If R is a ring and A its subset, then $\langle A \rangle$ stands for the subring of R generated by A.

We will examine endomorphisms of linear spaces over finite fields by using of pointwise topology.

Let k be a finite field and V be a linear k-space. Recall that the pointwise topology on EndV is given by a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero consisting of subsets of the form $T(K) = \{\alpha : \alpha \in \text{EndV}, \alpha(K) = 0\}$, where K runs all finite subsets of V. We will consider EndV as a topological ring with the pointwise topology. Below GL(V) stands for the topological group of all invertible elements of EndV with respect to the pointwise topology.

The pointwise topology allows to study some endomorphisms of V.

Definition 1. An element α of EndV is called: topologically nilpotent provided it is a topologically nilpotent element of EndV; compact provided the subring $\overline{\langle \alpha \rangle}$ is compact; topologically unipotent provided the element $1 - \alpha$ is topologically nilpotent; semisimple provided the subring $\overline{\langle \alpha \rangle}$ is a compact semiprimitive ring.

Recall that an element $\alpha \in \text{End}V$ is called *locally finite* provided V is decomposed in a direct sum of α -invariant finite-dimensional subspaces.

Remark 1. It follows from ([18], Theorem 19.4) that if k is a finite field, V a left vector k-space, then $\alpha \in \text{End}V$ is compact \Leftrightarrow for every $v \in V$, the subset $\langle \alpha \rangle v$ is finite.

[ⓒ] M.I. Ursul, A. Tripe, 2004

We note that every locally finite element of EndV is compact. The following example shows that the reverse affirmation is not true:

Let k be any finite field and V a linear k-space of countable infinite dimension. Fix a countable base $\{v_i : i \in \omega\}$. Let $\alpha \in \text{End}V, \alpha(v_0) = 0$, and $\alpha(v_i) = v_{i-1}$ for i > 0. Each nonzero α -invariant linear subspace of V contains kv_0 , hence V cannot be decomposed in a direct sum of finite-dimensional α -invariant subspaces, i.e., α is not locally finite. It follows from Remark 1 that α is compact.

Proposition 1. Let V be a linear space over a finite field k and $\alpha \in \text{EndV}$ a compact element. Then:

i) there exist unique elements α_s, α_n such that $\alpha = \alpha_s + \alpha_n$, where α_s is semisimple, α_n is topologically nilpotent, and $\alpha_s \alpha_n = \alpha_n \alpha_s$;

ii) if $\alpha \in GL(V)$, then there exist unique elements $\alpha_s, \alpha_u \in GL(V)$ satisfying the conditions: α_s is semisimple, α_u topologically unipotent, $\alpha = \alpha_s \alpha_u$, and $\alpha_s \alpha_u = \alpha_u \alpha_s$.

In analogy with the theory of linear algebraic groups we shall call the decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_s + \alpha_n$ the additive Jordan decomposition of α and the decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_s \alpha_u$ for an invertible α the multiplicative Jordan decomposition for α .

Theorem 2. Let $R = S \oplus J(R)$ be Wedderburn-Mal'cev decomposition of a compact ring with identity of prime characteristic. Then $U(R) = U(S) \cdot (1 + J(R))$, $U(S) \cap (1 + J(R)) = 1$, i.e., U(R) is a semidirect topological product of U(S) and 1 + J(R).

Theorem 3. Let V be a linear space over a finite field k. If H is a closed subgroup of $GL(V), x \in H, x$ is compact, $x = x_s x_u$ its multiplicative Jordan decomposition then $x_s \in H$ and $x_u \in H$.

Theorem 4 [15]. Let R be a countably compact ring with identity. The following conditions are equivalent:

1) U(R) is a torsion group;

2) R has a finite characteristic and there exist two different positive integers n and k such that the ring R satisfies the identity $x^n = x^k$;

3) R is a locally finite ring;

4) for every $x \in R$ the subring $\langle x \rangle$ is finite.

Theorem 5 [15]. Let R be a compact ring with identity. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) U(R) is a torsion group;

2) R(+) is a torsion group and $R/J(R) \cong_{top} L_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times L_n^{m_n}$, where L_1, \cdots, L_n are finite simple rings and m_1, \ldots, m_n are arbitrary cardinal numbers.

In 1988–1997 there appeared a number of interesting papers of Jo-Ann Cohen, Kwangil Koh and I. W. Lorimer concerning groups of units of compact rings with identity [1–11]. A topological ring R is a *semidirect product* of a subring S and an ideal I provided R is a topological group sum of S and I.

The following Theorem of A.Tripe generalizes a result obtained by Jo-Ann Cohen and K.Koh [10]:

Theorem 6 [14]. Let R be a countably compact ring with identity. Then the group U(R) is simple iff R is a boolean ring or it is topologically isomorphic to one of the following rings:

1) $A_0 \times I$ where A_0 is a finite field of cardinality 3 or 2^m where $2^m - 1$ is a prime number (=a prime number of Mersenne);

2) $A_0 \times I$ where A_0 is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/(2), n \geq 3$;

3) a semidirect product of I and $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$;

4) a semidirect product of I and $\mathbb{Z}/(2)[x]/(x^2)$;

5) a semidirect product of I and $M(2, \mathbb{Z}/(2))$,

where in all cases I is a countably compact boolean ring.

There are examples showing that in 3, 4, 5) the semidirect product cannot be replaced by direct products.

There is a gap between pseudo-compactness and countable compactness:

Theorem 7 [16]. Let k be a finite field and X a set of cardinality 2^{ω} . Then the ring k[X] of polynomials over X with coefficients from k admits a pseudo-compact ring topology.

As follows from Chevalley's Theorem ([19], Chapter II, Theorem13) if (R, \mathcal{T}) is a commutative compact Noetherian ring and \mathcal{T}_1 is a ring topology on R such that (R, \mathcal{T}_1) has a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero then $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{T}_1$. We extend this assertion to the noncommutative case:

Theorem 8. Let (R, \mathcal{T}) be a compact left Noetherian ring with identity. If \mathcal{T}_1 is a ring topology on R and (R, \mathcal{T}_1) has a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero consisting of left ideals then $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{T}_1$.

Proof. Any left ideal of R is closed in (R, \mathcal{T}) . Let $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ be a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero of (R, \mathcal{T}_1) consisting of left ideals. If V is an open ideal of (R, \mathcal{T}) , then $\{0\} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} V_{\alpha} \subseteq V$. By compactness of (R, \mathcal{T}) there exist $\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ such that $V_{\alpha_1} \cap \cdots \cap V_{\alpha_n} \subseteq V$. Therefore V is an open ideal of (R, \mathcal{T}_1) . Since V was arbitrarily, $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{T}_1$.

Recall that if a, b are two elements of a boolean ring R, then put $a \leq b$ if ab = a. An element a of a boolean ring R is called an *atom* provided $a \neq 0$ and for each $x \in R, x \leq a, x \neq a, x = 0$. A boolean ring R is called *atomic* provided for each $x \in R, x \neq 0$, there exists at least one atom a such that $a \leq x$.

Theorem 9. Let R be an atomic boolean ring. Then there exists a totally bounded ring topology \mathcal{T}_0 on R such that $\mathcal{T}_0 \leq \mathcal{T}_1$ for each Hausdorff ring topology \mathcal{T}_1 on R. **Proof.** Consider the family \mathfrak{B} consisting of ideals of the form $\operatorname{Ann}(a)$, $a \in R$. Each element of B is a maximal ideal of R, hence it is cofinite. We note that B is a filter base. Indeed, let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in R$. Then there exists $a \in R$ such that $Ra_1 + Ra_2 + ... + Ra_n = Ra$. Evidently, $\operatorname{Ann}(a) = \operatorname{Ann}(a_1) \cap \operatorname{Ann}(a_2) \cap ... \cap \operatorname{Ann}(a_n)$. We affirm that $\cap \mathfrak{B} = 0$: Let $0 \neq x \in R$. If a is an atom of R, $a \leq x$, then xa = a, hence $x \notin \operatorname{Ann}(a) = R(1 - a) \in B$. It follows that \mathfrak{B} gives a totally bounded ring topology \mathcal{T}_0 on R. If \mathcal{T}_1 is another \mathcal{T}_1 -ring topology on R, then each $\operatorname{Ann}(a)$ is closed in (R, \mathcal{T}_1) and cofinite, hence $\operatorname{Ann}(a)$ is open in (R, \mathcal{T}_1) and so $\mathcal{T}_0 \leq \mathcal{T}_1$. \Box

Corollary 10. The quasicomponent of any atomic topological \mathcal{T}_1 -ring is equal to zero.

The notion of the Bohr compactification of a topological ring was introduced by Holm [12, 13].

Definition 2. Let (R, \mathcal{T}) be a topological ring. A pair $((bR, b\mathcal{T}), b_R)$ with the following properties is called a Bohr compactification of (R, \mathcal{T}) :

1) (bR, bT) is a compact ring;

2) b_R is a continuous homomorphism from (R, \mathcal{T}) onto a dense subring $(bR, b\mathcal{T})$;

3) for every continuous homomorphism α of (R, \mathcal{T}) into a compact ring C there exists a continuous homomorphism $\hat{\alpha} : (bR, b\mathcal{T}) \to C$ such that $\hat{\alpha} \circ b_R = \alpha$.

Theorem 11. Every topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) has a Bohr compactification unique up to an isomorphism.

It is interesting to calculate the Bohr compactification of concrete topological rings.

Theorem 12 [12]. The Bohr compactification $b(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{T}_d)$ of the ring of integers is isomorphic to $\prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a topological ring.

Theorem 13 [12]. Let R be a ring furnished with the discrete topology, bR its Bohr compactification, P(R) the lattice of all precompact ring topologies on R, and C(bR) the lattice of closed ideals of bR. Then there is a lattice antiisomorphism $\Phi: P(R) \to C(bR)$ such that $bR/\Phi(\mathcal{T})$ is isomorphic to the completion of (R, \mathcal{T}) .

In [12] was introduced the concept of a van der Waerden ring: A compact ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called a vdW-*ring* provided each ring homomorphism $h : (R, \mathcal{T}) \to (K, \mathcal{U})$ with (K, \mathcal{U}) is continuous.

Fix a faithful indexing $\{R_n : n \in \omega\}$ of all matrix rings over finite fields. By Theorem of Kaplansky a semiprimitive ring R is of the form $R = \prod_{n \in I} R_n^{\alpha_n}$ for suitable $I = I(R) \subseteq \omega$ and cardinal numbers $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(R_n)$.

Theorem 14 [12]. Let R be a compact semiprimitive ring with Kaplansky representation $R = \prod_{n \in I} R_n^{\alpha_n}$. In order that R be a vdW-ring it is necessary and sufficient that each α_n be finite.

A compact ring with identity is a vdW-ring iff every cofinite ideal is open.

Theorem 15 [12, 17]. A compact semisimple ring admits a unique pseudo-compact topology iff it is metrizable.

References

- COHEN J., KOH K. The group of units in a compact ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1988, 54, p. 167–179.
- [2] COHEN J., KOH K. On the group of units in a compact ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1988, 51, p. 231–239.
- [3] COHEN J., KOH K. Half-transitive group actions in a compact ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1989, 60, p. 139–153.
- [4] COHEN J., KOH K. The subgroup generated by the involutions in a compact ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1991, 19, p. 2923–2954.
- [5] COHEN J., KOH K. Involutions in a compact ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1989, 9, p. 151–168.
- [6] COHEN J., KOH K. The structure of compact rings. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1992, 77, p. 117–129.
- [7] COHEN J., KOH K. A characterization of the p-adic integers. Comm. in Algebra, 1989 17(3), p. 631–636.
- [8] COHEN J., KOH K. The subring generated by the units in a compact ring. Comm. in Algebra, 1990, 18(5), p. 1617–1620.
- COHEN J., KOH K. Topological and algebraic properties of the subgroup generated by involutions in a compact ring. Papers on General Top. and Appl., Eight Summer Conference at Queens College, 1994, p. 310–317.
- [10] COHEN J., KOH K. Compact rings having a finite simple group of units. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1997, 119, p. 13–26.
- [11] COHEN J., KOH K., LORIMER J.W. On the primitive representations of the group of units of a ring to the symmetric group of nonunits. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 1994, 94, p. 285–306.
- [12] COMFORT W.W., REMUS D., SZAMBIEN H. Extending ring topologies. J.Algebra, 2000, 232, p. 21–47.
- [13] HOLM P. On the Bohr compactification. Math. Ann., 1964, 156, p. 34–46.
- [14] KOTHE G. Uber maximale nilpotente Unterringe und Nilringe. Math.Ann., 1931, 105, p. 15–39.
- [15] TRIPE A. Compact Rings with Simple Groups of Units. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 2002, 2(39), p. 93–99.
- [16] TRIPE A., URSUL M. Countably compact rings with periodic groups of units. Acta Math.Hungar., 2001, 90(1-2), p. 65–73.
- [17] TRIPE A., URSUL M. Units in pseudo-compact rings. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova, Matematica, 2002, 2(39), p. 44–50.
- [18] URSUL M.I. Short communication 512.56. Proceedings of the 5th Tiraspolian Symposium on General Topology and Its Applications, Kishinev, 1985, p. 231–232.
- [19] URSUL M.I. Topological rings satisfying compactness conditions. Kluwer, 2002.
- [20] ZARISKI O., SAMUEL P. Commutative algebra, Vol. II. D. Van Nostrand Company, INC, 1960.

Department of Mathematics University of Oradea str. Armatei Romane 5 3700, Oradea Romania E-mail: ursul@uoradea.ro Received November 25, 2004